6/9/72

Dear Lou,

Just got and inmediately read the Times-Pic stories of 6/2 and 4 and the Wall St. Journal of 5/30. Included was the original hearing before Christianberry that I've not yet read because these suggest to me approaches of my own and I will do what they suggest pronto.

The 6/2 story refers to a new affidavit by Hoore. PLEASE sand me a copy as soon as you can. The story alone indicates I will want to carry some things in it further. And, of course, I will want to quote it extensively in writing.

The description would seem to limit the number of oil companies, huh? Any hunches? Or knowledge?

I hope you can find time to answer a couple of questions about Soule. Then and how did he come to work for the office? That is, who assigned him to it?

How did he, as a captain, react to being assigned to a patrolman's work, under a sorgeant? Any gripes or co plaints?

Hoo should yesterday asking me about some files. They were not in his office the week of Thanksgiving 1971 if they were, as he said, supposed to be in the top drawer. I don't remember what Moo told me to look up in it, but when I saw my name on a very thin file when I had sent Moo so much. I was curious and looked at it. There were only a couple of things it it and I did not copy them. I wrote you about one, the Gary Sanders interview with my step=brother that made family problems. But there was NOTHING of what I'd sent Moo, none of that great amount of work I did. I hope you can find time to locate these things of learn what happened to them, hot only what came from me. Not mentioned the Thornley and Barbara Heid files. There must be others. Misuse of such things could lead to mach mischief. In my file was also a letter i wrote one of the L.A.Steves telling him that kissing Jim's ass was not the way to be his friend and not the obligation of one who wanted to help. I suspect it was to Jaffe, who had messed up on Rose by not doing what I asked and instead telling Rose. Need I tell you what that one cost?

Mas Tom come back? Or is there another one?

I hope it is not lost upon you that with NO IRS case against fershing, all the affidavits are IRS affidavits. It seems to include hearsay where other affid vits would have presented no problem, from the news stories. By ears go up at such shon-nigans.

Pershing names only DJ people and only IRS affidavits? Doesn't make sense, unless one uses his inagination. Why, for example, no affidavit from any one of those named?

I would like to go over the Moore affidavit as carefully as I can as soon as I can. You should have it by now. I have you can get one of the grils to make me a copy soon.

Thanks for keeping me posted. I'll be doing some things that may in time interest you.

Best Reagrds.