Mr. Jack Rosenthal, Editorial Page Editor The New York Times 229 West 43 Dt ... New York, N.Y. 10036

7627 0/d Receiver Road Frederick, Md. 21702 2/12/92

Dear Hr. Rosenthal,

I've just been given a copy of Hanchester's 2/4 letter thus the delay in responding to it.

Whether or not the Times publishes my letter, I do think that in your collective interest it is past time for the Times to come into contact with fact and reality.

To the best of my ability I'll answer any questions. Everyone is welcome to access to the 250,000 JFK assassination records I got under FOIA.

While the mass in itself frustrates normal journalistic interest, without at least some familiarity with what these records reflect it is irresponsible to pretend they do not exist or that they hold nothing retevant.

The Times' record in history over its reporting and nonreporting of the JFK assassination is not good and can't be changed.

Persisting on an incorrect policy after all these years makes the Times look even worse and I regret Lewis makes a fool of himself in saying that the Warren Report is right because it says it is right.

he does not improve Warren's reputation in his Jory this way.

If Lewis had done any real work he'd have known that LBJ conned Warren into taking the job he'd rejected as improper.

But what Warren and the others did cannot now be changed.

By its treatment the Times has undermined its own credibility with a great many people.

Sincerelv

Harold Weisberg

William Manchester's February 5 letter, "No Evidence for a Conspiracy to Kill [President] Kennedy" is a remarkable self indictment. It is magnified by his arrogance, self-importance, pretense of omniscience, mis representation and, tragically, stupidity.

Guite aside from whether or not Manchester, with exclusive access to Warren Commission hearings, records members and staff and his private office in the National Archives, did any real investigating for his commissioned "Death of a President" - and he didn't - he did a sophisticated Jim Bishop-job - literally hundreds of thousands of FBI and other records have since become available as a result of my many FOIA lawsuits and those of others. I alone have about a quarter of a million pages in which Manchester has had no interest at all.

Yet from his private Olympus he pontificates that "there is no evidence attaix whatsoever that there was " a conspiracy.

The Warren Commission records overflow with evidence of a conspiracy but Manchester, like the Commission and the executive agencies merely ignored it.

The simplest and most comprehensible is that when the Commission got the world's best riflemen from the National Rifle Association, all rated "masters," under greatly improved condition and after the junky rifle was overhaulded, not a single one could duplicate what the Commission and Manchester attribute to Oswald.

Contrary to Manchester's representation, the Marines evaluated Oswald as a "rather poor shot."

Yet Hanchester says, 'I Aneeded no authority to assess Oswald's marksmanship."

He knows better than the Marines, too!

In his boasting Manchester does not list the three members of the Warren Commission who disagreed with the most basic conclusion of the Warren Commission, alren Spector's impossible creation of the singletbullet theory without which all the known shooting could not possibly have been attributed to any one assassin. Senator's Richard B. Russell and John Sherman Cooper disagreed strongly, Representative Hale Boggs less strongly.

If Manchester had not kept hinself so ignorant of the published factual information on the JFK assassination he would have known that Senator Russell encouraged my continuing efforts to disprove the Warren Report in detail until his dying day.

That he saw eye-to-eye with me on the theory, not fact, on which the "eport is based is reflected in the enclosed memo to him from his legislative assistant.

Russell told me that he told Warren to "just put a little ol' footnote in saying Senator Russell dissents." We also told me Warren insisted on unanimity and that they worked out a compromise. When the deport was published the supposed compromise still endorged the single-bullet theory. Russell had forced an executive session held September 18. He then stated his position for the record. Only he had been deceived into believing that a cort reporter was present. None was. It was a Commission stenographer. When with great idea difficulty I got a transcript, it was a fake. The first page was made to look like a transcript but the rest was irrelevant paraphrase. (Whitewash IV, 131-2, facsimile)

Oliver Stone is produced an exciting but very bad movie. He announced that he would be recording their history for the people, telling them who killed their President, why and how - non-fiction - based on Jim Garrison's book. After he got my February 8, 1991 letter informing him of first-person knowledge that Garrison's book was worse than a mere rewriting of his own fiasco, Stone began shooting in two months without any basic change in the script. From time to time he presented he was not doing a movie true to history but in fact he never stopped representing it was factual. So, he knowingly misled and deceived the people for his own purposes.

Criticizing Stone's disinformational movie does not require defending the Warren "eport, anythony Lewis', William Manchest's and Dan Schorr's ineffective method.

It is past time for intellectuals to recognize Lyndon Johnson's political savvy in his selection of the members of his commission, unprecedented in out history,  $\perp$ believe. We had five of the seven members of the minority party and of the two Democrats a democrate democrate

Appointing Warren chairman immobilized his partisans, then and since.

Whether there was no conspiracy is no more proven by theorizing than whether there was. The Commission's own evidence proves redundantly that there was a consporacy only the major media ignored this contemporaneous and continues to ignore it, eschewing fact and evidence in favor of pontifications and theories.

The nation should be able to expect better of the major media as it should of the Oliver Stones.