

7/14/5

Sorry. I had expected to get it all on the small slip. What I was getting to is asking you to let me know when your immediate pressures will permit you to do as you said week before last and when I can plan to do what may be necessary. I also remind you of your agreement to edit the draft but no more, to do that by hand so you won't have to take the time to retype it, and to hold this to the absolute minimum. I just don't have time for any more perfectionism, however much better it may be - if anything can be with a Pratt.

Because there is a risk to you I cannot push what I'd like to, a frontal assault on the judges and their inappropriate games and evasions on such subjects and with a man in my condition and at my age. It is obscene. For what purposes are such laws enacted? Is there to remain indefinitely a judicial premium on official perjury, accompanied with any immunity? Here I mean Pratt and Robinson, more Robinson because he lied to me in promising me what I depended on and acted on. But if you make that argument now and it is successful one there was the reward decision I'd write Robinson a letter and tell him he has made it all impossible, that there simply is nothing I can do - except sorrow for him. In no way will I now spend any more time on 1448. It is a loser thanks to that liar and deceiver of an oreo judge. We are close to this point in 226, where my major present interest is in making the kind of record reflected in the affidavits. Let these whores called judges live with it, as they can, and with what I may get a chance to say, as I will if there is an audience that justifies it. There is no piece of paper as meaningful to me as the principles involved...I'm getting worked up over this and I should not. I should have been in bed long ago and would have been save for the phone. Now I'm going to have to worry first about falling asleep and then about staying asleep after daylight. This is not good for me, nor are these many pressures. Without these new ones there were too many.

I hope you will have done well Thursday. If not let us not chew nails over it. However, if it does not work out I would favor a motion to reconsider based on our being foreclosed by Oodrich, who acted before our time for response. If you do that I would want you to include some of the non-legal approaches of which I have written you. Without them you will be wasting your time if this comes to pass. Best,