

December 11, 1970

Hon. Bernard A. Messell  
U. District Judge  
U. Court House  
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Judge Messell,

Your decision in civil action no. 710-70, in which I am the plaintiff, did not reach my table today, having been incorrectly addressed by inadvertence.

If the language "no showing that defendants have failed to comply with any court order" refers to defendants' statement that no affidavit was filed, I did file such an affidavit as soon as I could after receiving the papers containing that allegation.

I had not been informed this had been assigned to you. Therefore, when the clerk asked the name of the judge of no, I gave that of Judge Varian. This may or may not have delayed the routing of the affidavit until after your decision was filed.

With all due respect, sir, as of today - have not received all of what was ordered delivered to me, nor has there been response to letters of request in one case - after the issuance of the order.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

DEC 4 1970

HAROLD WEISBERG,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
JUSTICE, ET AL.,

Defendants.

JAMES F. DAVEY, Clerk

Civil Action No. 718-70

O R D E R

This case having come before the Court on plaintiff's Petition to Show Cause and Motion for Relief, and defendants' opposition thereto, and the Court finding in the allegations of the petition no showing that defendants have failed to comply with any court order, it is this 3rd day of December, 1970,

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion is denied, and that his Petition to Show Cause is hereby dismissed.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE