Dear Jonx:
I shound heve tuco and sent you a carbue of a lotect in wote Halsin at hoisinc
 to you.

What hapgened is that one I'd trunter decided to do na article on the basis of

 social consmanoe wanted to taili to me about ford but cecidod acaingt it by the tixe
 w frionc and collousue in this hew book mion wo met at thes axport to work for about

 because one of the four subressions wa: to Rollife Stove and Wrash had not mesponded to yy anomer to nis lettor reaponiline to mine on the irroaponaiblisty of hise planned thiak piece on theorien of the assanginntiona, I roosinded hem that the firnt aproach of exy kind I head macie was through you to them. You mill recember you told mo you got no where.
 yestordey a my net to agonimine cemer one, which they haven't told ro and I lon't really


 was one of the sovor. Co siss:cunerg.) This interast, this one requast for proor not pub-
 sttention to tha coatent can be a najor broaitherough for us as well as finnncini sal-
 prior to the Mintar. I have also rado a aincio printmodis approach and i cen heor for
 why you don"t have a coly in conéidonce.

The mainten'a paper aource is on atrike, Ho had to cet a spocial ahimacht frow
 tores too laven, which I discovered ta chackn ny the buses. This cost him heavily for
 of blives for me to chock, ho went shead and rointed. Wall, ho had a page upaide doms in one ific and four out of omer in another. He tius westod $2 / 7$ of the entire papor cost and had these tho sityn ti zepriat. da $\dot{x}$ of dis nofore yecterciny his new paper
 have the one fron which ne indexed.

 I fecl norys for the fellow who did it because then ho was pwreunaijr conifonted with a whole atrinais of fietions he had fabritatod in cricy to justify to hiuself what be had


This is another espect of the Yarijian symurom. With $I$ is wivy bo worse. In this




Wayne Chastain phoned mee richt alter I returnod. We had a jonc teli. Ac I told you,
 in $2 / 71$ I did hate reazon of boliser that the rsal Youndizood wass in lieaphis at that time. There need be wothing unuaun ebout this. What I'm going into this for is to try to help you sipin fover whenla. Thare is an onsy test you can make in your own mind. If you vere part
of an asansination plot would you bake yousclf conspicuous in the aroa in wiacri it was to bo conltted? If you wore part of a plot, would you have a man in the aceme who had no sunction in it but could ba recomatiged?





 by sppetrases in such $e$ zac.

 the veede of the ainstrer farcen art arwat, bo that they wo if inw thig kind of stuff.

 othices.

Frit olviret of tha peress has ite oum etandard of "objectivity. if a ghotrable
 Anhentoty avervieble st wy he.

Oar row monlity liva am to the lamijian majufacturesif




 time awi juigcremt, an with the wme and noney you afent have given your audiance what It dice 760 d to lwous

Lut we natign bont onotrry cest of there mat capers you can expoct. I last hoard






 thetif fut it ioes hepper in rank 2190 end there yeally nas no reason to kill Shaw anyway. What be wes chnred with was inherontiy incredsble, so it will be alleged that in his diving momente be was nbolt to confens to ite









 influenoe. I'w livod vith tivin long, Jon. I hope you nill bolievore no.
jsother apoiogy for the fasto nad the typos. Btnersely,

