

1/8/66

Dear all,

From the insistent calls of that great subsidizer of the phone companies I deduce that you are away or luckier than I (two calls this a.m. within an hour).

On the Cuban story, is it too much of a job to check the meetings held in Dallas the month of October 1963? I think checking all of them would be worthwhile, but I doubt if anyone has the time.

I fix on this one for a very simple reason: it is the one and only one about which Liebeler asked Walker, the one Oswald is supposed to have attended (Liebeler a apparently singular reason for asking Walker about it) and the sponsoring group is described as remarkably like the one identified with Bringuier.

As you know if you've read WHITEWASH II, this month those false-false Oswald people were in Dallas and in the testimony the speakers were identified as having come from Miami, the home base of these characters, regardless of where their legal residences were.

If there is a Cuban paper that can be checked, there would seem to be a possibility we might find something interesting. Or, possibly there might be among your connections ~~was~~ someone who just might have a handbill. But, if Oswald knew about it and there was no story in the Dallas papers, would it not be more interesting still?

If you can locate Father McChann, please give him a copy of WHITEWASH II and tell him I'd like very much to hear from him after he has read that chapter. He may want to talk to you, and if you want to talk to him, fine. Especially if you can tape it.

When I got back from a TV thing in Chicago Friday night I had a radio program on a Washington talk station. They had the Capitol record ready for me. I'd never heard it and was shocked at what Sylvia had told me of it by phone earlier in the week. It is as bad as she said, except it is not as bad about me. So far as the audience then tuned in is concerned, there will be little interest in that record. I was tight on all of them and the main issues - scavenging, Liebeler, Schiller, etc. I did more on Liebeler than ever, and from those few who heard it and have spoken to me, it was desastating. The station mentioned phoning Liebeler. I responded by giving his address and emphasizing that he always keeps the width of the continent between him and me, and then specifying the confrontations he avoided in a single two-week period. I'd move to debate him in Washington, but I know it will not happen. I then wrote Schiller, denouncing the scurrilous things he did, the false representations he had made, and demanding the return of the tapes and all copies of them or any transcripts on the ground he had twice violated my confidence because I had spoken to him in confidence (once the transcript was "stolen", as Bill knows, and was in the hands of self-appointed Mr. District Attorney Louis Lomax). I then sent a copy to Capitol, to the president, saying if there was a shred of self-respect and decency left, they'd withdraw that record, which I called The Revolving Whitewash. Like the title? But, while I am outraged by such indecencies, I believe their long-range effect is good, for people now realize most of what is said against us is lies. Everyone I talk to is absolutely convinced that Ruby had his cancer induced and that he was silenced because he was part of a conspiracy. If this is not true, the difficulties it makes for the authorities is something they have more than earned, anyway. The truth cannot long be stemmed. In the case of the Washington broadcast, it gave me a chance to say some things about you, for example, I'd never otherwise been able to. And to issue challenges that cannot be accepted. I told the station that if they gave me two hours a night for two weeks and the ability to stop that record at every lie, distortion or misrepresentation, and if I restricted myself to the official fact in my possession, I could not finish the job. They didn't accept my challenge. Best, H