Kem Brootem's visit to you

Your sailing of the 26 is theely. Today's sail is light. I'll use the time to be Dear Howard. more exploit than I have been in the carbons, if you've had time to read them.

the maron of the story on Sprague's appearance at the Press Club vreakfast you had Ken Brooten's card and your note saying he had stopped off to see you for a moment, "would like to get together with me later to talk to me about the JFK case and said he had my letter to Serague. I told him I'd be glad to talk."

You probably sent me your letter to Sprague. I do not recall it.

This takes no back to the Wecht Clap. You were less nature, less experienced then, so them I had to be more careful not to influence your decision. While I feel somewhat the same way now and assume you will do what you believe to be right, I'm not going to be as indirect bucause with your added naturity there is not the mend and it requires

In a nesse this resinds se of Bobby Kennedy's apt corruption of Dante (good authority too much time. for it not being real, our classics expert erasbel) about that special corner of hell reserved for those who is time of great moral orisis and so forth.

When Brooten returns I think you should tell him that you refuse to have enything to do with the consittee under the existing conditions and attribute this directly to me, telling him I have ment you carbons of what I wrote, or at least some, and that as a to-be lawyer you are offended at the lack of concern for legal rights, if you agree with me because it is even wore offensive when it comes from the Congress.

I've probably not sent you copies of the Sprague letters. Ji has all and can make

If you have any questions on the legal-rights issue by all some ack them. The newest, them essier. on John Ray, is a clear sign of where they are going and why.

You should know that they have already made a meas it will be hard to clean up and that they are running with the "sae disinformers on King, with the PBI's and State's and whore writers' doctrine and belief; and with the auto only on JFA.

As of today they have yet to sak my for a singly record on JFK or a single one of my books except that I think Jereny Akers bought a Franc-Up when he was here toward the end of October. They did not ask me for anything from my files on Ming. I offered what I thought they should have to akers, the transcripts of the evidentiary hearing and the guilty-plea hearing. When I canot find time for the writing I want to return to I am ahead personally on this. When I'd be giving away the result of much unpaid effort I suffer so a recent loss. However, do I have to ask you to tell yourself what this really means and represents? Especially when they are so close to me, can get here so easily?

On 10/20 their research director, who I know from his rigoff days with Absug, told me the first of the excise week bets be taking a room at the Frederick Boliday Inn, would be equipped with his own xerox eachies, and expected to stay there until he coxied all he wanted. his was not at my invitation. e just assumed it. This was after I had written by request as you know ead had been told by Bud, then Downing's closest on this, that my proposals on approach had been agreed to.

by telling Sprague I'd have no sore to do with them under t a existing conditions in only a week old so that does not explain any of this. They refused to rick up Jin's and the State of 'emmessee's pleadings before 6th circuit when the made dates to do it and broke them. Sarague agreed, maying he did not want his staff to be prejudiced! by court records, of both sides?

You would have heard from them anyway, particularly from Brosten who can see you and get resaid for all his trips home. But after the buildes I gave them you should expect to hear from sore them him. I think I told in you I advised them to get your book alone as the staff priser on JFK. 10/20.

Brooten and I had a long phone conversation 11/7, when he bragged about working on a Sunday. I was then practly beavy on the Crit deal. Brooten's response was an honest one, that he would not give him word if he felt he could not keep it. He also let Sarague know immediated, another. But he called to sak me about the spectro case, which he learned about only from your book - three weeks after we sat. In the ensuing three weeks no other word, no request for copies of the records, etc. But in that same time they took reden to Dallas for his inquiry into the boselady shirt. They had him before the consistent ogush that uck is which he still paraists about what is in the Z film. This is the way to inform the Members? It is the Aprague et al way. And Cort to tell them lies about the time and remon for the destruction of records and how the rifle found at Jamise's fired the lang shot so they can solve that case and Sprague to tell them they can solve it because the killer in alive. So they investigate a Remain crime in This, very obviously, is hase and their bedding together. (I have a note today saying he has a piece in Midnight dated 12/6.)

If you most more for a catalogue of horrors ask.

By view is that we must disassociate for two reasons: to preserve our own integrity and whatever the future may make possible; and to prescure them to detach from the buts and paramoids and make the effort to be responsible. There is not one think they have done of which I know that is the right thing in a serious, responsible investigation. They are doing the wrong things by intent and are not doing the right things deliberately. Any help to them, no matter how alight, give then cover for this pretched thing they are about.

