position to "chairman of the Departmeint of Neurology at Columbia University." Neurology, of course, comes a little closer to the forensic science than urology does. The Daily Telegraph for its part used the headline "'No doubts' on Kennedy Assassin." No doubts, indeed, among the confirmed believers.

". . It is a further demonstration of how poorly informed we are in this country" writes a TL subscriber in Liverpool in forwarding a clipping from the Times. But how can England be adequately informed about American affairs when the US public

itself is constantly deceived and kept in the dark? The newsfakers' hands are tightly clasped across the ocean. . .

Mike Masterman, TL's British correspondent, sent me, in addition to complete press coverage, a most interesting transcript of an I. T. N. news broadcast (Jan. 11, 1972) including a filmed interview from an American TV program. Listen to our "serious scholar" and "recognized expert" shooting off his mouth on the screen:

There was nothing to indicate a transverse bullet passage and of course, again, this is one of the things that people conjectured: That another bullet might have been fired from the front or the side, although I, myself, have sat on the box where Oswald fired from and have gone round and then stood on the so-called grassy knoll and on the railroad trestle and I can't see how any skilled marksman would assume such a position and how he could possibly hit anybody in just that way whereas the place that Oswald picked and the arrangement he made where the automobile was going downhill, away from him directly, almost directly, in his line of fire, made it so that between each operation of the bolt of the rifle the car moved very slightly and it was really not difficult at all. Now I went in looking very intently for any evidence, for example, of a transverse bullet wound which came from another direction, another person really, and I specif a great deal of time being my own devil's advocate saying that suppose I was arguing on the other side of the coin what could I claim or how could I refute these arguments? And after spending the entire day going over these things with a fine tooth comb, backward and forward, and plaguing the poor men at the National Archives, who had to re-run and re-view and let me see it again and do something I didn't register the first titile - but they all showed great patience - and locked spoms and so forth. I could not find anything wrong.

Mike's comment: "Jo, What do you make of this. It's funnier than the script of the Bob Hope show." It is indeed. But enough of the comedy about a great tragedy. Let the eminent urologist go back to his urine and keep his nose out of murder.

Note: Because of the importance of the preceding analysis and rebuttal, when filled this entire issue of TRUTH LETTER, the other features now, running in TL will have to be postponed to the next issue. PS: The London Evening News of Jan. 10, 1972, also made of Lattimer a "neurologisa."