## "All the news That's UNFIT to Print"

Joachim Joesten's



## An Antidote to Official Mendacity and Newsfaking in the Press

Vol. III, No. 23

7890 Gutenburg, Germany

August 15,1971

Editorial: Nixon making like a statesman - that's the laugh of laughs, really.

## The Garrison Frameup Scandal

On July 6, 1971, Rep. John R. Rarick of Louisiana, one of the very few Congressmen who have kept an open mind about the assassination of President Kennedy, the Warren Commission and the Carrison investigation, made a remarkable speech in the House of Representatives, which was reproduced in the next issue of the Congressional Record, but got scant, if any, coverage elsewhere in the press. Since the Congressional Record as a rule is neither the most exciting now the most widely read of publications, I'm pretty sure that most, if not all, TL readers are unaware of this speech. I am equally convinced that they all will be glad it is reproduced below:

THE PENTAGON PAPERS AND PINBALL JUSTICS: A STRANGE COINCIDENCE (headline from C.R.)

Mr. Rarick. - Mr. Speaker, the U.S. attorney General's Office has filed a 133-page affidavit against New Orleans District attornsy Jim Carrison alleging implication in pinball machine payoffs.

Now that the U.S. Attorney General recognizes the sinister threat to law enforcement by the use of pinball machine money to generate favorities and as bribes, can we expect similar activity regarding payoffs, expenses, and royalties from other behind—these scene activities carried on by corporations, labor leaders, and tax—free foundations, all intended to induce influence and favorities? One thinks of "A rose, is a rose" — Cartrude Stein.

In fact, while the U.S. Attorney is about it, he might consider taking legal action against State and local legence i cement agencies, including some judges who are accepting Federal funds being offered than through the Law Enforcement Assistance act as inducements to get in line with the How Federal direction while veiling their duplicity in the interest of improving fair and impartial administration of justice.

I know nothing of Mr. Carrison's activities one way or the other; but as an attorney and former judge, I have always felt that it was the responsibility, if not the sacred duty, of all elected officials to take action to insure a fair and impartial trial for any accused, which should even include the district attorney of New Orleans. A 133-page affidavit of charges including testimony not subjected to cross examination and the usual safeguards of evidence is unique enough in the annals of legal history; but for the top legal officer in the Executive Decartment of our Nation to send the affidavit to the news people as if to try the accused in the newspaper is not only unethical, it is reprehensible. (emphasis added - J.J.) Now we find the New Orleans District Attorney filing suit in Federal court in a futile effort to prevent the prejudicing of his defense and the abrogation of his constitutional rights by seeking to prohibit newspapers from publishing the Government's case.

And even more bizarre, the court accion by the new Orleans attorney comes (2) within days of the recent action by the U.S. Attorney General in suing the New York Times and Washington Post to prevent publication of the Pentagon papers. This is a strange coincidence. (emphasis added - J.J.)

Charging any official is certainly within the authority and responsibility of the Justice Department; but once the accused is charged, the case should be tried on its merits in court under established procedures, rather than turned into a sideshow affair.

unless, that is, there is some believability in Mr. Garrison's remarks that the entire matter is but a federally financed propaganda move to discredit him because of his previous attempts to disprove the Warren Commission report. (emphasis added - J.J.)

And what was carrison's theory in opposition to the Warren report findings which has made him so unpopular in high places? Was it not that President John F. Kennedy was assassinated because he had opposed further escalation in Vietnam? And what was it that Attorney General mitchell sought to suppress by filing suits against the Mew York Times and Washington Post, except the Pentagon papers, classified as top secret, reportedly contained the recommendations and plans of the Kennedy and Johnson administration to escalate U.S. military involvements in Vietnem?

What relationships, if any, can there be among the Pentagon papers, the Garrison investigation of the Kennedy assassination, and the unusual timing of the pinball machine payoff charges? (end of quote)

TRUTH LETTER, in forthcoming issues, will answer Hap. marick's rhetorical quastion fully and to the point. There exists the closest possible relationship between the ayr exposé of the hidden aspects of the vietnam war, the warrison investigation and the self-evident redoral frameup of which the indomitable DA now has become a victim.

