

Mr. Weisberg:

10/4/91

I have received your letter; I have considered it; and I understand it.

Instead of wasting time to express your anger (over who knows what), it would have been sufficient to make concise and direct answers ("Yes."; "No."), where appropriate. I am appreciative of the unquestionable fact that your time is limited.

I know other people who are your age, but who appear not to have your limitations. Being aware that you do wear glasses, I was at liberty to assume that reading almost anything was not a problem. If the pages were set down on a dark and flat surface, there would have been no show-through problem for you of substance.

There is usually, as I have more than adequately documented for myself over long years, a difference between the appearance of things and their substance. Regrettably you prefer to dwell on the appearance (through your end of the tunnel).

Unless you have some exclusive line on the cosmic truth of the matters of common interest, it is facetious of you to assert-especially without justification-that one thing or another (whatever it would be) is either kids stuff or outright dopey.

You allege that I have no interest in your books, but I long ago purchased all of them and have studied them all very closely (considering there are other things in life, they may not all be easily recallable at a given moment). The manner in which you express yourself has inhibited their popularity and financial success (despite my not, at all, minding the inclusion of your personal appreciations of this or that). Your researches were and are very valuable.

Sometimes people's thoughts are overburdened by too much knowledge; sometimes by too little knowledge; and sometimes it is a matter of immaturity. My thoughts are securely founded and useful. Whatever your particular background is, it appears to be limited to documents and fingernail scrapings; there is great value to that kind of research, but it ~~is~~ has its own unique limits. And, surely, you don't expect to sue the Attorney General into releasing some unique document that says "So and so did it." You are welcome to hunt and peck your way through Hoover's et al cover up crap to your last breath. Especially at your age, you should realize that it is most beneficial (having nothing at all to do with me in particular) to cooperate with others, instead of berating them for their stupidity (as you perceive it). There are others who could benefit from your guidance, if nothing else. Again, I do not refer to myself. Despite your bad health, you have a despicable attitude; one that, I perceive, works against yourself.

To illustrate-and I DO realize that you cannot respond to absolutely everybody-there is great value in debunking the White story. A person with talent in the realm of psychology can perceive the direction, for instance, that the camouflage is emanating from, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ What is in the cover is valuably indicative of the origin of the crap, which is valuable to know. The fact that there is crap to debunk is itself valuable to be aware of, has its own value. The detectable continuity of crap is valuable to know. The time span of cover crap is valuable information, and so on.

Are you hunting and pecking your way, for reason of not knowing what or how else to do research, from one fact to another and having no conception at all of what did occur or probably occurred or who was likely to have done it? That would be incredible.

There are the appearances that you would be incapable of being able to understand any valuable ~~economic~~ information, by way of discoveries, that would lie in the realm of economics or psychology or elsewhere. A very long letter of considerable sophistication was prepared; but, it will definitely not be given to you. Your abilities are too limited. I didn't mean to be that harsh, but you have provoked it.

As a matter of fact, I cannot understand why Fensterwald wasted his time on Harrelson; unless he had a clue that we do not know about.

I didn't want to discuss Lifton's second book, just know if you had heard gossip as to when it would be published.

Your last paragraph may reveal that you, for your own reasons, have no choice but to proceed from one court-won document and fact to another. It nearly goes without saying, however, that investigating anything is best done scientifically; all of the applied science that there exists today is the consequence of beginning with (but not dwelling solidly) a hypothesis, a reasonable and sensible frame of reference. I do not ~~think~~ think that it is mature conduct-to berate people for their limitations. Continue on as you know how to best investigate matters. A hypothesis allows one to investigate very efficiently, discontinuities may be indulged in-one need not peck one's way all the way from the beginning to the end, skipping nothing.

You see, and I appreciate your sincerity of motivations to say what you do, despite feeling offended, in asking questions I have something valuable to learn and you have something valuable to pass on to another generation that can work to completion. You will cheat yourself if all you do is expire and leave your files to a designated depository that is inert and even not adequately protected. Even if you do not like me or think I am superficial, choose someone else to leave your clues-beyond facts-with. I have asked for nothing special or selfish. But I have believed that I asked to know your opinion on things that would be useful to me, even to transcend the existing roadblocks of current discovery.

Instead of wasting time berating someone for expressing an interest in the "tramps", you could equally well and efficiently said what your knowledge of them is and have well and concretely explained, ~~and then~~ their insignificance, could have debunked them and removed them from any further consideration and waste of work-by anyone. That you are willing and unhesitating to dismiss them outright is BAD SCIENCE, at the very least. It is despicable.

BK

Your own books are full of ubiquitously displaced emotional diatribes and epithets against Hoover; that is emotional chit chat, that wastes time and space. Further, the emotional chit chat of yours prejudices, if not biases, the reader-his impression of both you and the facts that you present. In your own unique way, as an author, (making room for personal anger against a prejudiced and dopey public official) you trashed the audience, severely limiting it. As a consequence of your own chit chat, that could have maturely and in a disciplined way been excluded and edited out, conventional publishers rejected you. They knew that if the emotional diatribes had been edited out the books would have been good sellers; but you were too stubborn, even immature. By that, you limited the edification and creation of a large audience for assassination information. You could have stunned the public; instead you excluded a large part of it, especially those who were normally nominal supporters of Hoover, who would have, still, purchased and read a fine and well written book.

by a big
ners and
not be
s, if the
ernment

Robert ...
ConAgra Inc.'s USSR and Eastern
Europe division, calls the weeks
ahead "a historic opportunity."
"The Soviets that we deal with are

figuring on
size and makeup of the aid package,
as well as the best way of distribu-
ting the goods in a land with rival
See Food, pg. 10



AP Laserphoto

Romania protests

weeping near a tank after anti-gov-
ernment protests in Bucharest, Romania. The streets
were dispersed protesters. Story, Page 4.

Miles Davis dies

...d all his
...most no
...is a mu-
...John-
...apolis.
...iles was
...e evolu-
...-maybe

...rly '40s,
...hanging
...ist Milt
...v York.

"He was constantly trying to find
new avenues and new rules for the
music."

Indeed, the innovations Mr. Davis
brought to trumpet playing in partic-
ular and small-group improvisation
in general redefined the way jazz was
created and heard.

Though Mr. Davis came of age
musically at the dawn of be-bop in
the '40s, he—unlike many players of
his generation—pushed ahead to new
and controversial sounds. In so

See Davis, pg. 18



AP Laserphoto

Miles Davis

40,000 illegal immigrants watch mail

By Mike Dorning

At lunchtime on construction sites, in
clusters of 10 and 12 along the bar at
the Irish Abbey Pub, and in organized
meetings numbering in the hundreds,
Chicago's Irish immigrant community is
seeking the answer to one question:

How does a person get a letter to a
post office box in northern Virginia at
12:01 a.m. on Columbus Day?

Those letters will be the first con-
sidered for special visas aimed at im-
migrant workers who are here illegally.

For Paula, a North Side waitress who
flew over from County Tipperary with
her husband the morning after their
marriage, the solution is to make the
trek to Virginia and mail letters there.

For Mary, who left Dublin at 17 to
become a North Shore nanny, the an-
swer is to type 100 letters, split them
among three friends, and have them
spend three days posting the envelopes
in different mailboxes.

A letter that arrives on time means a
work visa for the immigrants, many of
whom have spent more than half a dec-
ade as illegal immigrants since they left
the failing economy of Ireland behind.

One that arrives in the post office box
two minutes early or perhaps just a few
hours late could mean college graduates
would spend more years pounding nails
with no hope of getting their own mort-
gage and with a queasy feeling every
time a police officer stops them in traf-

See Visas, pg. 17

9 a.m. - 5 p.m., Sat. & Sun.

Display Advertising

(312) 222-4150

9 a.m. - 5 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Advertising Customer Service

(312) 222-0737

8:30 a.m. - 5 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Editorial

(312) 222-4440

Anytime

Classroom Newspaper Delivery

(312) 222-2240

7 a.m. - 3 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Classroom Newspaper Programs

(312) 222-5177

9 a.m. - 5 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Chicago Tribune Foundation

(312) 222-4300

9 a.m. - 5 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Gift Stores

Chicago: Tribune Tower,
435 N. Michigan Ave.

(312) 222-3080

Crystal Lake: Crystal Commons
Shopping Center, 6234 Northwest Hwy.

(815) 477-0230

Fox Valley: 4M Plaza, 4 South,
Route 59, Naperville

(708) 393-5030

Schaumburg: Copley Shopping Center,
142 E. Golf Rd.

(708) 843-6100

Freedom Center Tours

(312) 222-2116

9 a.m. - 5 p.m., Mon. - Fri.

