

"All the News That's UNFIT to Print"

Joachim Joesten's

.....  
" TRUTH LETTER "  
.....

An antidote to Official Mendacity and newfaking in the Press

Vol. IV, No. 4

7890 Cutenberg, Germany

Nov. 1, 1971

Editorial: Nix and his Texas Con-Man - Birds of a Feather.

Newsweek's on our Side

by Mike Masterman

I couldn't believe my eyes. There on page 46 of the October 11th issue of Newsweek was the following revealing paragraph in the Medicine section in an article entitled "The Crime Doctors:"

"At the autopsy of John F. Kennedy, a surgeon mistook a hole that doctors had made to insert a breathing tube in the President's throat as an exit wound for a bullet. The erroneous finding further clouded the issue of how many shots had been fired in Dallas and by whom. (emphasis added). "

would the nameless surgeon care to come forward and tell us poor ignorant critics what the hole in the throat really was if it wasn't an exit wound because we have been told by the Warren Commission that it was just that - an exit wound obliterated by the insertion of a tracheotomy tube. The mind boggles at the only alternatives. Either there was no hole in the first place which means that the hole in the neck was caused by a bullet that did not exit the President's body and so could not have passed through Connally's body, or, the hole was an entry wound caused by a bullet fired from the front. Whichever way you look at it someone is in trouble at Newsweek for letting the cat out of the bag.

BOOK REVIEW

by Mike Masterman

TWO FLIGHTPATHS : EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY

by R.B. Cutler

Published by Cutler Designs, 38 Union St., Manchester, Mass. 01944, USA  
Price: \$ 7 per copy, pd. (limited Edition)

To readers of Mr. Cutler's first book "The Flight of CE 399: Evidence of Conspiracy," this new title from the architect/author will be most welcome, for it was conceived during his research into "Flight" in December of 1968 and attempts, by sighting back along the entry/exit wounds of Governor Connally, to establish the exact location of the source of the shot, it being accepted from the author's previous study that a single bullet could not have passed through President Kennedy before striking the Governor. For the benefit of those who have not read Mr. Cutler's first book, a condensed version of same is included as Part I of this present work.

The research is impressive, albeit technical to any but the academically trained; nevertheless one can grasp enough of the detail to know that the author has left no stone unturned in his efforts to present his theory in as conclusive a manner as is possible considering the many variables that must be accounted for. The only nagging doubt in my mind is that Mr. Cutler selects frame 227 of the Magruder film as being the hit frame which forms the time base on which to continue his study when all other

experts in the field, including Governor Connally, estimate various frames later than this one. The evidence is not conclusive that Connally was hit at Z 227 and the Zapruder film shows the Governor moving right and left and then right again which certainly does not help Mr. Cutler's case when precise angles of the thorax are the crux of the matter. Let me hasten to add, though, that regardless of the exact frame number, providing that one accepts any frame between the author's 227 and Governor Connally's 234, the shot did not come from the "Oswald" window on the sixth floor of the TSBD.

Very sensibly the author warns his readers that the data should be considered as investigatory and the solution not final. One wonders why the FBI could not have done so impressive a job as Mr. Cutler, only to arrive thereby at the logical conclusions that they probably did, and then scrapped it on orders from King Edgar because it proved what they did not want the American people to know, the deadly truth of Dealey Plaza.

I am indebted to Mrs. Cutler for the opportunity of reading this book and it deserves a longer and better review, but space in TRUTH LETTER is precious and I must therefore keep it brief. Mr. Joesten has only four pages to use against the might of the rotten press and, God help us, every word counts.

Getting under their skin, en?

The following (condensed) item appeared in the Letters-to-the-Editor section of the magazine Computers and automation of May, 1971:

OASIS OF TRUTH

Mrs. Grace P. Vale, St. Louis, Mo.

"Computers and automation is like an oasis of truth amidst the mass media fiction about the political assassinations, and I would like to express my appreciation to you for printing this information.

I have been having a great deal of trouble with my mail ever since I started to subscribe to Mr. Joachim Joesten's TRUTH LETTER, one of the few other sources of information on this subject..."

Mrs. Vale is not the only TL reader who has been having mail trouble. Not content with having all the mass media at their beck and call, the establishment gangsters have chosen to fight back against the few insurgents with the aid of the Postal Service...

The Panel Review (conclusion.)

ctd. from TL, IV/2

Under the heading "Examination of X-ray films" the Panel Review provides further details about the extensive bullet fragmentation and the large number of metallic particles of varying size which the autopsy report did not reveal and which clearly indicate that President Kennedy was struck in the head by a frangible bullet, or rather several of them, which could not have been fired by Oswald's rifle. Here are some telltale excerpts from this section of the Review:

"Distributed through the right cerebral hemisphere are numerous small irregular metallic fragments, most of which are less than 1 mm in maximum dimension. The majority of these fragments lie anteriorly and superiorly, none can be visualized on the left side of the brain and none below a horizontal plane through the floor of the anterior fossa of the skull."

"The metallic fragments visualized within the right cerebral hemisphere fall into two groups. One group consists of relatively large fragments, more or less randomly distributed. The second group consists of finely divided fragments, distributed in a postero-anterior direction in a region 45 mm. long and 8 mm wide..."

Then comes the contrived, unwarranted "conclusion" which the Panel was bound to reach by virtue of its mission and to which it fitted the facts in the manner so characteristic of the WC labors: "The foregoing observations indicate that the decedent's head was struck from behind by a single projectile. It entered the occipital region 25 mm. to the right of the midline and 100 mm. above the external occipital

protuberance. The projectile fragmented on entering the skull, one major section leaving a trail of fine metallic debris as it passed forward and laterally to explosively fracture the right frontal and parietal bones as it emerged from the head..."

In a preceding paragraph, the Panel had noted that there was "embedded in the outer table of the skull close to the lower edge of the hole, a large metallic fragment which on the antero-posterior film (No. 1) lies 25 mm to the right of the midline. This fragment as seen in the latter film is round and measures 6.5 mm in diameter..."

Now let's see. We have (a) "one major section" of a bullet which emerged from the head after leaving a trail of fine metallic debris. We also have (b) a group of "relatively large fragments, more or less randomly distributed" within the right cerebral hemisphere; furthermore, (c) a large round fragment, measuring 6.5 mm in diameter, which is embedded in the outer table of the skull. And (d) the previously mentioned "gray brown rectangular structure measuring approximately 13 x 20 mm" and located in the central portion of the base of a canal in the right cerebral hemisphere, the identity of which the Panel allegedly could not establish, but which is in fact most certainly another, very large portion of a bullet.

In addition, however, we have that large and varied assortment of bullet fragments which is authoritatively described in the FBI report of Nov. 23, 1963 (FBI File No. FC-78243 BX, Lab. No. D - 436461 AX) addressed to Chief of Police Curry and which the latter has splashed over five pages of his book "JFK Assassination File." According to that report, the FBI had retrieved from the front seat cushion of the President's limousine (e) one bullet fragment labeled Q 2 weighing 44.6 grains; and "from beside front seat" (f) another bullet fragment, labeled Q 3, weighing 21.0 grains. Moreover, the FBI retrieved (g) a "metal fragment from the President's head", labeled Q 4 and another piece similarly described (h) with the label Q 5. and, for good measure, the FBI report lists (i) "Three metal fragments recovered from rear floor board carpet," which were collectively labeled Q 14.

If there were any truth in the Warren Report, all those bullet and metal fragments would have had to come from the projectile that struck Kennedy in the head, for the other one, the famous CE 399, is supposed to have been found on a hospital stretcher, virtually intact, after traversing the bodies of both Kennedy and Connally. It could not, therefore, have left any fragments anywhere in the car.

Now, it stands to reason that all those fragments enumerated above - one of them (Q2) being almost the equivalent of one-third of a bullet - cannot have come from a single projectile, no matter what the Panel says. It is even more self-evident that a bullet that passed through Kennedy's head in a back-to-front direction, a section of which emerged forward bound, cannot have deposited three metal fragments on the rear floor board carpet, nor two large pieces in the front seat cushion and beside it, for that matter.

There is, thus, an obvious and unbridgeable contradiction between the Panel Review's conclusions and the facts established in that FBI Report, just as there is total incompatibility between the autopsy report and the FBI's "Summary Report" of Dec. 9, 1963 and "Supplemental Report" of January 13, 1964, which Edward J. Epstein has brought to light.

On the strength of such incontrovertible evidence, I do not hesitate for one moment to say that the "Panel Review" is a deliberately misleading, tendentious, spurious and fraudulent concoction and that the four doctors who composed it are accessories after the fact in the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

---

Note: In order to avoid a surfeit of medical material in this issue of TL, continuation of the series "New Light on the Robert Kennedy Murder Fraud" is postponed to the next issue.