There is no cause for scatacy from the staff. Do I have to tell you about the Peabers? One, Ford of Tennessee, is considering resigning. Trazier than Downing and Generales on this analysis they can t be, with the odds that Bonzalez will replace Downing next year. Then there is Devine, who is a former FBI agent. And Tauntroy, who now knows what he did with Tane, from more than no (not even acknowledgement of my letter).

The other black "cubers have all been approach and all are cilent. "as Payne spoke to Stokes and I think Burke. Floyd spoke to Fauntroy's s.a. and to Burke personally. As of today I have not heard from a mingle member and have had not even an indirect acknowledgement from Downing in response to the sense asked of me for him. While among decent people this would be a complaint, it is not and it is not my point. It mays that the nuts and self-promoters and self-members have then all locked in.

Sprague isk able, clever, even cuming, but he is not a good person. To typifies all that is wrong with the Worst of professional prosecutors, which is what he is. To makes fines speeches and writes lawyers' letters, evenively. He given his word and then breaks it, with Jim and me having broken the word he gave us 11/17 on 11/16. He even appears on the same show as Hobillan, only not in the same pegacut, only the same show and the same time, giving Hobillan the prestige of his chilling the show. Here on this if you want.

How does he run an inventigation? By going for all the disinformation operations and not asking those who may know anything about them. I illustrate with the Pist's and second one, the Hexican tap on LHO via the tap on the USSR, not new at all but apparently new to them. I have no objection to exiling Phillips in to testify. Ordinarily at the usest, where the meetings are organizational, I'd have none about executive sensions. But when this clearly is disinformational they do it in executive sension? Why? We thus have the consissions all ever again, the name vices by the name kinds of careerists people. Then they rush off to knixx denice. The for fun by not at this stage for investigation or from need. To question a hypist said a translator. If they have been there that long they are career scople. The executive branch would fly them up and that investigative maney could be seved. The time to use it to go to Perico could be saved for when they know enough to go there and what to look for when there. These people don't even know the and fundamentals or don't want to follow them. This also seems it will not be in the form of testicony, will not be available except in the pacret files that will be buried. Great.

For the spooks and the propagandists. In a sense this is worse than the MC. They at least deposed those to whom they spoke and left a record. In this sense the early indications are that Syrague and/or this committee are not going to leave a record for devile loving scripture.

I've already written about other aspects of this. But I do went to emphasize that the same people are doing to these people exactly what they did under the Commission and with this gaug's assent are getting away with it. The result will be the same. Acceptance of the same trick twice in two weeks is a clear reading on the consittee and on Sprague, rangings from sophistication to courage to integrity and including silent acceptance. As a sign for the future only this is terrible.

I don't have to read Sinder's poice I'm glad to get. I will read it, of course. But it has to be Eacther aspect of thesame thing. I've heard him may it. Waste of time. Naybe hedged a little.

We have seen more than enough of the real Sprague, the one the ACLU described accurately. He begins by giving me has word on approach and then does not keep it. We is not the kind to change or adult his own error. He keeps appears repeating the same once and lying about them. Having learned that "ame means disaster he stays with home and repeats the mannochism. Learning what "per really is his first act thereafter is to have his lie to the members. As he then also did himself.

At this point and on this Jim phoned. He has Sprague's word on the withdrawel or holding in abeyance of the subposus on defense counsel. I forgot to remind his it was returnable today. He is now not alone in being worried about Sprague. Tolicoff, who began as his fac, confessed this by phone last night to "in. "in says we are seeing another Carrison. I reminded his of the impression in my first seen, the day I mat Sprague.

We are seeing the real Sprague, not the neophyte lost in a new field. I told Jim what I am briting you and he mind only "Yes" several times. If Sprague has time for the press and all those TV appearances he has time to confirm to a lawyer in writing about holding off on subpossess.

I'm sorry I did not have time to thing this through because I think it can be important to you personally as well as to those few of as who are responsible. I think it is heavy V who referred to "we few? before he went absend to can't xlobber the "ranch, if so it is appropriate. And very important for the country and for deceasy.

Live also been interrupted several times, the last by one of my favority young women, one of two small but chubby AU scanoures. She looks on me as sort of a second father, her roomeste on hil as that kind of mother. From another of my young women friends of whom I'M fond I have just received a xeroxal of someone's asst lettering of this from Memmad Burke: "All that is necessary for the forces of evil to win in the world is for enough good men to do nothing." "We few" and m "emough" if we can be unified in what we perceive, believe and ar willing to dom. Or try.

Jin's personal situation is more couplicated than mine. To has to preserve an open channel as long as he can for Ray, to whom he bears a lawyer's oblication. I consider that is doing as Thave - and believe so will - I as meeting mine. I as without doubt that you will meet yours as you see it. I'm hoping you will see it as I do.