Chicago Tribune Company

(312) 222-3232

Anytime

On-line Reference

Stories published in the Chicago Tribune after the dates indicated are available on-line through the following data bases:

After January 1, 1985

VU/TEXT **1-800-258-8080**

After November 18, 1988

NEXIS **1-800-227-4908**

After January 1, 1989

DataTimes **1-800-642-2525**

After May 1, 1988

DIALOG **1-800-334-2564**

Mail Subscription Rates

1 year, mail edition, where home delivery is not available.

	Daily	Sunday	Daily/Sunday
5 State	\$108.00	\$109.00	\$217.00
U.S. Rate	\$137.25	\$129.00	\$266.25

(USPS 104-000) Published daily (7 days) at Tribune Tower, 435 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL 60611. Chicago Tribune Company, Publisher, second class postage paid at Chicago, IL, and at additional mailing offices.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Chicago Tribune, Mail Subscription Division, 777 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL 60610.

Home Delivery Rates*

Weekly Rates	Daily	Sunday	Daily/Sunday
	\$2.10	\$1.50	\$3.50

*These rates available in areas serviced by Chicago Tribune agents. Rates vary in other areas.

For additional information on home delivery or mail subscription, contact the Chicago Tribune Circulation Department, Room FC 300, 435 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL 60611 or call 1-800-TRIBUNE.

Unsolicited manuscripts, articles, letters and pictures sent to the Chicago Tribune are sent at the owner's risk.

©1990 Chicago Tribune Company. All rights reserved as to the entire content. Chicago Tribune is a registered trademark.

SPURTSW... Orig. \$15-\$110, sale 11.99-89.99, final cost 5.99-44.99.

EXTRA 50% OFF

MISSES', WOMEN'S, PETITES' & JUNIORS' REDUCED SPRING/SUMMER DRESSES. Orig. \$36-\$300, sale 29.99-249.99, final cost 14.99-124.99.

EXTRA 50% OFF

LADIES' & JUNIORS' REDUCED FALL/WINTER '90 COATS & JACKETS. Orig. \$80-\$370, sale 59.99-249.99, final cost 29.99-124.99.

EXTRA 50% OFF

FULL-LENGTH MINK COATS. Furs on 7. Orig. \$3995, sale \$1995, final cost \$995.

EXTRA 50% OFF

ALREADY REDUCED FOUNDATIONS & PANTIES. Orig. 3.32-23.50, sale 2.33-16.99, final cost 1.16-8.50.

EXTRA 50% OFF

ALREADY REDUCED LADIES' DAYWEAR, SLEEPWEAR, LEISUREWEAR & ROBES. Orig. \$14-\$150, sale 9.99-92.99, final cost 4.99-46.49.

EXTRA 50% OFF

JUNIORS' PALMETTO'S SHORTS. Orig. 9.99, sale 4.99, final cost 2.49.

EXTRA 50% OFF

JUNIORS' SELECTED KNIT TOPS. Orig. 9.99-\$28, sale 6.99-18.99, final cost 3.49-9.49.

75% OFF

SPECIAL PURCHASE LADIES' THERMALS. Reg. 6.99, sale 1.75.

50% OFF

SELECTED FAMOUS MAKER LADIES' PANTIES. Orig. 4.75-5.25, sale 2.38-2.63.

ACCESSORIES

EXTRA 50% OFF

ALREADY REDUCED HANDBAGS. Orig. \$12-\$250, sale 6.99-\$175, final cost 3.49-87.50.

EXTRA 50% OFF

ALREADY REDUCED FASHION JEWELRY. Orig. \$10-\$35, sale 5.99-22.99, final cost \$3-11.50.

EXTRA 50% OFF

LADIES' KNIT ACCESSORIES. Hats, gloves & scarves. Orig. \$10-\$32, sale 5.99-15.99, final cost 2.99-7.99.

EXTRA 50% OFF

REDUCED LADIES' CASUAL SOCKS. Orig. \$6-\$12, sale 3.99-5.99, final cost 1.99-2.99.

EXTRA 20% OFF

ALREADY REDUCED FINE JEWELRY. 14k gold chains, chain bracelets & charms.



Today, spend \$25 or more at our State Street Store and your first 3 hours of parking is just \$5! Park at the "Self-Park" garage located at the SW corner of Adams/Wabash. Validate your receipt at our Customer Service Desk.

SHOP STATE STREET SUNDAY

Unsigned, no return
address & it took 10
days to reach me from
Chicago area?

Reid 10/15/91



Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Rd,
Frederick, MD
21702

18 JULY 92

① .

DEAR MR. WEISBERG.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR
LETTER OF JULY 7 CONCERNING
MY QUESTIONS.

