Dear John,

I should have made and sont you a carbon of a letter I wrote Walsh at Rolling Stone carly this work. Sorry I forgot. I mentioned you in it. He may or may not speak to you.

What happened is that one I'd trusted decided to do an article on the basis of my new book. He made not one but four simultaneous submissions. I learned of it just as I was leaving town last Krishnx week. (A rich man who systematically suffers a social conscience wanted to talk to me about Ford but decided against it by the time I re ched him.)I write this younger former friend a scorching letter and gave it to my friend and colleague in this new book when we met at the airport to work for about en hour in the May case. He then got in touch with the other gay, who wrote letters withdrawing the submission. But he wrote only three letters, my colleague noted.So, because one of the four submissions was to Rolling Stone and Walsh had not responded to my answer to his letter responding to mine on the irresponsibility of his planned think piece on theories of the assansinations, I resided him that the first approach of any kind I had made was through you to them. You will remember you told me you got no where.

There really are a number of major stories in this new work. As of day before yesterday a TV net is agonizing over one, which they haven't told me and I don't really eare. They asked me for proof of accething I mention casually and, under the circumstances, you can understand I tell you in confidence: my relations with the late Semator Russell and his disagreement with a sujor part of the Warren "sport. (If you are too youbg, he was one of the seven Cowminstence). This interest, this one request for proof not sublished in the work, can be tribual or significant, I don't know which. Sut the TV net attention to the content can be a major breakthrough for us as well as financial salvation on the book. We had to berrow to print, besides the not inconsiderable costs prior to the printer. I have also made a single print-media approach and I can hear for a couple of weeks. In fact, I don't yet have a book to send. I'll explain. It is also why you don't have a copy in confidence.

The printer's paper source is on strike. He had to get a special shipment from out of town, which remour costs up something like another 10%. Then he shot the megatowes too large, which I discovered in checking the blues. This cost him heavily for he had to remhoot the entire job and then restrip. So, instead of making a new set of blues for me to check, he went ahead and reinted. Well, he had a page upside down in one sig and four out of order in another. He thus wasted 2/7 of the entire paper cost and had these two signs to reprint. As i of day nefore yestefday his new paper had not come. The TV net is working from a zerox. And I can't afford another meror. I have the one from which we indexed.

So, as often happens, I have to maste time sort of in the role of fireman, in this case to prevent what I take to be an uncontrolled ego doing an unconscionable thing. I feel morel for the fellow who did it because when he was personally confronted with a whole string of fictions he had fabricated in order to justify to himself what he had done he was considerably embarraseed. He is not by nature a crock nor invesponsible.

This is another aspect of the Yamijian syndrome. With Y is may be worse. In this case, while the fellow told hisself otherwise, he had a craving for attention.

As you know, books are folded as hand, so we can't may for press time to have a couple of defective books folded so we can have a few to work with.

Wayne Chastain phoned me right after I returned. We had a long talk. As I told you, I like Wayne. I do not think his thesis on the King assassination is tenable even through in 2/71 I did have reason to believe that the real Youngblood was in Memphis at that time. There need be nothing unusual about this. What I'm going into this for is to try to help you spin four wheels. There is an easy test you can make in your own mind. If you were part

21631 14

of an assassination plot would you hake youself conspicuous in the area in which it was to be conditted? If you were part of a plot, would you have a man in the some who had no function in it but could be recognized?

Apply the case test to bealey Plaza. Sprague's and Carrison's sparoach. The Yanijian flotions based on realities that are irrelevent to the flotions and janzed us with visuals that are not related to anuthing he claims.

Livingston has finally provised no he will shat up. I hope he does.

The last issanity of his I received is something called "Confidential Flash," the piece signed Val Howard, probably a name used instead of one that could be damaged by appearance in such a rag.

This whole eracy/sturid idvingsion thing has been very hurtful and comes at a time when there is much serious work to be done, of which he has done near; and when the needs of the sinister forces are great, so that they go in for this kind of stuff. Meanwhile, he was no utterly incorpetent about it that we can uget from him a live clus to the source of the "epartment of Disinformation, Whether it is official or other.

Each element of the press has its own standard of "objectivity." If a quotable source mays something it wants to print it become objective to quote, no matter now inherebily incredible it may be.

The net result is that people are misinformed.

Can any reality live up to the Tasijian manufactures?

.11 the stuff you got from "ivingeton was rubbish. For whatever my opinion is workt, use time and nearly you speat on it were worke then wested. And your audience is worke off for his You and young and will learn from your own experiences. But please try to learn the most difficult thing of all as seen as you can be your own devil's advocate. You one't really afford these wastes, in time you will not be proud of the time and judgement, and with the time and money you might have given your audience what it did need to know.

Let so warm you about enother case of these mut capers you can expect. I last heard that the N.O. convers was upset that Clay Shaw as buried without an autopsy. Tou can be your own devil's advocate on this by determining of he exhaused and performed an autipsy. Byaceident I was able to do some checking. It says that Show was in terminal cancer for a year the last six aouths of which he had a mplical student living with him. The report of the mysterious ambulance the day before can't be found and won't respond to appeals to report? It was an energymoun call. The part I foor is that this cancer also reached his brein, which is unit imposed to Huby. Can't you imagine what the muts can do with that? But it does heppen in real life and there really was no reason to kill Shaw anyway. What he was charged with was inherently incredible, so it will be alleged that in his dying moments he was about to confess to it.

I owe you the spology for not asading you a carbon of my latter to Walsh. The rest of this is a little time I take before breakfast in an effort to help you be a better reporter. In time you will come to understand that all this crap appearing almost without exception in all the alternative modia is the most effective service to the Department of Disminformation, a service so thorough it is beyond they capacity to buy. This does not mean that they may het figure in it. Now no proof and no reason to believe they de. All that concerns us is the result. One is to destroy all credibility. Another is to make truth unacceptable, unidentifiable. Another is to reduce the little chance that the angle modia, which has been reached, will pay any attention to what is solid. And the major modia is the means by which most people are reached. Including these who do have influence. I've lived with this long. Jon. I hope you will believes me.

Another apology for the haste and the typos. Sincercly,