compost of the decising whether to write bud last night. He is children where his sensoif at importances in political assessinations is concorned, long time ago it sens fasted itself in actions saws reflecting at the belief that became he is ded and became he spent his own money to organize a consittee he owned the subject. Reposally because he was so noble of purpose and unself in intent and he came to believe it generally, along with a paramodeal bolist that the worse it proved itself to be the sore he was beset by jeulous encales, of when I'm chief.

Actually, a sajor pat of his problem has two parts; his concept was impractically and was an abortion exactly as I told him it would when he maked me to join it and I refused (as a political infant should have known); and because if he didn't know before it and all that has happened aince knowledgestational and intermed that he is utterly and completely incompletely with it, on the Ray case he has learned that he is utterly and completely incompletent in the filed and in some aspects of the law. He rements, deeply, his dependence first on me and then on you, and what he has seen that we do that he deem't. It is a strange twist. A rational was would delight in it. He has acquired a cent-to-comet farm for sork that was not his and of which he was incapable -often done over his objections - and that does not satisfy his and does, excretly, will him.

Example this at more I was confronted with a problem when I heard from Wo inlay. His result remains rationally when his ego is involved. So, I figured that whatever I told his he'd twist into se threatening him. Think is not the case, but what is real is trust his own crossy behavior has brought about a situation I did describe to him. He can believe it or not, and because he wasn't dealing with you and me, people who is order to accomplish a socially-useful purpose have once to take his abuse although in his sickness of mind he twisted it this may and may someone else getting attention he loves tand there would none for either of us in an interview. He rebelled and more up objections hax that were they valid wore presenters and having matinized his pure mind on this score he went crass. He is so used to our taking it he does it automatically. Only this time it wasn't really us.

The realities of life and law and court decisions is that what Mckinley has in mind serves Ray's interest in a way it has never been served. For the first time it is possible. It also served other interests. There is nothing bee in it.

However, this is not my point. Bud has a simple way out of this and I did not suggest it to him. So can go back to the reasonable position he took to my face, I now think never meaning it, and find out exactly what they have in mind. He can then decide, on that basis only, what he thinks of it. And there is no recom reasonable objection to what I've discussed with Jim. So, unless there is a change in it, I'm not abdicating my own judgment.

This would be hard on his ego. There is nothing I can do about that. Then Jim and Playboy will go shead with their article which will be one of oxision. and if I am asked, for May publication, questions to which I think the time has come for an honest ensure. I might decide that indeed the time has come and give a few honest answers.

What troubled me more in just having read the really fine job you did on mobalising decisions on the obligations of a criminal langer especially as they relate to investigation. But has violated every one of those points. The only reason there was any investigation is because I did it. In no single case did he even send no out on one, unless you call arking me to do even his work and actually drafting the affidavite investigation. And as you know, I elected and followed another course. And you drafted these affidavite. He never allowed me to go off on any investigating. Our original agreement was specific and contrary to this. The only investigating I've been able to do in the field was in every case incidental to something also and my election, my initiative. When there were specific investigations that clearly had to be made he refused to my only the actual costs. (Wouldn't that look great is he has to face this questions sometimes!) And you saw that the sinute the case was over except for the reading of the transcripts, while he did not again ask, direct or see the needs for further investigating, I want out on it. You saw some of the results, too.

My point here is not a threat, either. It is again a reality that becomes a very real threat because of that "ud does not doe not because of re-

At some point he, if he stays, in thing to be in exactly the position you bandle so effectively with Forena. To has been in it all along except for me.

And I'm not going to remain in the position in which I have been about money with which to do the relatively inexpensive investigating that has to be done still. I am never again going to let im be in a position to insult and above so about it, either. And I think I'm also going to insist on pay, at the same rate he pays Ken, for his responsibility in a original case is no less than that for fatoric in givil cases.

I was trouble by two aspects of these the reality, that has been guilty of the same offenson you charge so well; and the fact that if I called this to his mick wind he'd read a threat into it. So, I called it. But with a sem who allowed himsels to be rational I certainly would not have.

Some the rose there like there arede. I think you, too, should be every that at some point we will have to face them and in the back of your wind have the question "hou?" their what conditions? If he again refuses an absolutely accountry investigatory expense what will so do?

cartin made a risk about seeing bridges to cross that were never crossed. We came to very few. But where we fall because we didn't now the bridge, in nex case was it that I hadn't seen the bridge. I see this one and I intend to begin holding Bud to the appreciant he made at the output. We haven't done all this work just to let his fuck it up to include his concept of polf. And Mis.y isn't gain; to be hostage to his excitonal mode.

I don't anticipate any meed to have anything to do with had for the issessiate future so I non't. As can do what wer he cante about the limpley education. But I wise have and will use my freedom of judgestate. If had donen't straightful his head out and give good, solid tangible proof of it then he will have none my decisions for me. I have no intention of arguing with him about this or the many he occur so or anything also. He has t already marted too such time for me.

The sacrifices of the past are over, too. I had no copies of frame-Up with me when I could have rold then to people who wented and needed them. I had none of the new book when it conved our interest very sadd for me to have copies for the present and I stayed out casers when being on would have been legitimate and the natural promotion of the new book also would have been.

Per what he did and didn't do? Mever again!

I think I carboned you, but if I didn't, prior to bearing from in I asked him to send so what ind asked for, what they have in sind. Were I not convinced that the Jimsy interview is right, proper, necessary and the best approach I'd be positive if to do a story and an angled one that would also be bornet.

Sincomir.