It was good to talk to you ajain. liop: it didn't louse up a busy late afternoon or move you latu for supacr.

The hint $\overline{\mathbb{W}}$ was trying to rake whon I told you the Douglas Jones story, the Identification of pictures, is one I'd like to keep butween tho two of us. If ank when I can Eet ther a gain, if you hav tho interest, I'll work on it in wavs still possible.

Tam Jones and lyra made positive identifications of Shormley as tha nan who pickod up tin literature. Whether accurate or not you can evaluate as well as I. Because we pulled no tricks and gave each the same pictures to examine soparately, when nither incor which the other was identilying, it was persuasive.

There was at least one other with Uswald the time he picketed the Trade wart. I have this Irom numerous witnesses and over and over apain from the MBI stuff I have. No possible doubt about it. I can now pinpoint when the Widid footage was edited to eliminate this tan and I now have the premediting description of that film which is specific in saying there was a thiri man (I say at least). Nore than this man was edited out. You and Jim lmo: a man who has become a friend of mine who was edited outmtoo, and I have confimation of this from him and one who does not like Jim who saw the film before editinge

I sugest two possibilities and I do not now suc ost more than possibility: Russo was in on that deal; Russo could have been mistaken for 'homley in pictures.
 Sel Panzeca did and discussed it with me. Sel had and never used witnestes of the bswld Iitoraturo distributions, at least one of whon is afroid to surface but talsot to Nine I have othors undeowin and an ontire episode unlown before.

Perry adratted to ne thet ho must have net Onwald. He was astounded that I knew ho had sone of Oswald's listerature. And I find it a bit too wuch of a coincidence thist, when 'homley was in 1.0. With lane Ca innger taleng hin around as his public rolations agent, of 411 the onbs in tho oity they just hap oned to find Porry's. ${ }^{-}$e adritted to me when I piaked that up, too.

As you kno, I'm not a lanyer. But I think that what I have for the Shaw civil suit wili not only win it but result in airidght crimnal charges against tione than one person. The one hint I'II give you i: that I did not find it in llew Urleans and did not ind the witnesses there. Again, I spoks to each soparately an. got stories so consistont I welcomod the very winor difierences in detajls. There is no do bt in my mina that soparate confimation will be available, docunontary and unassailable. I have deliberately stayed away fron it.

Best pegards,