Putting it succinctly, the avidence is now complete that President Kennady, after an unwise start towards escalation (pressed on him by the same false friends in the State Dept., the Pentagon and even his own White House staff who later betrayed him by joining the Pallas conspiracy and rallying to the Usurper) sought to reduce the U.S. commitment in vietnam and even contemplated a complete withdrawal of american forces after his anticipated re-election in 1964. This reversal - which has been fully documented by Senator Mansfield and others - scaled Kennedy's doom. It has now become abundantly clear that no other single factor - neither the CIA's resentment over the may of Pigs disaster, nor the Bobby Eaker scandel, nor the oil depletion allowance, nor even Lyndon Johnson's personal ambition - has contributed so much to the near-top level decision to stage the Dallas coup d'etat as did the determination of the warfars sector in the Establishment to escalate regardless of how the President felt about it.

mixon, Mitchell & Co. are fully sware of the possibly disastrus consequences which an eventual clear understanding of these relationships by the American public could have. They are scared to death by the possibility that a paper like the NTT, or en influential group in congress might at last realize what has been going on and conmeet the Konnedy assessinations - both of them - with the Vietness warmengering, he yet. the bulk of the American press is clearly unwilling to do so. In fact, there has been a noticeable attempt to make it appear as though the Pentagon papers incriminated both Rannedy and Johnson in equal measure. This deliberate distortion has been made possible by the fact that the Fentagon record is incomplete as far as Keanedy is concerned probably on purpose. It does not include the President's crucial decision, prompted by mansfield and only known to this Sonator and to O'Donnell, which was made in the opring of 1963, to end escalation and begin withdrawal. But even though there is as yet no immediate danger of further exposine in this matter, Miscu, who was personally and deeply involved in the planning of the Dallas coun dietar, is understandably sensitive on this point. That's why he ordered his hatchetman nitoball to get Carrison by fair means or fand - mostly faul, as Rep. Harick has pointed out - so as to discredit him in the public syn before the train of disclosures continues and people start saking up to the real nature of the government we have been playmed with since Nev. 22, 1965. Now about digging a little further. Mr. Marick? Note: A langthy series, showing in Astail how Garrison was trooped by the always

frameup-happy HBI with the help of a real Judes will start soon in TRUTH LETTER.

Then, after adding that "substantiating details of the other violations by Mr. Wolfer can be made available," Mrs. Blehr wound up her philippic with this fortheright blast:

"I find it very hard to believe that a man of the professed expertise of Mr. Wolfer could violate four of the basic precepts of his profession in a single case by sheer accident. I am more inclined to believe that these violations were made in response to an overzealous desire to help the cause of the prosecution. The choice seems to be rank incompetence on the one hand or morbid motivation on the other."

The reaction of Mr. Wolfer's superiors to these grave charges was self-betraying to say the least, Police Chief Edward M. Davis, at a news conference on June 1st described the criticism of Mr. Wolfer, "who, in my estimation is the top expert in the country," as a "vendetta" of all things. He claimed the three criminologists supporting Mrs. Blehr's charges had been bested by Wolfer in various murder trials and intimated that they were using this means to get back at him.

Even as he ostensibly appointed three top-ranking police officials to probe the charges, Davis displayed his crass prejudice in favor of his subordinate, whose "oversealous desire to help the cause of the prosecution" had indeed been helpful in the Kennedy case, by declaring "I think that we're going to find that the charges are totally untrue."

What kind of a start towards an impartial and honest investigation is that? Can one imagine a panel of police officers rendering a guilty verdict, after their boss has publicly announced that he expects the charges to be disproved?

Davis also took the lead in scrambling for all possible phony and evasive emplanations of Wolfer's behavior. First he suggested that the whole thing was a "clerical" error, then he came up with this silly pretext, "A similar type gun was used just to test the noise... to determine whether the sound would carry to where witnesses said they heard shots." (L.A. Times, June 2nd,1971)

Mow it so happens that Dr. Noguchi's autopsy report, which I have before my syes, states clearly on p. 39, under the heading of "Test Fixings:"

"Test firings were conducted on June 11, 1968, using a weepon and ammunition supplied by the Los angeles Police Department as being of the most nearly identical manufacture possible to that of the fatal weapon... Personnel consisted of Drs. Holloway and Noguchi, Mr. Dewayne walter and Sgt. William J. Les..." (emphasis added - J.J.)

