Conspiracy Case Judge Withdraws

By Lawrence Meyer Washington Post Staff Writer

U.S. District Judge Gerhard A. Gesell, who Thursday angrily criticized the prosecution's handling of conspiracy charges against eight metropolitan police officers, withdrew from the case yesterday at the request of the U.S. attorney's office.

Before withdrawing, Gesell clarified a ruling he had made late Thursday, explaining that all charges against four of the defendants were dismissed. The prosecution said it would appeal Gesell's ruling.

Gesell's decision to withdraw at the prosecution's request, which the judge said was his first since he was appointed to the bench in 1968, came at the end of another tense hearing in which he and the prosecution stated its recollections of the events that led to Gesell's criticism Thursday.

The eight officers, two of whom have resigned from the force, were charged with conspiring to make false arrests, involving false statements and perjured testimony, in order to collect compensatory and paid court overtime. The alleged ringleader was Lt. Harold F. Crook, former head of the third police district vice squad.

The prosecution Wednesday asked Gesell to dismiss both a conspiracy and a perjury charge against Crook because of cooperation he had given the U.S. attorney's office with

See GESELL, B6, Col. 5

Judge Quits Police Conspiracy Case

GESELL, From B1

an investigation said to be unrelated to the trial of the eight officers.

Gesell refused to dismiss the perjury charge against erate Crook on Thursday, stating tion. that the request was a "travesty" of justice growing out of "distasteful" bargains that would allow Crook to go "scot free" while the other officers still would have to stand trial,

the conspiracy charges against had been completed, it would all eight officers-explaining yesterday that his action also dismissed charges of making ant's (Crook's) safety." false statements against four of the defendants-and or trial had to be continued. Shudered the four others charged with perjury to stand trial.

The effect of Gesell's ruling was to leave perjury charges outstanding against Crook, Frank D. Tucci, Charles D. Mundy and Robert L. Douglas. Charges of conspiracy and the other seven, was rein-making false statements were dicted separately on Sept. 8. dismissed against Rocco Cianclotti Jr., William E. Goulart, Finish H. McGraw and Ronald S. Taylor.

During yesterday's hearing, Assistant U.S. Attorney Robwith another investigation.

scheduled Sept. 11 trial date would be useful.

At the same time, Shuker said, "We also informed Your Honor that we were convinced that if the charges were dismissed against him (Crook) be-Instead, Gesell dismissed fore his cooperation activities abort that investigation and might jeopardize the defend-

> As a result, Shuker said the ker said Gesell agreed that the trial had to be delayed. Shuker said that he also told Gesell that since Crook would probably not be tried, a new indictment would be needed. Crook, originally indicted with

"In sum," Shuker concluded, "it is my memory that we were completely candid with Your Honor."

"The court's recollection is quite different," Gesell reert A. Shuker gave his account sponded. "I recall only one of three meetings that he said meeting, but it makes no diftook place in July with Gesell ference whether it was one or about Crook's cooperation three." At that meeting, Gesell said he was told that "no one Shuker said that Gesell was knew whether Lt. Crook was

|told that the investigation or was not going to cooperate" turned in September, Gesell would go beyond the then or whether his information said that appeared to him "a

but that charges against Crook Gesell said he recognized would be dismissed if he coop- and told prosecution lawyers erated in the other investiga- that they had a problem about delaying the trial-"how you that you would probably have to promptly disclose the cooperation of this Mr. Crook."

"You came before me and other defendants and it was you did not do that," Gesell for that reason that I took the said. "You came before me (in action I did." July) and you represented before me that you could not delay the trial, to "clear the prove the indictment and that air" of hostility. Gesell said he you wanted a new indict- had no hostility, but asked ment."

Gesell said it had "never" been discussed with him question initially but then "whether Mr. Crook was or later asked Gesell to do so. was not cooperating." When Gesell said he did not th

clear indication" that Crock and the other seven would be prosecuted.

Gesell repeated "that I believe the United States attorney has improperly maneuwere going to achieve it and vered and the United States attorney has improperly represented the situation in open court to this court and to the

> Shuker then asked Gesell to Shuker if he wanted Gesell to withdraw. Shuker avoided the

Gesell said he did not think the new indictment was re- he was "prejudiced or biased"