Dear wou,

This is for 'in after you read it. If what I will say interests you or Jin, I'd like copies of any other records you can supply. Aside from the original affidavits, the Foore new one that is coming, the pre-signing indictment and the Christenberry hearing of July 3 and 9, 1971, I have no of idial records.

I think the government has overstepped itself, including Christenberry. It is now premature and would foreclose further displays of information that could be of value to do anything now in any event. After the original affidavits, I doubt that anything they may disclose can be of real hurt, so I believe it is best for them to say all they can be enticed into saying.

he best a non-layer can have an opinion in such matters, I think that subsequent to the release of the affidavits after arrest, the government did engage in criminal activity, actionable and within your jurisdiction.

I do not want to press my belief on any of you, especially not at this juncture, and most of all without having read all of it.

However, for the future I have made notes of what I have in mind and I will be looking for anything else bearing on this.

As befits a devil loving scripture.

Honce the desire for more scripture.

Sincerely,

Wall and Gallinghouse swearing that Gervais neither got nor was promised any reward when they guaranteed him \$22,000 a year and the judge saying that is Garrison brought any new charge "it will be malicious prosecution." With the judge still sitting in this matter, due again Monday, 6/12, and the Moore affidavit setting forth what by any reasonable definition is a "reward", Christenberry should himself raise the question of perjury.