Now, maybe Drs. Holloway and moguchi didn't know that, according to the universally accepted Precept (1) of the science of firearms identification (see the praceding issue of TL) only the evidence gun and no other may be used in test firings, but Wolfer and Sgt. Lee certainly did. They deliberately violated an "inviolable" dictate of their profession with a view to helping the prosecution convict the wrong person. The Neguchi autopsy report is there to prove it - even disregarding the firm evidence adduced in the Blahr statement.

In a letter dated June 3, 1971 and eddressed to Police Chief Pavis personally, Sirhan's new attorneys, George E. Shibley and Luke Rokissack, countered wavis' contorations as follows:

"Dear Sir:

I have been informed that you have appointed certain police of the Sirban case, with a view to explaining manifest contradictions in the testimony and laboratory tests of Los Angeles Police Department forence ballistics expert, Beweyne A. Wolfer.

"The evidence is clear, both from the letter to you by Ara. Alshr smi the trial record, that Wolfer testified that the three test bullets fixed by him and contained in People's Emblish 55 matched the bullets recovered from the sixth cervicel vortebra of Schator Kennedy, and the bodies of Fr. Coldstein and Mr. Weisal, and that none of those bullets were fixed from Sixban's gun." (to be continued in the next issue)

While the L.A. Times did not publish the full text of Mrs. Blehr's letter to the Civil Service Commission, nor any of the supporting affidavits, the L.A. Free Press did in its issue of June 11, 1971. The document reveals not only the magnitude of Wolfer's derelictions of duty, but it also brings out the fact - soft-pedaled by the L.A. Times and other papers - that the three criminalists who had signed affidavits supporting Mrs. Blehr's contentions are authorities of the highest order in the fields of forensic science and ballistics investigation.

One of them, maymond H. Pinker, was employed by the LaPD Crime Laboratory from 1929 to 1955 as a criminalist and thief Forensic Chemist. After his retirement from the LaPD, Pinker became Associate Professor in the Department of Police Science and Administration at Los Angeles State College. He was also head of the master of Science program in criminalistics at Los Angeles State college until he retired in 1969.

Or. Lemoyne Snyder is a doctor of medicine and also a member of the Bar. He has been for many years an expert in the field of logal medicine and in particular homicide investigation and writes of nimself, "Expertise in this field requires a thorough knowledge of the fundamentals of firearms identification and over several decades. I have pursued studies in this field. My book movided Investigation is a standard text in many police academies and it contains a chapter decling with these fundamentals."

Walter Jack Cadman, a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley holding a B.A. degree with a major in Technical Criminology, has been employed from 1948 to date by the Crange County Sheriff's Department Criminalistics Laboratory and is now its Chief Criminalist. Cadman writes of himself, "I have presented approximately 24 scientific papers to criminalistic societies, law enforcement groups and chemical societies extending over a twelve year period. These papers deal with various technical problems in the general field of criminalistics. I am a member of the following professional affiliations: Fallow and past Chairman of the Criminalistics Section of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences; Scuthern California section of the Society for applied Spectroscopy; American Chemical Society; California Association of Criminalists; American Association for the Advancement of Science; mational Association of Police Laboratories."

Professionals of such caliber can hardly be rated as "cranks" = that's way the great majority of the news media preserved to say as little as possible about Messrs-Pinker, Snyder and Cadman, if mentioning them at all. And there was all but complete exceed about their concurrent affidavits fully supporting Mrs. Elehr's statement:

"There are numerous fundumental precepts upon which the science of firearms identification is based. All criminalists and firearms examiners must abide by the precepts and disciplines of their profession. Six of these precepts, which Mr. Wolfer has violated, are listed below:

Procest (1) the positive identification of an evidence bullet as having been fired from a particular gan and no other must be based on a comparison of the evidence bullet with a test bullet recovered from the came syndence gun and no other.

