

To Howard. Part carbon missed ref to Kaiser's Post story 4/17/73 on plight Soviet dissidents. Don't know if you want. Don't return.

essence what is said about naming names is not new to you, can you from this alone see why, once our own irresponsibles showed themselves for what they are I kept speaking of restricting information to a need-to-know basis? There is no direct comparison, of course, but the point is that those of whom it was not expected did repeat what it would have been better for others not to repeat. Daniel-Sinyavsky: I remember that one very well because it involved two with whom I had had unpleasant experiences. The Baroness Maura Budberg was then my British agent. She had Collins, a major publisher, interested, they had given WW editorial approval, and they gave it to Sparrow, the same one, for a reading. He killed it. So, when Collins was the publisher and weeping commercial tears for the Daniels and Sinyavskys, I asked them what about own own who can't be read or heard? I have forgotten their answer. The Post had an editorial along the same lines. They had been ~~in~~ dawdling and faking for a year, just about. After this eloquent editorial I wrote Al Friendly, then mg. ed., and asked him save for torture and degree, was there any essential difference between the Daniels and Sinyavskys and those of our own writers who, like I, faced policy rejections and could not take to the people what was not otherwise available to them. His response was a short okay, you win; bring it in and it will be read. It was. They didn't keep our bargain, but you know the size and effect of that 5/31/66 story.3) When Sparrow raised his ugly head and voice several years ago, his denial of this bit with Collins was like a Ron Ziegler statement and after a brief exchange, he fell and has remained silent. Circling back to the plight of the Soviet dissidents, those who weep largest tears and loudest are those who practise the US variant. They use the Soviet condition as propaganda and to present themselves as men of decent concern, dedication to untrammelled freedom, etc. HW 4/17/73