

6/2/72

Dear Lou,

Sorry these are the best copies I can make, and I'm sorry I had to piece them together. I can't afford legal-size paper for my machine, and I can't afford the service call it needs.

However, it is legible, and if you want clearer copies, I'll get them from my source. This is a 3-11 copy of an Apeco copy of the original clip.

Please note and I think you should call to Jim's attention the reference to PC's complaint to Russell Long.

Before calling you I check to see if the Vancouver Sun has a correspondent in Washington, from whom a copy of their stories might be obtained. Negative. I have also asked a correspondent friend to see if his newsstand get that paper. Even if they do, one as far back as quoted is probably sold, and I doubt they do. Thus I suggested that you or someone write to the paper. If you use the office letterhead, I'm sure they'll send without remittance if you say you'll send the cost when they tell you. I'd ask the editor, not circulation. If they call and ask, Jim can always say it is improper for him to say anything about the case and talk about other things. Like that noble beast, the horse.

Please tell him for me that in the same sense the jackass is of the nobility!

I'd ask Ed Planer for anything WDSU carried. The story quotes an interview with the former second-in-command down there, now in private practise in Washington. As long as you make no demand and keep it unofficial, I think he'll give you what was aired. What was not aired he'd probably refuse. That is normal and with the Justice Department leaning on the TV stations for unaired stuff, I think it would be wrong to even ask. Matter of fact, I suggest you specify only what was aired and if he has anything else, anything he thinks he can properly let you have.

I've just done it by phone. Ed has left, about a week ago. They did make transcripts of what they used, I'm getting copies, and I told Ed Ketenring the names and their assignments. You can still ask if you want to and in the interest of speed perhaps should. You didn't tell me, but there is a hearing 6/12 for Pershing in federal court, on what he told Rosemary. This means they are considering nailing him with a perjury rap. That'd be great, if Pershing is as he used to be. They are on the horns of a dilemma. If they persist, they may find people wondering about subornation of perjury. Isn't that a crime under state law, too? Hint, hint!

Kleindienst, by the way, is one of officialdom's less inhibited liars. I told you about my suit, his letters, etc. On 4/14 the DJ certified to the US Court of Appeals in DC that Kleindienst did lie. They didn't use these words, but there in no other possible meaning. When I wrote and told him his lawyer committed perjury, he did not even make pro forma denial. Nor did anyone when I so charged to the judge. Who did nothing.

If you have trouble reading your writing, these are the correct spellings from the 7/71 (last) DJ phone book; Under Criminal Division, Gerald Shur, Catherine Kinrey and closest to McDonald, Gerald D. McDowell. Under Deputy Attorney General, Reis Kash, Todd Bldg., 515 11 St., NW. And to save you time, WDSU's new number is 588-9378. John Corbel took Ed's job. I think the involvement of the Deputy's office in this makes it a policy matter and when that involves espionage on a friendly power, scandal. More so with confirmation pending. The Deputy is next to the AG and above all the assistant AG's in charge of the various divisions, of which Criminal is one.

Best regards,