When Ifl has a chance to reed and correct it there will be another 10,000 words or more of another draft of another affidavit to comat another arookednees which I fear we will be seafing much time on without roal accomplishment if we do not tum this 1996 tricicary and doopption aroubal.

I think we should evaluste our situation, our options and our priowties. In the coure of this we should also estimate the judge's position and the objectives of the goverment - what to it meana auccoas and fasilure. If we do not and if we failt to grolve a difforeat conswe wowlil be on this oase as long as the Hiss and Rosemberg people have bean. And thay are far firom the end.

In my opdinion what Dugan is getting eway with representag great sucess for the government. $4 t$ prime objectio is to aupreses as much as posaible for as long as poasible. hugan does $n t$ realiy have to worry and doem't have much work to do. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is an ideal stcomeller, "by oharacter. He liea and cares naught about it. All he neod do ta koep filing briefs and amgurents on podnts of haw, contriving a semblarice of aeriousness about each and this will go onf forever.

We have now gotten to the judge with the knowiledge that thoy are realls hiding

 of what can happen in court.

But we are getting no more records and we are net really woving forwawd on anyHinge You are now eoliag to have to take time briefing an argument againgt Dugan's spurious oine. After we beat hif on this ons, as the draft iril be enclosing indicates. he'11 have a aerious of other ones, all fabricated to atall. (I've included the wiser word Watergete or Watergating on purpose, by tha way.)

There $\pm$ e $\$ 00$ much ox 3 porituco you oan to to permit then to ring our noses thas wiay. There is too much darger to the Law irom permitung therin to abuee juiges the way they have in all theee cases where the rechrds are axtensive. While we do not haw a Pratt as judge is the begt time to make what I thinle ary the now necesesty efforts.

What I an talking about is not tightinge That wo do and ve do it well, ss I


 how your linited tisme cam beat be used.

If you'dfeagree you know r'Ll agre with you, an I have alyay when I'w disagroed.
There is ruore than reason in what I'm trying to get acerosa, al though I think reaton is enough. There ia intaition I've oome to taust and I thinic the reoond should persuade you annot inioly ho ignored.

We may well be at the kizt of juncture we were with forias whon But was aimply eftrid and doublecrosaed us all uith his thisdity. Ho gave in to the nicotiea and the norms and let the dirty bastam Haile get away with a nyting. That initial mistaice was the Kiss sist ake, bedns uramanly, calm, tolerant of any abuse, inclucling the parsonal. We then wacted strougly but it meant nothins with Bud's ailence.

We have just had this kind of personel, unproisesional attack on us. We orr to tolerant, to be reatrioted wh who we thank fucteae litre and do not likn. This is on hy I react atroncly in this draft.

There is en importent payohological factor in this. They are tring us out. Thay know us both weli anough. They are trying the judge out at the same time. I think we will lose coutless houms we donpthave and may well jeopardize what we can do if we do not join iasue with tham on tinis alone. We have in the past when we did what others never
 to ad hoxinems the apeals court did not buy.

The apools court ia also operburdened by these cases. The real peason is official diahonosties. This is purt of what I was saying when I snid wo have to arm crean. We have been at least instrumontnl in brin ing about aome changes. Wo have to stay

In the poytr posituon tor many reasons, trou the lak of guts on the parts of others to the political sienific, noe to our casen to pur lnoulodge of fact in all our cases that is not equalled in any of the others or by ony of the others. In those casas there may be mome experiancod lavyera but you slan have knowledge of fact jew if any of them bring to thatr aasobe.

I's also talking about what you've heard wo call intilleotual juio often enoughe
Whe can and must convert our weaknesses to strong. We have to use their strangth, arroganca and disbonesties against theme Whan they are abusive of us or time Court we have these kinds of opponrtunities. Wie work for them if we con baindy explait tinose opportuntitis and fail to, i, an not saying we should be wild, anything like thato i
 recomi nith the unorthodor is that it has bean suruceserfil in every instanco.

While tia judge might have refeated a oomplaiat pbout bugani I thanictinere is no
 and tatriy cloariy of you. I think that it was as afo as anything con be meaning we corld and would have lost nothing by it. It also means we could bays gained a great gavantace by it. He was a niserabin. rotten bastaxd and the acousation is infomous. Iou could have ignered the inherent accusation againg you if gou'd preformed, but I do not a0e baw motra ayone coulis have mede a peep, not aven Dugan, if you had deolared you hape the might and obligetion to defend you client's nasm and to have exposed the fabrication for what it in, a zotiten fabrisction mode up in all parta.
 way. You knew thoy had never ever masiceri a lebmagent's new and there hud never bexa any herresment and that I herd never had any persomal conato, overy with any of them. Dugan is not in my oxinion on the derinsive is in can continue to stail. ficia is his okjoctive, so it wems he lis succeting and has the initiative as he wantio it.
 Ify addresaing this not in parronal twas, not in ary suase in tomar of wiat I got or do not get out oi this suit. I've gottea enowin for literary purposa, numh as more cam be an japrovement and Itm sure would bee. I'm trying to getyou to comaider another asyoct. ${ }^{2}$ belleve that siaxing on things liko this ia what make tive mpatations


 ina aboing that nos that we have passex this in the inwediato you reconsuder shi ask yourself if we did not err and will not contane to exr because of the potential of the actual isste that is dramatised by this persmal eccoes tor wifin there is absolutely no baats at all.

