

Dear Jim,

8/18/75

On this day of waiting for I'm again waiting for, this time some who should be here soon. I used the time but not as I'd have preferred.

One of those things I wanted to do I got to. That is the reason I write and to reinforce what I'd written earlier about the absolute essentiality of not tipping the nuts and self-seekers off.

I'd written pieces of the addition off the top of my head after the decision. Now I'm going over them - too fast. I've done some rewriting and I'd worked my way down to where I had to go over these snatches.

In one I thought recollection of something in the first book was triggered. With this waiting time I had time to stop and check it and recollection was good. An underestimation, though.

96  
97  
The fit is fantastic. I couldn't make it up much better. I'll not go into it now. It also leaves absolutely no doubt about the deliberateness of the sainted intent or its earliness.

Because of the altered situation that is something I've been looking for more, has been in my thoughts more.

I knew it. Now I have it.

Our retiree could not have picked a better time.

However, I also realize that while perhaps the scientific background isn't essential, it shre would help now. I think I may well have more than I recognize.

I also realize that I'll probably not be able to make the study of all this stuff I should. For the moment I've looked only for what I think ought be enough. I'll be ready to hand the rest over if and when the time comes, with some pretty positive suggestions and some pretty firm beliefs.

If you know a physicist who isn't too busy and is close-mouthed I'd welcome a visit. Maybe a chemist as second choice.

Even a bright person like Howard who could just pore over this stuff might well out more together with a little direction.

It wasn't time for the numbers game until my understanding was more positively based and it certainly wasn't in that court.

The only practical thing is to press to get this done and out. We're doing what I hate to do. Bill is typing final copy while I'm still writing. But we each have to do it when we can.

Floyd's call today was to report on the NSA-Lowenstein situation and to seek advice. There is something I was not aware of, a legitimate NSA basis for objection that has nothing to do with likes or dislikes. I've given him a formula he'll start working on tonight: how to make it work by those who did: Al on RFK, you and I on Ray and FOIA, whichever way it works out. If I interpret what Floyd has not spelled out correctly, Al's people have been a little heavy-handed.

He has no basis for knowing whether Howard is coming. All he knows is that there had been a recommendation for an invitation after my suggesting it.

At this point my delayed visitor, who is exploring ancillary rights for another, called with a bagged mind to report he's sold and will be delayed but is coming. May-be good news for a chnage.

Best,