R. Royce, Beryon

Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701 6/27/75

Dear Mr. Dreshsler.

If you had not written me a nice letter that requires response I'd have sent your book sconer. My apologies. I've been away much of the time and with deadlines the rest of it.

I do not recall your face but I do recall the occasion of our meeting.

WHITEWASH IV, as you will see on reading it, is not the book to which I then referred. That is POST MORTEM. I can't afford to print it so it is not now out. I believe it is the most definitive work by far. But it is also a large one and the printing cost will be great for me.

My colleague in WAHITEWASH IV borrowed the money to pay the printer, which is but one of the many costs. Had he not the book might not yet be printed.

Since then we shook loose another of these TOP SECRET executive sessions. Cong. Gladys Spellman inserted it in the Congressional Record 5/14/75. I think you'll want it. It was reported in the Baltimore Sum of 5/18.

Either is, I think, the smoking gun if people are willing to see smoke.

In essence you are correct in your reference to the strength of the system when I can print books. And be on the radio. But ask yourself if men without means should have to fight these gattles all alenean and if the young lawyer just starting, after taking the case without fee, ought be alone in feeling the urgent need for the system to work and to have to go in debt to make printing possible. Or if you have ever seen or heard what you did on WCRM radio on net TV. Or any other.

I would amend your thought and say the great strength of the system is the people who resist the corruption of it by those who find power corrupting and with close to total impunity abuse power. The great weakness is that there are so few and that it remains not only possible but the actuality that significant work is for all practical purposes suppressed.

When this work gets to the very integrity of society the system has malfunctioned. It remains in danger.

If and when you can see POST MORTEM you will understand this better.

For further commentary on your peers, meaning more than you will see in WHITE-WASH IV, I refer you to my current FOIA suit, C.A.226-75, flederal district court in Washington. The government had again filed perjurious affidavits and again is impune, with no other lawyer raising a voice. When also under oath I proved this perjury (on withholding and certifying to non-withholding) the government's bland response is that I know more about the subject than anyone in the FHI.

So, the judge is silent, too.

Naturally the papers, too.

I am not persuaded that when those whose obligation it is to defend and upheld the laws subert it and the basis of society and always get away with it the system is strong and working as it should.

Thanks for your letter and good wishes.

Sincerely,