In ng onn and not inconsiderable axperiences in natters of thia nuture I can think of no exoguriok to the ruin that risinas to what I have gren called a quegtion of personal priviloge in the Belin debata) is miverrally resyectom, iwe counterm-





 not that you lawyers con't inve sming about trying amson on opyosing oounsel, which is


 minable morass in whioh the Ropenibergem and thsoes axe mired. we can escape much af

 therefore thiuk it is impirtent to biunt Dugan'a coning move in advance aut that we can


Actusily, we' have bluntad in adyance if we han secepted the intitiotite ho in his arrogence and inder indeancy gave us.

I'm not ocroceraci for a minute atout how the fudge mill rado on his contrivance.
 bafore the libew of lanaher ha way well win on this fiotion ebent harregssant. I thinit
 whe have thought nough ebout them and their objectlves.

To jut this another way thon are thites whan it is whe to try a honc fon
 of the 1 whe no mather how stalwart thene othen lingnen are. "hfo is the place to battor the boll out of their atratath they'va misursed and over-umed.

If mew to nccomplish nothins but a prychological nivantage it nould be


 which is not to gay he wen gtomemall some other wey.

But you dic not get"bosed doum in anythrignot avery an axtm paper to file.
 There will b: miless etatus enlls, ILctitous quastions of pon-existing law to argue
 cesses, nelect what they'll let out in a aequene suitable to tholi jarpones sud have thoir finks, to gajor eftentuon. hande it the way thoy ratate Leok et the press on fiss and Rosenters.





 otatus call, is one hay. Heect ar.




 if thoy get awoy woth one that rake..ithene will be no end.
 Guy Diveicin. We went cithex comghance from all the others or the requarement of the








 There hes been riftually no beparmentol complifnce. they have pucceeded in by-pesidng


 theth zecordy prove they have and are withiocidne, like that fndens.



their braten lie that they have ade an exhaustive gearoh of the FBI's central mecoria and I think she is not ILkely to accopt that as compliance whan there are anly at most lass than twombentha of one percent of the total numbrr of files in Weakington. I have sore great bachomannel staff if you want to usit. how they fake the available Waghington recorde and have the truth in these back charmala. But in all these months they have been able to get aung with this pretense, this gtall, while God knows what records are belug mersorymholed.

If we can prevail on these kunds of eseontial then I thank we are offion a loeer in terms of what you'il get in return far the time 6
 oompliance that we'd get by prevailinge them all is an essantial. There is not and never has been a ressonable prospect of full complianoe.

He also face, I think, what concerns us both, dmage to the good law by diriywordis. The samo hazard we taced in spectro a where by an axceptionally rigorous and Ironial attack once whad grod targets we are comine out fine despite a wretchod judge. They always try to rewrite tha les through ue. I don thent wo mant to ignowe this or duck on it.
that works for ua is the language as woll as tha spitit of the law. fit requires yromptnes. $H_{\text {ere }}$ we are whil over a year ofter the appeal and we have virtuafiy no
 I dontt thinic wont to dehee etately minuets to a casoptany we protend is molodif. We may have to consider departing from the otxict geatiemanlinens that losen when



- He had deceived the judse on all majox quentions. We axm ger and perfert the recora by pritting bin on the alobereville exprens.

Lat me berione I close try to aproach this a direarent yay. 部 do hove a good necord in this. It 1 not as good as it can be and ghould be. it in bedig builit at great cost to us both in tine, and we don't sither of us luave the tins it now looks likm this is gotng to require. haybe we diduct think of this at $t$ e outset bet we do hate
 them baccusse their gane is athil, mai tia olouk.
ust of the good racom we hure is froa the fuige. We have beon content to let hor paico points for us. Thas in fins. Caly ant in perpotudty.
 the burdensomenes; of being reguired to search so many recorvis. Thay now can oven staim-

 efther. Once they have oreated a siftuiton from which they danot no setreat. that the recorts we want are not in Washinetron the have created a Inve ilieticu of burienocuraze. They can now use this in an effort bo mullify the law in 311 najor ceaceoof the past.It is not lass then a real possiblility.

This leaves us with fou altematives, One I thank we have to find sour safe way of addressing is thoir wood faith. Wiseman is one way. Stonetwalling is another and we can establish it. What you did with that press-nolosse uithinoldine is warfect on this. 30 are those $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ast}} \mathrm{c}$ List pages and the unmeapondec-to Aequast of 1570 . These do atl adicess good faith and stanewalling and deliberatemeas. il was watciniug her. "t was ef fective, as you should recall frow her crack about arogn-rofor enees.

He just havo to keop real pressurs on them, not tho kona that lets Dugen glip endresaly into legal Rube Goldbergigms the judge feels bound to fo alonc with. Let $u s$ wice this first the last for which whe hill have the kjaney!
f'm not telling asout ofthodox victory. Iou won bafere the first enlendar call In these texms. hy concerme are overall accomplighatent and as part of the 3 how we racme spend our time. II you eloot to do it in what I regard as a rearmard wey, vikay. Then let us force the Vaudn motion with whtever you want from these draits as a nes muded basis and so on to the other and Fery promsing moves we've becn $\%$ alving atout. Best,

