J. Gary Shau 805 N. Hain St., Vleburne, TX 76053-0722 Dear Gary,

The amended complaint and the depositions came today. I started to read the amended complaint and having tired, I've laid it aside. I'll resume it and the transcripts when I can, probably about the time you retired, which asyou may recall, is when I'm wide awake. I'm a bit more titred than my years and usual health problems account for Some is from a chest infection being treated and some is from the fact that surprising as it may seem, that box was a bit much for me! But I have a slightly better notion of the suit and the issues little as I've read so far.

I am surprised that you did not joim AMA in it. I suppose there is a reason.

It occurs to me that there can be some unusual possibilities when this case goes to trial, if the language can by then be familiar unough the with the evidence, by which I mean the official evidence. Depending on what is ruled to be material and not material I believe an additional charge can face at least Humes, of perjury. In a different environment he has sworn in contradiction to himself.

It would take much preparation for the lawyers but I can see this trial being used to make it a matter/of court fact that JFK was killed as the end product of a conspiracy.

out for y ur layers the text of a speech I'd planned to cut when Belin and I debate at Vanderbilt in about november 1975. I made Belin face Belin and the day he was home he came out for a new investigation. I think it can be argued that if Belief considered himself innocent in what he'd said until then, not after that. It turns out that the doctors had let me travel too soon after hospital sation for my first venous inhumbisis and I was too tired to try to both cut that speech and deliver it. The next day, in factor when they now me at the airport I was single loaded and they moved a nurse back to sit with me. Even emptied the seats in front me so I could keep my legs elevated on their backs. Vanderbilt was supposed to have taped it but I never got a dub of any tape. I do think that with Belin's actual record a case of malice can be made against him.

If I did not send you a copy of the letter I wrote the publisher saying that you would like ceess to <u>HeVer AGATH!</u> I did that immeditately. Something happened with him that he has not explained and I cannot. We were godd, close friends for years. He is a publishing lawyer. He copublishes with Carroll & Graf and he is their counsel and that of their distributor, whether or not for other publishers using that distributor. Whether ownot there is a connection, he fell aloust entirely silent once Livingstone turned his Killing the Truth in .

Bad it been physically possible I could have had HEVER AGAIN! published by July of last year. I've had no explanation for the long delays and it is a powerful book. Dave Wrone retyped it on his computer and he can give you or your lawyers his opinion of it.

Case Open was surdenly rushed. I suppose but do not those that accounts for its lack of even a table of contents and an index and its appearance with incorrected types that I had caught and sent them. And without the pictures I'd planned to use. Powerful an indictment for what remains is, the full manuscript is ever so much more so and it is a different book as I wrote it. They did no editing. Just eliminated most of it. But in this they changed its character. I've gotten no explanation. And I'm not i n a position to do anything.

So, from the little I've read of your complaint I do believe that one of your lawyers should be familiar with . HEVER AGAIN: Here's a further explanation of that book.

As you may remober, when you were her with Joe I had difficulty using the cellar stairs, a difficulty since increased enormously. So I was as a matter of reality without wany real access to all those records 1 got under FOIA and much of my own work. But when the first JACA article accessed 1 saw immediately that 1 could use it as a skeleton to flowh out without much cess to those basement records and in that to tell a comptehensible account to those who know nothing about the fact of the crime and its investigations. In aga doing this 1 prothers was an official compiracy not to investigate the crime itself and in acking the question, was there a cilitary conspiracy, make a prima facie case that there was. In desire all, of this I went over those JAHA articles exhaustively and in great detail.

By the way, if you show this to Kizzia or give him a copy please explain to him that not only can my typing be no better but what I cannot get corected on this machine is the missing of first letters after a space. I have to ke p'my logs elevated. This put the typewriter to my side. With my eyes a problem, this can't be any better. Bith my writing, it is poor be muse I have to hold what 'r ad and correct on a clipboard while I Write on it in the mir.

I think it will help the sale of the book for it to be used at a trial, so if you have not asked Gallen and want to use it, ask him. Um willing to help in any way I can.

There is something that may or may not be in your complaint that I mention, forgeting as easily as " now do, and right now it has special topicality: those rescals blamed Jackie for the incompleteness of their autopsy. In the book and in an Afterwood in which I use now records, I prove that was impossible and that the decision was the flavy's. Besides which bobby had waived all rights to withhold anything at all on her behalf. I published that in Post Hortom, in facsimile. My recollection is that JAMA blamed her and the family, too. Excuse the rush. I have the rest of today's mail to answer now. Thanks and best,

Herolf