


Dear wonderful, sweet-talkin' Jones,
Grabbing a moment ot the end of a gond week 'thought there is no begipning or endin bere, epery day beine a working jay) before the mail comes, I must again thank you for the kind wors.

I hope 70 se Drew Pearson ${ }^{2}$ e column today 's-tells the efforts of the Kennedy fofily to suppress such books as tine. Mrs. K.'s thoughts and beliefs are shooking. "ot even modern roaylty would attampt that. naly she knows and will-say whet may or may not be kno $n$, sald or believed, and only her creature Manchester is wide enough, all-knowing and trustworthy enough to let us peasants know. Only he can be herrd in history, and only from hin (read her) can tho who would write about this get their vord. it is incredible, aad and the scandelous ting i h v tried to evold. If you*d sean my corresponcence, includine with friends oi the fanil, one-wey with the family, vith Harper's, atc., you'd know. I have avoided this, but non it is out and I suppose it is good, but I bete such scondels for the presicion end anything relatec to it involves the national inteerity.

Ppople keep telling me I ought to sue Fopkin and Avon and the RY Rev. I'm no doing anything now. I heve marely written a lawyer who is expert in the field and am awaiting on answer. How people can be so vile on such a subject. This is not the only one. I'in sure you irnow of more and know of the use of your oun fuittriuls. It is just posaible sone decent person in the prese, snd there are sine laft, will blow the Whistle on bime Wile others screan at wher me that he has plagiarized, I think what they have done is orse. A week ego $I$ Ma, told that Avon was holdine off on their big publicity for tee to file suit?: They ectuelly seern to hevs asked people who know people tho sow me to try and find out, 的d to talk me into it! lio strenge the love of country manifests itself. I haven't ssen the book yet.

I hope be fore your youn friend went looking for the informetion you got by second letter. ${ }^{t} t$ is not now necessary. I've Bot that chapt i done -in fact-shhhlall of the are done. We book is in rough. I've got to go over the chmter on the autopsy, much of which has ghow been stolen from me, and them I'li rea the rough, patith it up, atc.

Don't put words in my mouth. I meant candid and strdight iorward. I dont think you get conned very often. not by Penn or anyone else.And on the peranal basis, sre both peirs of us not indeed blessed:

You will not $h$ ve to terrifled of ur geese, nor will you heve to seek them. They will, not bite end will come on call. They're the wildast wild ge-se you'll ever se . Lil, took in e rascal who was losing his home becau he ma became a bsd boy. So we heye one Emden, who learhed ho: to milk $\operatorname{cow}$. Ho stays right bohina the house, will no E6 on the roed, etc.

I velu you mpoxintugx opinion and ask you to consider this, re Subtitle: If I use the same cover, chenging the upjer line to "The Dook that MUOT Be rinted", adding II eiter MIITEMAGH, end keepin the rest the esme, with the hollow-let tered white "Who Lid It." not a question, overleld diagonelly, with the words "Ihe Report on the

Warren Heport " shomine throueh, will you still think the sams s:out your l. pointr
Beles erg not up to a few under 12,500. I ish sane o the douch mould come home so w can cut into stme of the 6\% going out and pey the printer, who is still waiting for most of the second printing with the third helf gone, ant so wo could do s Pem things, too. Got a cubled recuest for on option fron-rence this meek, referred to my tondon agent.
, a
I now keer conflictine stories ebout Ramparts, $u$ yythin: from Octovber to December. thone gal fron Tisfon Honday. Last mord from mien is midde next nonth,


Sure wish you vera nescer ac you coula resd ms. of II, of which we we e not oble
 $\therefore$ nls oghestion ol time. Lil, is only one parson. Hava a pending ofierefron a smal is


od ano It is PQesiole the Reargon golumn Mili poke a few of, them in their roost na ef. tgnder mapve, tha packetback nerve.




















September Something，no doubt
Dear Harold，
About every ten years I get so mad about something that I could explode or have a fit on the floor．Poor Penn usually has to listen to my rantings．But，unfortunately，he is not at home at the moment．

The reason I am so cock－eyed furious is that I am partially through Popkin＇s book．How COULD he be so completely un－ scrquplous？THE VERY IDEA！He ought to be ash⿳⺈⿴囗十一 屋 of himself．

Get a load of this：＂Thomas Buchan，$A /$ in his otherwise far－fetched work＂That one I can swallow．．．．＂Mark Lane ，for example，in his uneven RUSH TO JUDGMENT＂．．un－even it might be，but Professor Popkins relies heavily on Mark＇s legwork．And to cap it off， here we have＂Harold Weisberg＇s noisy，tendentious WHITEWASH．＂

Does this nut think he is the only person in the world who can write？He＇s beginning to sound like a Time magazine critic， and not a very good one at that．So far，he has treated Eptein with some respect，but I＇ll bet you $2 \phi$ Epstein ends up as being ＂a sweet，but rather idiotic child，writing an innocent thing which he really knows nothing about．＂

Well，maybe I can settle down now，having gotten that off my chest．

So far as the information you requested，Penn would be thrown out on his ear．But we have ayoung friend visiting us（very knowledgable kid）who will try Monday morning．We told him， ＂Look dumb．Look like a college kid，which he is，and do the best you can．＂

Thank you very much for the kind things you have said to us and written to us．Meeting you was a great experience．We both agree that as of this date，you have far the best book． Angled differently from ours，but still much better．

I was somewhat amused－and somewhat pleased－when you referred to me as candid or straight forward．I didn；t realize I possessed these qualities．What you may have meant， and were too kind to say，is that I can ask extremely personal questions．

All right, if we're getting into the Candid Department, when we read your book, we agreed it was excellent, even if it did knock out the old eyeballs. But I told Penn at that time, "Here is a guy who is absolutely crazy about his wife." Penn agreed. Our further conversations with you proved that to be so true.

Good for you, my dear, Penn and I have very much the same thing going in that department. Penn is such a decent guy - good husband, good father. Completely honest. Tremendous courage.

Well, the next time you and Penn get together (and this is supposed to be funny), I am going to stop off and stay with your wife. Maybe I could help feed the geese, or fill in somewhere. I am terrified of geese, as a child I was once flopped (or flapped?) by one. But I am willing to throw some corn over the fence.

I am glad that you two came in that morning. Was it 5:20? And if your wife ever askes what I looked like you can reply in all honesty that so far as my hair was concerned, I somewhat resembled a Zulu .

Please think a little more about your sub-title. You have two choices on the one you have chosen.

1. You are going to catch hell from the critics. They are going to $h^{\prime}$ give you the "too cheap and flashy" treatment.
2. You may very well pick up new readers - the people who read who-done-its in bus stations, drug stores, that type of person. Well, in the long run, that is the type of reader you need. Critics and scholars are going to read it anyway.
Our very best wishes to you and your wife. And please come to see us.

With friendship,


