8/3/70

Mr. Bernard Fensterwold 927 10 St., N# Poshington, D.C. 20905

t A

Dear Bud,

<u>Ş</u>

ģ

「「「「「「「「「「「」」」

1004205464454651665

一、「「「「「「「「」」」」

Mr. Rieindionst's August 26 letter to you and your response have just errived. No matter how late Mr. Eleindiens78s interest in keeping things meat and orderly manifests itself. I am, naturelly, envious to accomedate him. Therefore, I write this letter to you, with a carbon, so that, if you find it not inconsistent with the norms of your profession, you may be saved the not inconsiderable time of rewriting, and merely forwarding.

I believe it both necessary and desirtable to knop the record straight. "Mis dannot be done without response to Mr. Ricindienst's letter. It perplexes me. I can readily understand its self-serving purposes, to which I feel I must also respond, but this is not all.

The last words in his let ter are, "while this case remains in litigation". I an under the impression that there is no longer any litigation in this case, Civil Action No. 719-70, Judge Curren having signed a summery judgement in my presence on August 19.

On August 20 and 21 I wrote the Attorney General, Mr. Eleindienst and Mr. Bolapp. You will recall you were then every. These letters were necessary only because, contrary to Mr. Eleindienst's lotter, the Department had not complied with the order of a federal judge. To these letters, all received by the Department prior to Mr. Eleindienst's letter, there has been no response.

I think it not unfair, on rereading my letter of August 13, to say that Mr. Eleindienst's letter is not a response as much as a self-serving argument, possibly motivated by a desire to leave a record congenial to what the Department desires to be in its files, which are also tos people's files. These deal with important events in our history and should be pure.

Mr. Eleindienst says my request "was ultimately resolved by the "ttorney General himself". This is not so. It was resolved only by my filing this action, was resolved only because of that action, and resulted in the summary judgement referred to above. Prior to that judgement, Judge Curran issued an order to the Department and it failed to comply with that order.

Mr. Kleindienst refers to the consumption of time sithin the "epertment. I an evere of the time and others costs wested for se, but I must ask you to remind Mr. Aleindienst that had his original letter on this matter ast been/entirely inconsistant with both the fact and the law, the Department would not have had to invest this time. The wested time and costs are mine, not the Department's.

"Every effort was made by employees of this Department to be as

cooperative as possible", Mr. Ricindienat writes. To credit this requires rewriting the dictionery, at least with respect to "cooperative" and "possible". The Department began by ignoring my proper request, which I regard as a violation of the law. It then failed to answer your latter, written on my behalf, for three nonthe. It then, after I filed this suit and it decided to evoid the court record, not only failed to tell you or me how they would make this file available to me, but it also faile to respond to your telephone calls cocking to arrange this. It then delayed the simple, mechanical chores of providing the copies for so long, when my incuiries were again, persistently, ignored, that It was necessary to sack relief in the court. It then violated the order of a federel judge and in doing so, I am confident, committed perjury. And to this dey it has failed or refused to supply what " initially requested, the protection both the Department and I would have hed in a court hearing, a letter from the person in charge of that perticular file stating that - had been given access to the entire file. Refusel to supply this let ter is herdly a demonstration of "conperution", nor is it consistent with honesty or sincerity of Department purpose and intent.

1.19.00

AND ALL ADDRESS ADDRESS

ģ

No. - Anterestic Soler Co.

and the second of the second second

Mr. Eleindienst's clein that there was a difficulty in locating the file cover may be explained by his having been misinformed, which I am ready to believe may have been the case. But there was no difficulty in locating it for, so you know, having been there with me. I personally put it in Mr. Beraley's hand and he personelly told me he would not supply a copy of it. To save Mr. Rieindienst the time that is opporently required within the Department in finding what may emberrans employees on a lower level, and to inform him of thefact, the realities, may 1 request that you send him copies of Mr. Eardlay's letters to you and your response as they relate to this point. The difficulty, I repeat, was not in locating. And also bearing on this point, if it is not burdensome to you, I think it would be helpful to Mr. Kleindienst's understanding of the kind of cooperation I was given to send him a copy of the page from Mr. Anderson's effidevit, encirkling the dete and morking his reference to having "delive red" a copy to me on August 12, which he did not do, and the proof thereof in Mr. Rardley's subsequent letter sending a copy. If you do this, please, you will also have replied in what I would regard as an adequate monner to Mr. Sleindisnet's allegation that "the Court ... (was) advised in a frank and caudid sanner".

I regrat thenced for making a record that may, in the future, be taken as other than favorable reflection on the performance of public servants. There is on easy way to eliminate this, however, and that is for the government to do what Mr. Eleindienst claims it has done but has not. As of today, afor exemple, there has been no response to my request for a class and complete copy of the picture, made from themegative. I consider this not in second with Mr. Eleindienst's words or representation.

The costs that were mested in this matter were mins, not thoses of the Department, and they were inflicted upon me by the Departmentis feilurs to comply with the law. I have slreedy raised this point with Mr. Kleindienst and he has failed to respond, so i must keep the record accurate but I may have to consider whether I may went to enter a claim.

The Department slows is responsible for my noving to seeks your services, and they have not, to my knowledge, offered to pay for them. Therefore, I hop: you will find it unnecessary to do more tunn forward this letter to Sr. Kleindienst, so that your own costs will be minimal.

Sincerely,

Harold & tehang