Dear Steve.

Meny thanks for the premptness of your Christmes-day lettermend the beloful enclosures.

If I had not earlier made my purposes clear, they are protective, not "relaing a hus and ery", so that we can be prepared for any eventuality that may errise, especially during the trial, to the degree possible.

I sm aware of your firm conviction Mepburn was for real, as recently as my October-November trip out there. Your facing the reality is good, a measure of intellectuality honesty. For whatever consoletion you may derive from it, yours was far from the costly error, for from the pocreat judgment. The major responsibility is that of those with more experience. At least, this is my opinion.

I am concerned for Steve Jaffe, when is kidding himself while trying to (without success) kid others. He'll magnify his error this way and eliminate the willingness of others to understand he is young and without experience. When we consider the errors of the generation sheed of you, those of my generation, you look better. But if Steve keeps trying to pretend he wan't fooled and didn't do a lot of herm by it, makes on he eliminated the damage when he did no such thing, he'll not survive it. I hope he can homestly face this matter and to what is still possible to minimize its effects. I have written him and saked him to do as you did.

Tou are right to limit this es you do. By all means, send a copy of everything to "outs, as I do. I suggest that, with her permission, you should include or at least touch base with Maggie, who Is much more sature, has had much more experience, and is enother and an excellent mind, something it is closely worthwhile consulting. I will include a carbon of this in the event you mant to give it to her.

First, I'd like you to consider that it is possible making a complete record is more than "for the record and for any future actions involving the film". If you believe, as I do, that whoever went to this enormous expenditure of time and trouble did so for a purpose, his eye was not on the distant future. Possibly we may not enticipate all his purposes. Is it not prudent, however, to go on the assumption his purposes are serious and more immediate? Could not the trial be one? If so, then every tiny detail of everyone, every thing and place, every event, is a minimum essential. Someone to the on Maggie was introduced socially may be a missing clue, a consecting link. It is by such means that I have, to my estimated and I think to "cuis", established Lamerre's connection with others who have not done us good, if I may understate. So, I sak for more details for your map burn rather than the movie mane (although enything you can add to that will help me, for I've not seen it and do not expect to).

Take the incident of the letter to you vie RHJ for Turner. Thatkie story-book stuff, what would not normally be done even by personids. Aid Turner ever tell you its contents? From whom? Why to him? I think you should know that it is not because of the high regard in which lemerre bolds him or Respects, for he spake to me of this. How did they know of RHJ's relationship? How was Stove selected? That should have made everyone suspicious. This setuplly all began is fore the large date you give, for I heard about and distructed it when I was there before then, and I left in 2/10/68. But a little separate notebook in your pocket and whenever you think of emything, make shough of a record to bring whetever it is back when you have time to type.

where in the pelace did Steve meet "Phillippe"? In & room, a suite, a hallway? Have you recalled the last name? There was once a time when I knew a number of the people in the French Embassy and a fair number of the

french press corps, a likely place for intelligence covers.

We say get in the position where knowing the basis of their enimus egainst bunt might be beneficial. Please contribute anything you can on this. And by the way, whenever you hear again of so elaborate an organization for a conspiracy, particularly an assassination, please have doubts. Or if you hear of even a "paymester"! Other things also should have flagged the improbability of Bunt being so involved. Likewise, the obviousness of the beit he presented to those of more progressive persuasion.

That, if saything, ususual do you recall of Soroquere's office and the young men? What kind of office was it? Any indication of the business there transacted? Frivate building, etc? Any signs? That is the relationship between him and Lemerre, if you know or can estimate? Thy did Lemerre need a contact, except for theygikking yokels. Bid you go snywhere with any of them? You told me the end of October what you xxxpex saw persuaded you. Can you now explain this? Was it only a plush office and polite people?

I think this gives you an idea of what more I'd like to have if you can provide it. Did any of them say enything about enyone else, sap. in the USY Most of all, of enyone in New Orleans? my writers in the field, like Telmer? Did they know of Boxley? Anything about Turner? Find out from Roy, please, who involved him and haw? The it Steve, which is understandable? I went to know if they had enyone else working for them. Their plan, as of the time they left New Orleans for New York, then Jeands, was not to try for any showings that week, beginning 12/9, but to try and errange for publicity that would tend to attract an audience for the following week. Were there may but the one you were invited to? Here there may strongers at that one? Can you remember the names of the others, or get them? Expecially those unknown to you.

You have hearsay on a separate page. Good. Flease give metall the hearsay you can, especially if you so label it.

That was the gift that so touched Steve? I doubt he ever doubted Lossere. I do not believe the GLA tried to get Steve drunk (and failed), or the business of the Swiss hotel from, not, at least, he it was given to you.

I believe, as I have from the first, that this is an intelligence operation, I see nothing in it for the French. I do for the CIA. On the besis of what you know, or what you think possible on this besis, do you believe this is a possibility? If you do, please explain as much so you can, and if you do not, the same.

You have a personal disagreement with Jeffe. Constheless, I ask you to get hold of him, tell him you have recorded everything you know (without telling him anything about that you have recorded) and sent it to cuis and me. Try and make him understand it is vital for what he believes in as it is for him and his future that he down this as completely as possible and as fest. Ask him to give you a copy to you can go over it after he has sent his to cuis and me. You may recall something on the tests of what he says. You will also have an opinion of his forthrightness. Encourage him to ship the crap he usually dished out to Jim, to stick to fact and leave out the "exceedingly importants", for he propagendizes himself in his writing. If this thing surfaces at the triel, as it night in a motion for a mistrial, and there is anything we might know and do not, he will be a very sick and a very villatious young man with more to live down than most ever can. As it is, if he had done nothing but weste the relative west amount of time and money, he would still have been a sajor diseater.

My file doesn't take legal size paper, Can you has me spece by using letter size please? Thanks Hope you have a good year