I'VE BEEN KIND OF A
PEST, I APOLOGIZE. IT WOULD
SEEM, I WAS TRYING TO INSERT
MYSELF INTO SOME KIND OF
INTRIGUE. AS A RULE I PROTECT
MY CORRESPONDANCE GUARDEDLY
AND INCIDENT WITH PHOTOCOPY
TO ATTORNEY KING WAS AS
I EXPLAINED (SHARING OF
RELEVANT INFORMATION) HIS
FATHER AND MINE WERE FIRST
COUSINS, THOUGH THE ATTORNEY
AND I HAVE NEVER MET.

(2)

I AM SORRY TO ADMIT MY VOCABULARY IS NOT WHAT IT SHOULD BE, AND WITH EACH COUPLE OF PAGES OF YOUR BOOKS I STOP AND LOOK UP 2-3 NEW (IMPORTANT ANYWAY) ~~NEW~~ WORDS THIS HAS BEEN BENEFICIAL TO ME. (ALSO SOME LATIN)

I FIND MANY OF THE PASSAGES IN YOUR BOOKS (I STILL HAVE NOT COMPLETED 'WHITEWASH II') TO CONTAIN A SUBTLE HUMOR WHICH MAKE THE DIFFICULT REALITIES YOU RELATE EASIER TO DIGEST. I DO READ PAGES SLOWLY, EVEN REPEATEDLY BECAUSE I WANT TO KNOW THESE FACTS.

(3)

I WILL NOT RACE THROUGH THESE BOOKS, COMPREHENDING TOO LITTLE, SIMPLY TO SATISFY SOME QUANTATIVE GOAL.

I HAVE FOUND ~~IT~~ MAKING FLASH CARDS OF INDEX CARDS USEFUL IN REMEMBERING THE MANY, MANY NAMES INVOLVED LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONELL, WITNESS ESS, THE WARREN COMMISSION, MEDICAL PERSONELL ETC, ETC... EVEN TERMINOLOGY SPECTROGRAPHIC, HETERODYNING, INDEPENDENT ~~THE~~ PSYCHOSIS PHENOMENON ETC, ETC...

I LOVE OUR COUNTRY, THESE UNITED STATES, BUT I SEE THAT THE PEOPLE WERE DECEIVED IN THIS MATTER, PERHAPS THAT IS WHY I AM FASCINATED WITH PARTICULARS.

④

ALSO I SEE A CONTINUED COMPLACENCY IN SOME (NOT ALL) SOME BELIEVING THE TRUTH WILL COME OUT IN TIME, WE NEED ONLY BE PATIENT.

My assumption is "Evidence, testimony of witnesses and witnesses themselves were so badly treated that the truth can never be known"

Yet, I WILL CONTINUE TO STUDY AND LEARN WHAT I CAN IN THE MEAN TIME.

You ONCE TOLD ME OVER THE PHONE (IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY) THE RULE OF THUMB, IS DON'T START WITH A THEORY. I LIKE THAT ADVICE AND INTEND TO FOLLOW IT.

⑤ ANYWAY SIR, I AM 38 NOW AND I WAS 9 YEARS OLD WHEN I RAN OUT OF A RESTRAUNT IN WAIKIKI IN JUNE OF 1963 TO VIEW THE PRESIDENT AS HE DROVE PAST, I DID NOT GET A GOOD LOOK AT HIM BUT I REMEMBER THE EXHILARATION I FELT AS I ~~HE~~ FLEW OUT ONTO THE STREET HOPING TO VIEW OUR NATIONS LEADER. IT WAS LIKE BEATLEMANIA AND BEATLEMANIA HADNT HAPPENED YET.

WHAT WILL I GAIN FROM STUDYING THE ASSASSINATION? CERTAINLY A BETTER GRASP OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.
(THATS GOOD)

⑥

BUT THE MORE I KNOW, THE
FACTS THE LESS I WILL BE FOOLED
BY THEORIES OF THE MONTH AND
GOVERNMENT PRESENTATIONS.
THAT IS A GOAL OF MINE.

I WILL READ ALL YOUR
BOOKS AND "ACCESSORIES AFTER
THE FACT" AND "PRESUMED GUILTY"
BOTH OF WHICH YOU SUGGESTED
OVER THE PHONE.

I REALLY DO APPRECIATE YOUR
STEERING ME TOWARDS FACTS AND
AWAY FROM MISINFORMATION,
I AM SINCERELY GRATEFUL.

WITH RESPECT,

DAVID M. KING