

Joachim Joesten's

TRUTH LETTER

An Antidote to Official Mendacity and Newsfaking in the Press

Vol. III, No. 19

7890 Gutenberg, Germany

June 15, 1971

Editorial: Keep howling, newsmakers - Truth will prevail all the same.

New Light on the Robert Kennedy Murder Fraud

How the True Facts of the RFK assassination Were Covered Up

1

The Modus Operandi Betrays the Inspiration

When I wrote "The Truth About the Robert Kennedy Murder" (How the Sirhan Case Was Rigged), which was serialized in TRUTH LETTER, beginning with the first issue, I scarcely suspected that the ample evidence of fraud and deception I had turned up by that time constituted less than half of all the trickery in the case. Since then, the other half of this infamous swindle - one of the most appalling ever perpetrated by any "law-enforcement agency" - has come to light. It is exposed, authentically and irrefutably, by two official documents that have come into my possession in spite of the guilty authorities' determined efforts to keep them out of the public eye.

One of these documents - both of them unquestionably authentic - is the super-secret RFK autopsy report, officially titled "Medicolegal Investigation on the Death of Senator Robert F. Kennedy." Written and signed by Dr. Thomas T. Noguchi, Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner, County of Los Angeles, along with four Deputy Medical Examiners (John E. Holloway, M.D., Abraham T. Lu, M.D., Victor J. Rosen, M.D., and Robert L. Scanlan, M.D.), this document runs to 62 single-spaced pages and thus constitutes one of the most painstaking and thorough autopsy reports ever written - in striking contrast to the brief, shoddy, incomplete and conspicuously fraudulent report on the JFK autopsy concocted by the military doctors at Bethesda Naval Hospital.

While couched from start to finish in highly technical language and studiously sober terms, the Noguchi Report, upon close inspection, reveals a number of truly startling facts and conclusions which give the lie, irreconcilably, to the official version of the RFK assassination and indeed prove, beyond a shadow of doubt, that Sirhan B. Sirhan did not kill Senator Kennedy. That he tried to do so, that he fired two or possibly three shots at Kennedy, is not a matter of doubt, but if he meant to inflict fatal injury, which he probably did not, for his role was merely to create a diversion, he did not succeed. The fatal bullet that shattered the Senator's brain did not come from Sirhan's revolver. This key fact, which bespeaks a conspiracy in which another gunman was assigned the job of killing, is clearly demonstrated by the Noguchi Report. Small wonder that the authorities desperately tried to hush up its conclusions.

The other document in question is the official transcript of the Grand Jury proceedings of June 7, 1968, which led to the indictment of Sirhan as the alleged killer of Senator Kennedy. This voluminous document of 272 pages (double-spaced) is not so hush-hush as the Noguchi report, but its availability to the public has been restricted and it has never before been published. There is plenty of dynamite in its pages, too, as the reader will soon be able to judge for himself.

The picture of the RFK assassination that has been presented to the public by the Los Angeles Police, the FBI and the District Attorney is, as these documents and the evidence previously available show, completely false, both as a whole and in all its major details.

That a ridiculously contrived and patently absurd "motive" (Sirhan's alleged anti-Zionist zeal) took the place, in the official presentation of the case, of the real and solely plausible motivation of the crime (a desire, by those responsible for the murder of President Kennedy, to do away with a potential avenger before he could become too dangerous), is a matter I have already fully discussed in those pages.

That Sirhan, far from being a lone fanatic, actually was a CIA agent of long standing, has also been shown, and documented on the strength of incontrovertible evidence procured by British reporter Jon Kische, in my previous writings on the subject. These circumstances clearly mark him as a hired gunman, but not, as the Hogue report demonstrated, a hired assassin. Sirhan's assignment in the plot was merely to create a noisy diversion by firing wildly into the crowd, while a far more competent marksman, shooting from behind and at close range, fired the fatal bullet or bullets into Kennedy's head.

The preposterous, pseudo-scientific humbug with which a bevy of phony psychiatrists, one a greater charlatan than the other, befogged the case in court, with Sirhan's defense counsels conniving (and with "facter-investigator" Robert B. Kaiser subsequently building a fat book around this hogwash) is now even more thoroughly exposed than was possible at the time I first discussed this matter. For, if Sirhan wasn't the killer, as has now been established, the state of his mind is the least relevant aspect of the case.

The evidence of fraud abounds everywhere in this case - even more so than in the assassination of President Kennedy. And it can be exposed far more easily by mere reference to the official record. What's why, in the overall search for the truth, the Robert Kennedy murder case now takes precedence over the continuing investigation into the background and the circumstances of the Dallas coup d'etat. If it can be proved, beyond reasonable doubt, that the Los Angeles Police Department (hereafter referred to as LAPD), in its "investigation" of the RFK murder, has been guilty of massive suppression of facts, of manipulating the evidence, of intimidating witnesses and distorting their testimony and even of engaging in outright lies about matters of capital importance, then it follows that the LAPD was a party to the crime. Considering the personality of the victim, any thought that the police could have contrived such a massive coverup for any other reasons than to hide its own involvement in the crime must be ruled out a priori. In a trivial case, subordinate police officials may be prompted by bribes or other secondary considerations to take part in a coverup. Where the assassination of a Senator and president-elect Robert Kennedy is concerned, no police officer, no matter how high his rank, would dare take any action designed to obscure or falsify the truth unless he were assured of approval at the top. Consequently, the full responsibility for what happened in this case falls squarely on those men: Mayor Tom Yancy, a notorious Kennedy-hater; his Chief of Police, Edward R. Davis; and District Attorney Evelle J. Younger.

For these three men to have acted as they did, conspiring to suppress material evidence of the utmost importance, instructing their underlings to lie about essential facts of the case and thus to lay the groundwork for a rigged trial designed to hide and shield the real assassin of Senator Kennedy, constitutes irrefutable proof of complicity in the plot to eliminate the leading presidential candidate in the rank of those

evidence of large-scale, organized fraud in the investigation of the RFK murder. is tantamount to evidence of conspiracy - who would deny that? And, since the fraud can be proved from the official record, so can the conspiracy. For, it stands to reason that a high-level plot to do away with Robert Kennedy makes no sense except in the context of an ambition to take the place of his providentially murdered brother, President John F. Kennedy. Therefore, if conspiracy can be proved in the case of the RFK assassination, such proof necessarily supports the contention - already introduced by massive circumstantial evidence of its own - that President Kennedy, too, has been a victim of high-level conspiracy.

For detailed information about Yancy's political background, his disreputable attitude towards the Kennedys and his role in rigging the Sirhan case, see R. 1/30 (for Chapter V of HISTORY OF KENNEDS, vol. I, Vol. 1).

If there can be no doubt whatsoever that Yorty, Davis and Younger were directly involved in the plot to kill Robert Kennedy, either as accomplices before the deed, or as accessories after the fact, it is equally certain that these officials, high-placed as they were, would not have dared either to cooperate in a crime of such magnitude without powerful backing that amounted to a virtual guarantee of impunity. That backing came (a) from the then President, Lyndon S. Johnson, who had an overriding personal interest in eliminating the man who, once elected to the highest office, as he certainly would have been in the normal course of events, could have exposed his role in the assassination of President Kennedy; and (b) from the CIA, which, having organized and executed the Dallas coup d'etat, had every reason to fear retaliation as much as LBJ.

Indeed, the Robert Kennedy killing bears all the hallmarks of a CIA job - a smooth performance that does credit to the ingenuity of what Jim Garrison has called "the most effective assassination machine in the world." The sophistication of the plot exceeds the imagination of a single individual; its execution is beyond the means of even a group of ordinary people. Who but the CIA would think of arranging an assassination the way this one was carried out: a killer, disguised as a security guard, stalks the victim, close & ready to strike the moment the necessary diversion that is to divert attention from him is created. Throughout the hotel, secondary gunmen are posted, for even the CIA cannot know, in this particular case, which way the Kennedy party would turn on leaving the Embassy room after the Senator's victory speech. Each of these gunmen has the mission to set off a noisy incident if Kennedy happened to come his way, thus making it possible for the phony security guard to draw his gun unobserved and fire it pointblank into the victim's head from the rear. Each is a predestined scapegoat, well paid for the job and given the false assurance that somehow his bosses in the CIA would get him off the hook. Sirhan had the bad luck of being the one who had to do the job because the Kennedy party most unexpectedly happened to come his way (the transcript of the grand jury proceedings dramatically reveals this last-minute decision to go by way of the pantry, which threw the diversion into the lap of Sirhan; I'll deal with this matter later on in some detail).

The instant coverup by the police points in the same direction, who but the CIA could be assured of massive aid by the "law-enforcement agencies" in covering the tracks of such a monstrous crime? Who else can command automatic complicity on that level?

Not only Sirhan was in the employ of the CIA, as has been conclusively demonstrated (see PL, 1/4 ff. or Chapter II of TRILOGY OF MURDER, Home I, Vol. I), but there are also strong indications that the real assassin, Thane Eugene Cesar, was, too.

Bizarre confirmation of Sirhan's CIA connections can be found on pp. 384-385 of Robert B. Kaiser's book "R.F.K. Must Die!" Throughout the preparation of the Sirhan trial, and during that trial, Kaiser had been in close touch with the defendant and his lawyers. As one of these, Hails Kola Berman, tried to explain to the jury that Sirhan was "immature, emotionally disturbed, mentally ill," a victim of spells, trances and mystic delusions, the accused rose from his chair and started to protest loudly - and rightly - against this outrageous nonsense. At this point in his narrative, Kaiser writes:

"Sirhan was still staking his head and reassuring. He didn't like this helpless, bewildered Sirhan that Berman was trying to sell. In fact, he had greeted me before this morning's session with a request to dig up documentation on 'CIA-planned executions abroad in foreign countries.' How could an Arab equivalent of a CIA executioner be 'small, helpless, isolated, confused and bewildered'?"

There you have it. And believe it or not, but Kaiser pays no attention to this extraordinarily revealing remark, at least not in his book. He goes on with his account of the trial without even attempting to explain to his readers what Sirhan could have meant by describing himself, most correctly, as "an Arab equivalent of a CIA executioner." Mind you, he says specifically "CIA executioner," not just "an Arab equivalent of an American political assassin" or some such thing. What is a clear-cut, unmistakable confession by Sirhan that he was acting - as an executioner - on behalf of the CIA. That remark, by itself, speaks volumes - all of which Kaiser missed entirely.

(to be continued in the next issue)

Note: Continuation of the "Panel Review" has to be postponed to the next issue.