## 7/5/71

Dear Lou,
If one were tobelieve than any justice is equal justice or to argue that the charges against im are persecution rather than law enforcement, then one might see relevance in the enclose clipping from today's Washington Post, "Investigation of N.Y.Congressman Is Stymied by Justice Department". This story also recalls that indictments voted aftor Mitchell ordered that they not be were quashed by him. They involve two other Suisiana politicians, Hong and Boges.

I do not know what exploratory or discovery rights exist or would be honored if they exist, but I think one of the more fruitiul out-of-court pursuits at this point might fall in your department, finding out exactly what the deal with Perbhing is. He is one of the last people I'd expect to be itilling to luxuriate in isolation intruded upon only by flight to new luxurious quarters. One of the things I'd be looking for would be immunity, which would enable him to attempt to flee to an entirely new identity, here on in another land. Sone of his people night be willing to give you some hints. Another would be to try and leam whether he set the original graft up himself, which would make him the most guilty and the deal involving imiunity less likely to be accepted today, when at least for a time the press seems to be troubled by what night happen to it.

From what I know of Pershing, I can understand $J_{\text {im's }}$ roluctance to say anything about him at the court house, for it is hard for me to imacine the existence of enough pressure to force him into such a deal, not so much out of friendship with or loyolty to dim alone as because of my beliefs about the kind of life he wants to live and his determination to live the ways he wants to. Under most circumstances, I have a hunch he would have been more inclined to take his chances in court and with a sentence and with the possibilities of later doing something with that sentence than with the kind of life this would seom to impose upon him. The belief he might be killed might be one possibility, or if someone meant enough to him for him to be blacknailed another. But from what I know, which I realize might not be enough, it is very hard to figure his acceptance of the kind of situation and existence this would seem to require of him. If you can provide any enlightenment, I'd welcome it.

To those closely involved, I think this may appear as a get-Jim thing. I think it is larger, part of a large design of repression, the only means by which this administration would seem to have any prospects of remaining in power. And, I believe, this is also consistent with what for this administration would have to pass for the closest thing it can have to principle. These suys really believe in an American kind of fascism, and they practice it. From their position, they do not lose, for overy defeat advances repression and authoritarianism that much. If they got clobbered in the chicago conspiracy case, it nonetheless did advance repression. If they have had to ease of on cospiracy, they are pressing on other eliminations of personal and legal rights, as now with eavesdropping. I think Jim can better dofend himself or make his dafense more comprehensible to a larger number of people if it is in this context, that getting him is more than just getting him. It is part of a larger, repressive, anti-democtratic schome of an unpopular, incompptent administration that can by no other means stay in power, a regime capable of anything to keep itself in power and to make the basic changes in the character of American society it and its backers, the CIA-military-industral wents.

I havemade saveral efiorts to get the affidavits and transcripts of Mitchell's and any other comzents and/or reweases and for the AP and UPI "A"wire stories. The latter may have value in the future and all can now...But don't worry. Big Brother himself is celebrating the birthday of freedom about 10 miles away from here at Camp David. I just h ard one of his choppers fly over. All is weill with the best of possible worlds.

