J.L. Johnson Box 577 Big Timber, FT 59011 Dear Br. Johnson,

I think there is a difference between thinking for our own attempts at understanding and presenting theories as facts to people who trust what they read.

As I said in I think my second book, the way to think is in terms of the lauyer's question, cui bono. (I think a typo slipped through there!)

If we ask who benefitted from the JFK assasssination, or who could have thought he could, it is not possible to limited the potential candidates. So we can't get very far that way.

Thinking in generalities, I have come to believe that what is most likely is that those behind the crime were those who wanted to change national policy.

Which leaves a considerable number of candidates!

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

J.L. Johnson Box 577 Big Timber MT 59011 .

Dear Harold Weisberg,

Acknowledging receipt of Whitwash II &!V, also Post Mortum & Frameup and have been able to order Case Open.

Thank you for simple explanation of how to use FOIA. Thank you for statements of no basis for my theories on crime(s)

Mostly thank you for exposing that which has been whitewashed or covered up. I'm sure you have a clearer idea of who what and why than anyone else in the country. Perhaps you still have a responsibility to reveal your "opinions."

I came across your works while researching an historical novel about the Sixties; I didn't set out to expose anything. Given the extent of the cover-up and frame-up I don't see how any of it was possible without cooperation from CIA, organized crime elements and certain key political figures. I suppose I'm asking for your opinion, off the record, confidential, and unquote. It seems as though we're going to have to motivate future researchers to actually get at the bottom of these messes.

The nature and importance of your works require an objective, factual presentation of data. Again I feel that you are doing the country a great service.

J.L. Johnson

Maybe I should call you?