Mr. H. Richards Relapp, Special Assistant to the Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Hashington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Relapp.

Your letter of February 2 is hard for me to credit and in any event does not meet the clear requirement of the Attorney General's "Memorandum on the Public Information Section of the Administrative Procedure let."

The material I seek is not clone the basis of a sport made by, for and/or on babel? of the Atterney General of the United States, which would seem to require that it, in fact, be "in the files of the Department of Justice. It is also part of a brief presented in court by the Department. It is only a year sime use by your department. Are you talking me the Department usually cleaness its files in less them a year?

Or are you telling me the "nited States government has destroyed all such records? If they are not in the files of our Department, your Department certainly knows what it did with them, and this you are required to do something about. I quote from page 24 of the memorandum cited:

path with procedural obstacles when these essentially internal Government problems arise. Agencies generally should treat a referred request as if it had been filed with the agency to which the matter is ultimately referred. Now I would presume that the Department of Justice is the "agency" of "exclusive concern" when something by or for the Atterney General is involved, when a court record is involved, a court sation and the presentation of evidence in court. This same paragraph also says, however, , "Where a record is requested which is of concern to more than one agency, the request should be referred to the agency those interest in the record is paramount", It is then expected to "consult" with "the other interested agencies".

In short, if the Department of Justice is not the "egency whose interest in the record is paramount", as a laymon would presume to be the case with Department of Justice business, you are still required to refer this matter to other interested agencies.

Should you persist in denying me these records to mich believe I mm entitled, I sak that the refusel be signeds by the Atterney General himslef so I can proceed forthwith under the law without added, unmedessary procedural obstacles being placed in my path.

Sincerely,

Harold "eisberg