

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701

8/24/75

Mr. Allard Lowenstein  
c/o Governor Brown  
Sacramento, Calif. 95801

Dear Al,

After you left Jim and I told Phil how he can do research we can both use, you as a lawyer and we in the appeal brief. It is basic information you'll not get from your experts unless you are in ~~the~~ closest personal association with them. Neither Jim nor I have had time to do it. Phil will send you xeroxes so that when you get to spectrographic analysis and neutron activation analysis you'll have a better idea of their potential and how they are done and if they were really done in the RFK case, etc. My suspicion is that if they really were done well you may have some trouble getting them or as happened to us all of them and/or, again as I have established, they were not done the way they should be. In my case I also have proof of why. If you need more after what he send you let me know.

To understand the documents he will send you for use against Belin read the Tippit chapter of Whitewash and use the Photographic Whitewash index on Carolyn Arnold. Belin was one of two lawyers whose job it was to place Oswald at the scene of both crime when they were committed. He thus is responsible for what the other lawyer, as I recall Joe Ball, did and did not do. In each case there was evidence that Oswald was not at the right place at the right time and in each case it was ignored in the Warren Report. The false testimony of ~~Belin~~ <sup>Belin</sup> adduced. If you want more on the Arnold part use the index to Whitewash under Marion Baker, the cop. That will give you enough.

It occurred to me afterward that you might want to go into or have to address Belin on the Rockefeller Commission. If so these suggestions, questions because he is a psychopath on this and sanctimonious as you will learn.

How come he and Ford are the only members and staffers of the Commission to commercialize that with books? (More in Whitewash IV, index under Ford.)

What one thing is there in the Rockefeller Report that deals with the CIA and was not already public or about to be gone into by the Church committee? Actually, he did a good job of taking the edge off what the Church Committee was certain to go into.

Why was it really necessary to go into an alleged CIA-JFK assassination connection when all he did go into had already been addressed and refuted publicly? If he did feel it necessary, why did he have to make any investigation (the one of which there is any public indication) when all that work had been done earlier by the Department of Justice and was on file? With the Hunt-~~Strong~~ <sup>Strong</sup> allegation based on the pictures clearly not of either and the medical part when he had a lengthier and much more definitive report of an earlier DJ panel. Actually, one of his appointees, Warner Spitz, was a subordinate of Russell Fisher, who headed the earlier panel, at the time of that earlier panel. Spitz worked for Fischer in the Maryland medical-examiners office then.

Unless Susking stops him, Belin will probably make long and pious speeches so I urge you to give him a flurry of pointed questions to answer before he can get off and running. And the point about the books is not only that these were the only two commercializers and that Belin did no more than rewrite the Warren Report and claim it was both sides but that his twisted mind tells him this is right, proper and truthful. He calls all others "sensationalists."

Best,  
Harold Weisberg