Precent (2) The most accurate and reliable determination of the approximate distance between suzzle and victim (excluding contact) based on powder pattern distribution must be made with the actual evidence gun and no other. It is also important to use the same take and type of assumition, preferably from the same batch or lot number. (When the evidence gun is not available, a similar gun may be used but the validity of the best is always more questionable).

Precept (3) The land and groupe dimensions (part of the milling specifications) may be identical or nearly identical between different firearms manufacturers.

<u>Procest</u> (4) very similar copper coatings are used on many different makes of lead revolver ballots.

Precent (5) Class characteristics as shown by the rifling impressions on a fixed bullet play absolutely no role in the identification of such a bullet as having been fixed from one particular gun out of the entire world population of guns having the same class characteristics.

Procent (E) A single land of the milling of a firears can produce only one land impression on a fired bullet, (to be continued in the next issue)

## The Panel Haview (ctd. from TL III/20)

After that momentous, all-revealing statement about the "gray brown rectangular structure measuring approximately 13 A 20 mm" which the panelists had discovered in Kennedy's brain and which they "could not identify", - a discovery which all by itself destroys the validity of their conclusions - the four physicians go on to say: "In addition to the superficial and deep cortical destruction, it can be seen that the corpus callosum is widely torm in the midline."

Themcomes this stupendous piece of pseudo-scientific doubletelk;

"These findings indicate that the back of the head was struck by a single bullet travelling at high velocity, the major portion of which passed forward through the right cerebral hemisphere, and which produced an emplosive type of fragmentation of the skull and laceration of the scalp. The appearance of the entrance wound in the scalp consistent with its having been produced by a bullet similar to that of exhibit CE 599."

Of all the weesel words in the Varren Commission's vocabulary none was more beloved and abused by the neven dearfs them "consistent with." They used it just about every time they pretended to have groved seventhing they could not prove and of which, in fact, the opposite was true. The four egregious dectors who concerned the "Panel Review" obsequiously initiated the waster's voice. What else could they do? They knew only too well the opposite of what they were eaving to be true, so they took usings in such believed he as an indiscernible, non-measurable "entrance would" which was in fact a bugs gaping hole in Konnely's small being 'consistent with' having been produced by a cullet "similar to that of exhibit OE 309." None offer, mind you, of all bullets in the world

Note that the panelists do not refor to a 6 b-millimeter bullet, a statement that would have make a minimum of some, but they explicitly, openifically bring anto play that mystical "magic bullet" which represents the only tungicle link between the assessination and Oswald's rifle - a bullet almost underlowed and bandonly intact which according to the Commission and passed through two brdies and scattered a lot of metallic fragments in covernor compelly a chost and limbel a bullet, moreover, which had most obticusly town planted on that hospital obsetcher by the frames arrises whose jeb it was to make the Dalles coup d'estat lock like the Januard chost of one is a Commid.

that's the four panellets, the were doing a job for the (transment - one consistent with a fit for - time and equip refer in their "rowder" to Exhibit us 799, which had centainly ruthing what reverse to do with the head wound we are have concerned with, and long since discredited before repair as the bullet that in supposed to have hit township and yourded him in many places, after panelny township Earnedy's nach.

They are doing so, because, uttruly unable to prove what they are supposed to prove, they feel they have to direct attention to decald, again and again, and test's where CD 198 comes an manage a prize example of proude-solubility regardensin, everysel, home-poons and flamilaments, wouthy of the abole names the femoly mader investigation has been conducted, but betally unvertisy of four entrant physicians with great reputations to defend.

The "Panel Heview" them goes on to say: 'The photographs do not disclose where this build energyd from the best statings there showing the interior of the organism with the train percent indicate that it did not energy from the supre-exhibit region. Additional information regarding too course of the bullet is presented in the discussion of the e-try libra."

After liading a number of photographs used for further examination, the "Fanel Leview" continues: "There is an elliptical penetrating would of the okla of the back located approximately 15 was nedical to the right corrected process. This would live approximately 5.5 ms, being a lumewerse fold in the skin of use rock, This would cap also be seen in a laboral view of the neck which shows an anterior transportant view of the neck which shows an anterior transportant view of the to despend the lates of these two woulds in relation to that of the bostcontal plane of the body."

to be continued: