

INSIGHT

On The News

A WORLDWIDE FACTUAL INTELLIGENCE REPORT

SPECIAL REPORTS:

U.S. REDS FELT R.F.K. BETRAYED THEM

- SEE PAGE 11 -

McCARTHY: U.S. COMMUNISM'S TROJAN HORSE

- SEE PAGE 5 -



ARE RAP & STOKELY ON U.S. PAYROLL?

PRICE PER COPY: 35¢

JUNE 15, 1968



INSIGHT

On The News

JUNE 15, 1968

VOL. II, NO. 10

INSIGHT On The News is published fortnightly in Miami, Fla., by Independent Research and Publishing Association, Inc. Office of publication: 8551 Coral Way, Suite 301-A, Miami, Fla. 33155. Subscriptions: United States and Possessions \$4.00 half year, \$6.00 one year. All other countries add \$1.00 year per subscription. Address subscription communications to: INSIGHT On The News, Subscription Department, P.O. Box 591, Coral Gables, Fla. 33134. Editor: Dr. Fernando Penabaz. Contributors: Alan Courtney, Nguyen Cong Vien and William S. Baren. Subscription and Circulation Department: Ann Gathings. President of I.R. & P.A.: John W. Chalfant.

AN INTELLIGENCE REPORT FOR KEY PERSONS DEMANDING UNSLANTED, IN-DEPTH INFORMATION

OPEN LETTER

Dear Readers:

Once more, we have been exposed to the pathetic, gruesome, and seemingly endless spectacle of violent bloodshed, national abasement and the total inability of American "Liberalism" to take stock of itself and what it has brought about in this country and abroad.

Once more, the cynically hypocritical spokesmen of the "Liberal establishment" cry over the "sickness afflicting the United States" without having the courage to admit and publicly confess that if there is "sickness in the land," it is they, and they alone, who are to blame.

Who else but the spokesmen of "Liberalism" in our country have, for more than a quarter of a century, condoned, espoused, promoted and urged that everything that is intrinsically rotten or corrupt be upheld, defended and consolidated? It is the "Liberal" spokesmen on radio, on television, in the written press, on college campuses, and from political rostrums who have time and time again called for leniency for the felon while ignoring his victims; for coddling of the lazy and the wilfully parasitic at the expense of the decent and hardworking person; for aiding our enemies abroad while kicking our friends and allies in the teeth. Yes, it is the American "Liberal" who, to use his favorite expression, is sick, sick beyond apparent cure, and it is this sickness which now has been transmitted to considerable segments of the American people.

Who defends the Viet Cong and attacks our allies in Vietnam?

Who is always willing to rationalize the criminal acts of international Communism in order to justify it and meekly accept it?

Who wants the death sentence abolished, no matter how heinous the offense committed by the convicted criminal?

Who favors laxity in the application of the law and simultaneously campaigns for severe measures to handcuff the law enforcers?

Who champions lax and immoral practices in the dormitories of most of our "Ivy League" and leading universities and colleges?

Who is always willing to find a valid excuse for the flag burner and the draft dodger?

Who has aided in promoting Castroism in the United States



Penabaz

and very especially in U.S. academic circles?

Who has turned his back on the men who sailed aboard the abandoned and forgotten, ill-fated Pueblo?

Who maintains the shameful farce now going on in Paris under the guise of "peace" talks which, in truth, are accomplishing nothing more than giving Ho Chi Minh a prolonged opportunity to wipe out our unrepresented allies in Saigon?

Who, almost invariably, can find a thousand reasons to justify the legalization of the use of marijuana and other stupefying drugs?

To all of these questions, there is one answer: The "Liberal" and the Marxist.

Yes, America must rid itself of its "sickness" if it is to survive. That, no one doubts. To do this, it will be necessary to eradicate the "Liberal" and Communist ideologies that are afflicting our country. Nothing else will suffice.

In a nation governed by men and women respectful of our flag, our traditions, our legal system and our basic moral fabric, it is very probable that an agent of Castro-Communism would never have had the opportunity to murder John F. Kennedy, or would a militant pro-Red have been able to snuff out the life of a candidate for the Presidency of the United States, Robert F. Kennedy.

Only complete sweeping away of "Liberal" and Marxist rot corroding almost every facet of American life will alter what is occurring today here at home. May God help us in accomplishing this huge task, for if we are not successful, our future will be far worse than anything most Americans can now imagine or conceive.

Sincerely,

Dr. Fernando Penabaz

FAMOUS QUOTES

"Some men say that there is a necessary connection between labor and capital, and this connection draws within it the whole of the labor of the community. They assume that nobody works unless capital excites them to work. They say there are but two ways: the one is to hire men, and to allow them to labor by their own consent; the other is to buy the men and drive them to it, and that is slavery."

ABRAHAM LINCOLN.—1859

INSIGHT On The News, June 15, 1968

Senators Challenge Court

Criminal Jurisdiction Is Subject At Issue

By ALLAN C. BROWNFIELD

This article, from "Roll Call," pre-dates passage of "Crime Bill." Its facts and reasoning are still valid.

THE SENATE IS now in its third week of debate on the omnibus crime bill as public concern increases over the rapid rise in lawlessness throughout our society.

The F.B.I. reports that the incidence of major crime in the United States is rising at an annual rate of 16%, far above the rate of growth in our population. If the trend is projected through 1968, more than four and one third million major crimes will be committed this year.

Based on our population of a little over 200 million, this means that an individual citizen will have one chance in 47 of being murdered, maimed, or robbed in the next twelve months.

It has been evident for many years that the trend in law enforcement has been one of blaming society for the crime, and often permitting the perpetrator of the particular act to go free.

Despite this permissiveness on the part of many courts and other officials, a recent F.B.I. study showed that 57% of offenders released on parole in 1963 were rearrested within two and one half years. 83% of those acquitted or dismissed in 1963 were rearrested within the same 30 month period.


The crime bill which has been proposed includes a number of separate provisions. The Administration's safe streets bill authorizes \$100 million in fiscal 1970 for upgrading local police forces.

Another section permits wiretapping under court order by federal and local authorities in the investigation of crime. A third section bans the interstate mail order sale of handguns to individuals and prohibits across the counter sale of a handgun to a person who does not live in the dealer's state.

These provisions are all controversial. Many legislators fear that the safe streets

bill will bring federal control of local police forces along with federal aid. Many feel that legalized wiretapping will be a further infringement on the right to privacy. Others believe that limiting the sale of guns in this way violates the Constitutional guarantee of the right to bear arms.

But the issue which has generated the most controversy is none of these. It is Title II which specifies that a "voluntary" confession is admissible as evidence in any federal criminal case and provides that delay in bringing the accused before a magistrate cannot be the sole reason for ruling a confession inadmissible. It provides that neither the Supreme Court nor any other federal court has jurisdiction to review a state trial court judge's ruling that a confession is voluntary and it provides that federal courts cannot review state criminal convictions on writs of habeas corpus. (Continues)



Members of Congress and others in society have for some time been concerned with Supreme Court rulings, which have often had the effect of permitting guilty persons to walk free because of technical rules imposed by the Court and never previously considered an intrinsic element in proper procedure. Now, Senators John McClellan (Ark) and Sam Ervin (NC) have chosen to do something about it.

Imbued with the old maxim that "the law is whatever the judges say it is," many Americans forget that there is a Constitutional means for reversing court decisions. Under Article III, section 2 of the Constitution, Congress has the power to limit the Court's appellate jurisdiction.

Though judicial activists are unhappy about it, Congress has clearly been given the power to block the Supreme Court from enforcing a controversial doctrine by passing a statute abolishing the Court's jurisdiction to consider appeals on that subject. The Constitution states that the Supreme Court has appellate jurisdiction "with such exceptions and under such regulations as the Congress shall make."

In the one case in which the Supreme Court dealt with this question, it held in 1869 in *ex parte McCardle* that Congress did have the power to limit the Court's jurisdiction. The question arose when civilians who had been prosecuted in military courts under the Reconstruction Acts began to bring habeas corpus actions. These, unlike court-martial cases, could be appealed to the Supreme Court. When William McCardle made such an appeal, Congress was concerned that Justices would declare the Reconstruction Acts unconstitutional. They then changed the law to abolish the Court's jurisdiction to hear habeas corpus appeals and the Supreme Court dismissed McCardle's appeal because "without jurisdiction the Court cannot proceed at all."

THE AMENDMENTS PROPOSED by Senators Ervin and McClellan would reverse the Supreme Court's decision in *Miranda v. Arizona*, which held that confessions were inadmissible unless the suspect had first been warned of his rights. It would reverse the Court's deci-

sion in *U.S. v. Wade*, which said that suspects in police lineups were entitled to counsel. It would reverse *Mallory v. U.S.*, which held that no confessions would be admissible if they were given during an unreasonable delay between arrest and arraignment.

In reality, the proposed amendments do not change the law, they restore it. Justice Hugo Black noted that "Judges... with an honest belief that changes are absolutely imperative, take it upon themselves to make changes which Congress alone has legislative power to make." He recently went on to challenge the "judicial activists" and to say that "I strongly believe that the public welfare demands that constitutional cases must be decided according to the terms of our Constitution itself and not according to the judges' views. . ."

Today, the majority of nine men on the Supreme Court can change established law at will. In the case of *Pennsylvania v. Nelson*, for example, the Court held that the Smith Act meant to give the federal government complete jurisdiction in sedition cases. Rep. Howard Smith, author of the Act, wrote that this was not the law's intent at all. The Court was not interested. It was the Court's intent which was really important.

Senator McClellan has called this proposed legislation "...the most important legislation which will be considered in Congress during the remainder of the session. . . Court decisions. . . which protect and liberate guilty and confirmed criminals to pursue and repeat their ne-

farious crimes should be reversed and overruled."

There has been much criticism of the proposed amendments. Senator Wayne Morse (Ore) attacked both the court review and wiretapping titles. The provisions restricting the Supreme Court, he said, "could start us down the road toward a government by police state procedures." He said that the wiretapping provisions could permit "total invasion of our homes through spying." Senators Joseph Tydings (Md) and Edward Brooke (Mass) also urged that the court provisions be killed and Senator Edward Long (Mo), stating that "the right of privacy is in serious straits," asked the Senate to kill the wiretapping title.

The debate will become more heated in the days to come. Congress must decide whether crime can best be attacked by permitting an increasingly lenient attitude, or by restoring the concept that men are responsible for their acts and that justice will be done. There is the broader question: is justice served when the guilty go free if the reason for such freedom is not their innocence, but the technical procedural rules which recently have been imposed by the Court? It is the old question of drawing lines between freedom and order. It is clear that the current lines do not work.

The viewpoints contained in signed articles appearing in this issue are those of their respective writers and do not necessarily represent the editorial opinion of:

INSIGHT On The News

*"Wives that cook and do the dishes
Should be granted these three wishes:
A grateful mate, a well-kissed cheek
A Restaurant dinner every week."*

JULIUS CAESAR

Julius Caesar's RESTAURANT



Julius Caesar Lusardi

ROMAN STEAKS * BROASTED CHICKEN * Bar-B-Q BABY RIBS

We Cater to Groups * Parties * PTA * Churches

Private Dining Room Available for Banquets

MU1-6633

Parking in Rear - **4901 E. 4th Ave., Hialeah, Fla.**

CAMPAIGN '68

McCARTHY-U.S. Communism's Trojan Horse




Photo from: "The Worker"
SENATOR EUGENE McCARTHY addressing the rally in Madison Square Garden. Others who appeared were: playwright Arthur Miller (top left); Cornelius Givens, N.Y. Coordinator of Poor People's Campaign (lower left); Clarence B. Jones, co-chairman, N.Y. McCarthy for President Committee (top right) and Paul O'Dwyer, N.Y. State candidate for the Senate.

In order to know whether or not a person subscribes to the policies and major current objectives of the Communist Party, USA, and its many and varied "front" organizations in our country, it is obviously very necessary that one first know the officially and publicly stated aims on key national and international issues of organized American Communism.

If more Americans would take time to study and read what the U.S. Communist Party is doing to achieve its ultimate purpose of establishing a full-fledged Communist regime in the U.S., they would rapidly discover for themselves how this is being done. One thing we can say for American Communism,

and pro-Communists of varying shades of colors, from pale pink to fiery crimson, is that they do not hide their ideology and that they use every available opportunity to publicize and promote it. The abysmal ignorance demonstrated by many persons of what these people are doing here at home has resulted in victory upon victory for the enemy. During the last National Convention of the Communist Party, USA, held in New York City, Gus Hall, the secretary general of the Party, and his coterie of undisguised traitors proclaimed their key objectives for this year and in the immediate future. The "Party" has published a brochure containing its objectives, and it may be acquired by writing

to: 205 W. 19 Street, New York, 10011.

The Communist Party, USA Platform specifically spells out its major national and international objectives in the following manner:

VIETNAM

Cessation of U.S. bombing. Negotiations with Hanoi on what amounts to accepting Ho Chi Minh's demands and terms. Inclusion of representatives of the NLF and the Viet Cong in a coalition government for South Vietnam.

Indictment of South Vietnam's current government. Withdrawal of all U.S. troops from Vietnamese soil.

In short, the Communist Party, USA is asking that the United States, in the

most cowardly and dispicable fashion, turn tail, betray our South Vietnamese allies and surrender South Vietnam to Ho Chi Minh, thus opening the way to complete control of all of South East Asia by the Soviet Union.

GUARANTEED MINIMUM INCOME

The Communist Party, USA was one of the principal originators of the concept of the "Guaranteed Minimum Income." This is one of its major current issues. The GMI is a gigantic step toward the consolidation of a totalitarian socialist regime. By subsidizing millions of persons and converting them into parasites of the State, the Communists can achieve some of their most cherished goals; to wit: a) weaken the national economy of the United States by placing on it the burden of paying billions of dollars to maintain millions of non-productive persons; b) forcing the federal government to make itself odious to the productive population by imposing upon it the almost confiscatory taxes required to sustain this program; c) accustoming people to the idea that they need not work to earn a living, while simultaneously blaming the free enterprise system for all of the ills brought about by this demagogic plan; d) forming the subsidized parasites into a revolutionary vanguard useful to the Communist Party and its Socialist allies.

C U B A

Peaceful coexistence with the Castro regime, and the adoption of measures to bring Communist Cuba into the "American Family of Nations." American opposition to aid given by the U.S. government to Latin American governments asking for arms to defend their independence against Communist armed subversion such as guerrilla warfare and "Wars of National Liberation."

A F R I C A

All-out aid to Africa's pro-Communist and Marxist regimes and the National Liberation movements. This includes open support of Red rebel forces in Angola, Mozambique and Southern Africa, and a constant attack and boycott of Rhodesia and South Africa, as well as of other anti-Communist regimes in that region of the World.

The Communist Party leaders hurl a daily barrage of propoganda regarding: "Racist White America," need for support of the "Poor People's Marches and Actions," endorsement of activities of rebellious, extreme-Leftist students for the control of American universities and other related attacks against the traditional institutions of American government and society.

These, in a summarized version, are the principal plans of what may be called

the "Platform" of the Communist Party, USA. By now, the reader may wonder where and when Senator Eugene McCarthy comes into this picture. Unfortunately, he fits into it to perfection. There is absolutely no discrepancy between the major objectives of the Communist Party, USA and those maintained by the Senator. The inquisitive reader may discover these facts for himself by simply checking Senator McCarthy's pronouncements on key issues against those made by the United States' official Communist organization.

V I E T N A M

McCarthy is so eager for what amounts to U.S. surrender in Vietnam, that he is even condemning President Johnson for not acceding more rapidly to the insolent and insulting demands of the South Vietnamese delegation at the ridiculous and humiliating Paris "Peace" talks. As far as the Senator is concerned, what the Communists want in Vietnam is what the United States should immediately grant them. Not once has he defended our South Vietnamese allies, denounced Viet Cong terror or the invasion through "neutralist" Laos of South Vietnam by hundreds of thousands of North Vietnamese regular troops.

GUARANTEED MINIMUM INCOME

McCarthy echoes, word for word, the pronouncements of the Communist Party, USA on this matter. He has turned himself into a champion for the subsidizing of the nation's parasites.

C U B A

McCarthy wants to co-exist "peacefully" with Fidel Castro and has proposed an unrealistic program of action whereby Communist Cuba is to be wooed back into "the American Family of Nations."

A F R I C A

There appear to be no ideological differences between Senator McCarthy's position on the questions regarding the "Black Continent" and the positions maintained on these issues by the Communist Party, USA.

LISTEN TO
Dan Smoot
TUESDAYS 6:30 - 6:45 P.M.
WEDR-fm — 99.1

COLE'S FLOWERS



2101 BISCAYNE BLVD., MIAMI
(Miami's Largest Flower Shop)
Phone: FR 9-2415



S. DIXIE HWY., CORAL GABLES
(Opposite U. of M.)
Phone: 667-8893

FREE DELIVERY Anywhere In Greater Miami. Open Evenings Till 9, Sundays Till 7.

On other issues, such as "Racist White America," endorsement of extreme Leftist control of American universities, and other actions directed against basic U.S. institutional life, McCarthy goes hand in hand with the Communist Party "Platform." If any doubt should remain in any logical mind that McCarthy is being used as a Trojan Horse by the U.S. Red Establishment, it is easily dispelled by exposing the solid backing and glowing endorsement which he is receiving from the Communist Party news organs and leaders as well as from the most militant and fanatical Castro-Communist leaders in the U.S. These Marxist-Leninist individuals have never defended, promoted or given favorable publicity to anybody or anything they do not con-

sider useful to their cause. It is therefore extremely significant to notice how in every issue of *The Worker*, official mouthpiece of the Communist Party, USA, McCarthy is hailed and publicized on the front pages.

During a recent David Susskind National Education Television program, William Pepper, the "Coordinator of the New Politics Movement," and three other leading members of this organization openly confessed that they are followers of Fidel Castro and are struggling to bring about a Castro-Communist system of government in the U.S.A. Several months ago, Pepper publicly announced his endorsement of Senator McCarthy and his Presidential aspirations.

An excellent and very timely example

of *The Worker's* promotion of McCarthy for the Presidency may be found in its May 26 edition. The article sets forth not only the Senator's activities, but also those of a group of notorious "fronters" who rallied to his side at an enormous gathering held in New York's Madison Square Garden. The "Liberal" press in the United States carefully refrained from informing the American people about this huge assembly of identified Pinks and Reds.

In order to get it straight from the Red "horse's mouth," and so that we may know exactly what the Communist newspaper wrote on this affair, we shall transcribe below the article exactly as it was written, with headline included:

McCarthy Rally Stresses Humphrey Peril

Last week's Madison Square Garden rally for Senator Eugene McCarthy, attended by 20,000 enthusiastic supporters, reflected growing concern at the Presidential threat of Vice-President Hubert Humphrey.

This concern was expressed particularly in the ready response by the audience to every reminder by speakers of Humphrey's role in carrying out the President's Vietnam policy and of being Johnson's hatchet man against the opponents of the war.

Clarence B. Jones, co-chairman of the Coalition For A Democratic Alternative and a former counsel to Dr. Martin Luther King, labeled Humphrey "the main enemy" and said his election "would be interpreted as a mandate for the continuation of the policies of Lyndon Baines Johnson."

Apparently worried that the Kennedy-McCarthy rivalry was dividing peace forces and leading to underestimation of the danger posed by Humphrey, Jones called on the McCarthy movement to "examine the implications of a situation in which people on the same side on the main issues are divided by a chasm, while

the individual whose stand was no less than immoral, has been able to disguise himself as one of us, or so close a friend we must refrain from bruising comment."

Jones said he was not "suggesting we ignore the quality of difference between our candidate and Robert Kennedy" and stressed that he did not agree with those in the peace movement who said there was "no material or qualitative difference" between them.

But by focusing on Humphrey, Jones pointed out, the McCarthy movement would be better able to debate differences between Kennedy and McCarthy "on the merits, and not on the basis of any anti-Robert Kennedy syndrome."

Alarm has been growing among the peace forces at the "quiet" campaign by Humphrey-Johnson forces to take over the Democratic national convention by sewing up state delegations while avoiding primary struggles.

Last week a group of peace leaders, including some from Women's Strike for Peace, called for a "Stop Humphrey" campaign and sent a national mailing to Democrats opposed to an aggressive

foreign policy, urging them to "support only those delegates who will take an unequivocal pledge to oppose Hubert H. Humphrey on every ballot at the Chicago convention."

While there was evidence of increased concern about Humphrey on the part of some leaders of the McCarthy movement, this is hardly the case for many others.

McCarthy, who has been largely silent on Humphrey, made no reference to him in his speech at the Garden.

For many, in practice, Kennedy continues to be the "main danger."

The Humphrey-Johnson forces have capitalized on the "above the battle" stance of the Vice-President and the President to screen themselves from mass resentment against their domestic and foreign policies while they concentrate on the business of manipulating the convention.

The extent to which this "new look" has had its effect can be gauged when it is recalled that only a short time ago the President or Vice-President had to make their visits outside the Capital unannounced.

Meanwhile, as McCarthy and O'Dwyer both pointed out at the meeting, last week recorded the highest U.S. casualties in Vietnam.

The Madison Square Garden rally was a much-needed shot in the arm for the McCarthy campaign in more ways than one.

It raised \$300,000, in addition to \$100,000 in admissions.

More important, the size and spirit of the audience revealed there was no mood of defeatism in the wake of setbacks in Indiana and Nebraska.

The feeling is that just as New Hampshire and Wisconsin confounded the pros and transformed the elections, so will Oregon and California.

When Joseph Rauh, of the Americans for Democratic Action, announced that the ADA convention in Washington had almost unanimously reaffirmed its board's Feb. 10 endorsement of McCarthy, the audience rose to its feet, cheering.

The near-unanimity was achieved by including tributes to Kennedy and Humphrey.

The *New York Times* May 20 reported ADA chairman John Kenneth Galbraith as saying that Humphrey, if nominated, could be considered for endorsement if he gave some "assurances" that the "policies of the past would not be repeated."

The militant anti-war mood of the meeting was demonstrated in the ovation given to folk-singer Phil Ochs, when he sang "I Ain't Marchin' Anymore."

It was second only to the one it accorded McCarthy.

A number of speakers, particularly O'Dwyer, appealed for support to the Poor People's March in Washington and

Cornelius Givens, its New York coordinator, was given the floor briefly at the end of the rally.

Garry Moore, one of the evening's master of ceremonies, presented Givens with a check of \$3,000.

McCarthy, who received one of his most enthusiastic receptions, was vigorously applauded when he declared, "I'm not one of those who will be silent during the Vietnam negotiations."

He outlined the essential features of his April 11 Boston speech which implemented the recommendations of the President's Commission on Civil Disorders.

He noted that a new generation of Negro leaders is emerging in the U.S., and said "such voices can be listened to with respect."

Earlier in his speech to the ADA convention, McCarthy warned powerful forces were seeking to undermine Vietnam peace negotiations and cautioned "the battle is far from won."

In the same speech, he stated "anyone who paid lip-service to the memory of Martin Luther King must ask himself" what is he "doing now" so that his "last dream" reflected in "the poverty march here in Washington might be brought to fulfillment."

McCarthy, however, made no mention of the Poor People's March in his Madison Square Garden address.

A star-studded cast of actors, entertainers and writers paid tribute to McCarthy.

It included: Dyck Van Dyke, Jose Ferrer, Colleen Dewhurst, Melvyn Douglas, Roscoe Lee Browne, Elaine May, Alan Arkin, Dustin Hoffman, Larry Blyden, Arthur Miller, Robert Lowell and Lillian Hellman. Tony Randall and Garry Moore were the MC's.

- HOME FRONT - (Continued)

"Reverend"

The

IT IS PREPOSTEROUS but unfortunately true commentary on the recent history of the most powerful country on earth that it is now receiving ultimatums from the likes of Ralph D. Abernathy.

Abernathy succeeded to the late Dr. King's mantle in the Southern Christian Leadership Conference by virtue of an association dating from the Montgomery bus boycott.

King was at least glib and adroit in the guile by which he managed to blumox an alarming number of Americans. But Abernathy is an unpolished and disreputable clod best remembered here for what may have been a record dash from his church office on the night of Aug. 29, 1958.

Panic-stricken and screaming, he was pursued by an irate, hatchet-wielding husband who had accused him of having a relationship with his wife more suited to the pages of Krafft-Ebbing's *Psychopathia Sexualis* than a family newspaper.

The wife of his assailant told a Montgomery Circuit Court jury in November 1958 that she had submitted to unnatural sex acts with the Reverend before her marriage, beginning at the age of 15, and that "he never stopped chasing me" even after her marriage to Edward Davis. She had been a member of Abernathy's church.

On the day Davis accosted Abernathy in his office, armed with pistol and hatchet, Abernathy had called her, Mrs. Davis testified.

THE JURY deliberated only 10 minutes, obviously invoking the unwritten law, before acquitting Davis

BRONZE GRANITE MARBLE
HEADSTONE AND GRAVE MARKERS

Hargrove Memorial Company

HIGHEST QUALITY LOWEST PRICE

16145 N.W. 28TH PLACE
OPA-LOCKA, FLA. 33054

PHONE:
624-0916

Any current article will be supplied in reprint form upon sufficient demand to cover printing costs. Permission is hereby granted to reprint any article from this issue, providing customary credit is given.

Abernathy's Unsavory "Romance"

Leader Of The Great Crusade

of attempting to do in the preacher.

Yet, basking in the reflected glory of King, Abernathy emerged from the revolting case unscathed in the eyes of SCLC.

In 1960, he was signally honored by Prime Minister Kwame Nkrumah, the subsequently deposed (Communist) dictator of Ghana, by being invit-

Reprinted From
The Editorial Page of
The Montgomery Advertiser
Montgomery, Alabama
Issue of
Tuesday, May 2, 1968

ed to Accra for a "Conference on Non-Violence and Positive Action for the Security of Africa."

This was heady stuff for a man who, but for his color and the accident of time and place, would never have been heard from. But he was to go on to loftier things, despite his unsavory background, finally being elevated to King's throne after the tragedy in Memphis.

Abernathy leads the vanguard of a movement which is trying to intimidate Congress not only into massive redistribution of the wealth to the poor (along with the shiftless), but into changing foreign policy. Vietnam is only one item of the agenda of the amorphous mass which may number in the tens of thousands (hundreds of thousands, some say) who will at-

tempt to immobilize Washington by mid-June.

* * *

IN THEIR initial protests, the Abernathy-led extortionists denounced U.S. diplomatic and business ties with Portugal and South Africa. The United States must not be encumbered, the formal statement said, "with the support in any way of racist societies abroad."

Although Abernathy keeps mouthing the old line about non-violence, this is once again semantic camouflage for the threat of violence unless Washington—the President and Congress—knuckle under to every demand.

* * *

TERROR is not the appropriate reaction in Washington: righteous anger is, accompanied by massive force. Unless authorities have the courage to turn back this invasion by whatever force is necessary, and refuse to be intimidated, the words of Senator Robert C. Byrd, West Virginia Democrat, will prove prophetic:

"I am greatly concerned for my country. . . I feel that we can see ample evidence of destruction of our nation from within."

It would all be sickening enough if the leadership were other than Ralph Abernathy; a demagogue worse than Stokely Carmichael, if only because he's so stupid; a degenerate unfit to lead the smallest rural church, much less pose as the representative of America's unfortunate poor.

Economic News and Views

HOW TO DECREASE PROVERTY

By William S. Baren

I understand that this magazine is now sent to every member of the United States Congress. May I offer them a suggestion? Do you, members of Congress, know that according to the June 15, 1967 issue of *Fortune* magazine, the following amounts of capital



Baren

have been invested to create ONE JOB in the ten highest industry classifications of capital invested for employee?

Industry	Capital Invested Per Employee
Mining	\$100,210
Petroleum Mfg.	86,328
Tobacco	47,070
Chemicals	26,807
Metal Mfg.	26,790
Paper & Wood Products	24,379
Glass, Cement, Gypsum, etc.	23,806
Pharmaceuticals	21,299
Publishing & Printing	19,928
Shipbuilding & Railroad Equip.	19,676

Where did this money come from? From profits ploughed back into better tools and equipment, and from capital invested which was saved by those of us who had surplus available AFTER WE PAID OUR TAXES AND LIVING EXPENSES.

It has been estimated that the deficit of the United States government in the coming fiscal year will amount to approximately \$22 billion, war or no war, and the proposed 10% surtax included. At any rate, it is very obvious that every billion that the people do not have to hand over to the government can and will be used to create more jobs at real
..... (Continues on last page)

Are Rap & Stokely On U.S. Payroll?...

Millions of Americans wonder how H. Rap Brown and Stokely Carmichael can go around the country inciting rebellion and urging that the U.S. be burned to the ground without being prosecuted for their inflammatory and seditious harangues. In Carmichael's case, he has been permitted to travel to Cuba, identify himself as a fanatical disciple of Castro-Communism and then proceed to African Guinea, and together with Miriam Makeba, now his wife, participate in a "National Liberation Front" conference aimed directly at urging guerrilla warfare in our country; and yet, he appears immune, as far as American laws are concerned. Under these circumstances, it is not surprising that most people are confused by the whole affair. Any explanation, regardless of how far fetched it may be, easily sounds reasonable and plausible. The following article, reprinted from the September 18, 1967 Congressional Record-Appendix, pages A4629-A4630, may offer a bit of enlightenment and understanding on this puzzling matter. Let the reader be the judge:

EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

HON. ANDREW JACOBS, JR.

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 18, 1967

Mr. JACOBS. Mr. Speaker, in the language of the economist, *ceteris paribus*.

An article from the *New York Times* follows:

HOW RAP BROWN WORKS FOR CONGRESS AND SAVES THE COUNTRY BILLIONS

(By Russell Baker)

WASHINGTON.—Many persons have been infuriated this summer by the demagogic virtuosity of H. Rap Brown, but very few know "Brown's" true identity. His real name is John Green and he is an undercover agitator on the payroll of the Senate Appropriations Committee.

His assignment is to help Congress find inexpensive solutions to the nation's racial problem. When racial animosity threatens to erupt at a given location, Green—or "Rap Brown," to use his undercover name—hurries to the scene, delivers inflammatory harangues and in other unobvious ways seeks to make himself highly visible.

In this way, Brown helps Congress to save billions. A Senate aide who insists on anonymity explains:

"If riots break out, Congress naturally has to investigate the cause and produce solutions to eliminate it. Some of these solutions can get pretty expensive. If you can discover that the riots are caused by outside agitators, however, you can let the taxpayer off cheap with a bill to provide prison accommodations for any agitators caught crossing state lines."

Thus, as an undercover outside agitator, Green performs an important financial service for the "white power structure" for which, in his identity as "Brown," he is forced to profess violent distaste.

The idea for a congressional corps of undercover outside agitators originated three years ago when the Appropriations Committee invented "Stokely Carmichael."

"Carmichael"—his real name is Peter Mulligan—was an ambitious young lawyer with a theatrical taste for rhetoric and undercover work which was not satisfied by the humdrum of his chores on the Senate staff.

At that time the civil rights movement had begun to generate such popularity that Congress foresaw it might soon be required to support public promises with large outlays of cash.

To forestall this possibility—after all, the United States could rebuild its cities or it could unbuild Vietnam, but it could scarcely be expected to do both without

affecting the economy—Mulligan was sent forth as "Stokely Carmichael" to reduce public support for the civil rights movement.

It is said that "black power," his slogan which so successfully cooled the egalitarian ardor of white liberals, was actually the inspiration of Senator Eastland of Mississippi. In any case, it helped Congress avoid some rather heavy expenditures, and incidentally generated a good deal of New York sympathy for the Mississippi way of life.

When Mulligan tired of the thankless role of undercover outside agitator and expressed a yearning to see the world, he was taken on by the CIA, with the stipulation that he maintain his "Carmichael" cover. He was last heard from in Cuba.

Congressmen are naturally reluctant to say how many other undercover outside agitators they have in the field, ready to provide them with quick low-budget solution should social breakdown continue. And, of course, as more sensitive congressmen remind us, the use of undercover agitators is not dictated solely by stinginess.

"The fact is," one such congressman explained, "that some problems are so difficult that we can't do much about them, no matter how much we have to spend. Still the public expects us to come up with answers. As long as we have a few 'Rap Browns' working for us we can be certain of having an answer when the public wants it."

The congressman's need to create the illusion of being at grips with great issues which are, in fact, beyond him extends to fields other than race. Congress maintains a large cadre of undercover peace agitators, for example.

These are the agents who conducted the now infamous flag burning this year for the House of Representatives, which was then sorely frustrated by its inability to come to grips with the Vietnam issue.

With the speed of a Warner Brothers cavalry, The House galloped to defense of the flag by voting to imprison its desecrators. Thus its undercover agitators help it to deal with crisis abroad and at home, and "Rap Browns" save the day.

U.S. REDS FELT R.F.K. BETRAYED THEM

- BY DR. FERNANDO PENABAZ -

It will probably take the majority of the American people a very long time to discover why a Leftist fanatic named Sirhan Bishara Sirhan fired the bullets which killed Senator Robert F. Kennedy. What is more, it is almost miraculous that any considerable part of our population knows anything about key issues and important events anywhere because it is evident that the self-appointed opinion-moulders employed by the huge television and press networks, together with our major "Liberal establishment" leaders, seem committed to a policy of altering the truth, doctoring the news, and frequently peddling insulting falsehoods with utter contempt for all of us.

It is already obvious that the assassination of Robert Kennedy is going to be enveloped in the same mantle of rationalized lies which surrounded the murder of President John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King. In their almost desperate desire to pin the atrocious assassinations on non-existent "right-wing extremists," the pseudo-Liberal and left-wing opinion-makers repeatedly indulged in fantastic mental gymnastics.

A few minutes after Sirhan shot Kennedy, the wire services transmitted the idiotic statement that there was a possibility the assailant "was a Cuban exile or a Jamaican." Besides the fact that this is about as accurate as explaining that the assassin was a Chinaman or an Irishman, it clearly indicated that the opinion makers were hoping against hope that the man who shot Senator Kennedy would turn out to be a "right-wing" anti-Castro Cuban. If this had been the case, all anti-Reds in the U.S., through the process of guilt by association, so dear to the "Liberal" mentality, would have been branded as responsible for the commission of this terrible crime.

From now on, the Leftists and pseudo-Liberals could save themselves a great deal of time and effort by looking first into their own camp in order to discover who are the usual perpetrators of political crimes. It is they who create the climate which in turn spawns repulsive creatures of the Oswald and Sirhan ilk. During the last half century, the greatest political criminals in history have been self-confessed Socialists. For those with weak memories, or lack of political

INSIGHT On The News, June 15, 1968



RFK. . . Spock and Red comrades booed, hissed him!

information, it is well that they remember the names of some of these infamous mass murderers: Lenin, Trotzky, Stalin, Mussolini, Hitler, Mao Tse-tung, Fidel Castro and a host of others; and whether the "Liberals" like it or not, both Hitler and Mussolini were not only self-acknowledged, radical Socialists of the "Nationalist" brand, but were also, at one time, Joseph Stalin's official allies and principal collaborators. The "Liberal" and extreme Leftists are known for imputing "collective guilt" against an entire society for the crimes committed by individuals. This assumption is correct in the specific case of Sirhan Bishara Sirhan, as the "Liberal establishment" can well blame itself for what this man has done. Sirhan's homicidal act was a result of the noxious ideological "climate" bred by Communism and pseudo-Liberalism in the U.S.

Notwithstanding the censorship which is already being clamped down on Sirhan's background, connections and

activities, it has already seeped-out that he: is "anti-imperialist"; possessed Communist contacts and propaganda; is somehow related to the W.E.B. DuBois Clubs (branded by the F.B.I. as a Communist-front organization); and is associated with three other "subversive Leftist groups."

To believe that Sirhan in his desire to kill Kennedy was solely motivated by his "hate of Israel" is ridiculous. After all, almost without exception, every leading "Liberal" U.S. politician favors Israel in its confrontation with the Arabs.


So, the Jordanian assassin would have had to kill many other people besides RFK to serve his alleged purposes.

Perhaps it will shed a great deal more light on Sirhan's motives to know that from the moment Robert Kennedy stated he would back LBJ for the Presidency in 1968, U.S. Communists and most of the radical Left, of which the W.E.B. DuBois Clubs, the S.D.S., the P.L.M., the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (S.N.N.C.) and other similar groups are an integral part, considered that he had betrayed their cause.

At the time Robert Kennedy stated he would be willing to give his blood to the Viet Cong, and identified himself with the position assumed by Communism on the Viet Nam question, he won the enthusiastic support, not only of the Communist Party, U.S.A., but of all of its satellite groups and persons.

On February 27, 1966, *The Worker* headlined Kennedy's position on its front page by stating: "RFK JOLTS WHITE HOUSE, GAINS POPULAR SUPPORT." and then, among other things, went on to say: "Kennedy's espousal of the inclusion of the N.L.F. in a coalition government marks a break by the N.Y. Senator with the Johnson Administration and an open challenge by him to Johnson to make the Vietnam war the main issue in the 1968 Congressional elections and even in the upcoming Presidential race."

..... (Continues on page 23)



REPORT FROM AFRICA

Sudan is a Miserable Mess

HALF MILLION BUTCHERED BLACKS IGNORED IN U.S. PRESS

The Sudanese are still evaluating the results of their recent election. It took two weeks to poll every part of this nation which is one of Africa's largest countries.

Sudan has been muddling through for years rather than meeting the challenge of its position as a potential bridge between the Arab World and black Africa. Most observers, Sudanese and foreign, said that the short run result of this election came as they expected—mostly the mixture as before rather than strong medicine for the country's constitutional and economic problems.

One reason is the complicated nature of the Sudanese political game. It is hard to tell the players even with a scorecard, since there are at least eight parties and, in some constituencies, as many as eight candidates from the same party.

Major questions have received only minor attention from most politicians because voting here is determined largely by personalities and traditional and tribal loyalties in which there is a large element of Muslim sectarianism.

The choice of prime minister and governing party or coalition will probably not be known until the assembly meets and goes through a lot of political horse trading.

The assembly's first task will be adoption of a constitution to replace the jury rigged system on which Sudan has operated since peacefully turning out a military regime in 1964. The main constitu-

tional questions are whether to have a strong de Gaulle-type presidency or a parliamentary system with a weak president, and what to do about the south.

Sudan's three southern provinces are inhabited by about four million black Africans, mostly Nilotes related to the peoples of northern Uganda and Western Kenya. Since 1955, they have been smoldering over their treatment by the 10 million Arabic cultured northern Sudanese, and since 1963 their extremists have been at war with the north.

Perhaps 500,000 people have been killed in this struggle, according to Southerners.

The government seems to be regaining control of the south from rebel bands, according to recent visitors. More educated southerners—English speaking and often Christian—seem to be willing to take their chances with the Muslim north.

But they want as much autonomy as they can get, guarantees of religious freedom and the ability to resist expressions of cultural racial prejudice by northerners. Their 60 seats out of 218 in the assembly could give them the balance of power.

The validity of the south's participation can be questioned however. In one southern constituency with a nominal population in the thousands, only 570 voters registered and only 30 voted—for one of three candidates. Many other constituencies were in similar shape.

In addition to the constitutional is-

sues, the new government will have to deal with a troubled economic situation. Sudan is suffering from frustrated growth, balance of payments troubles and a transport problem that aggravates both.

The railway is Sudan's means of transporting its cash crops, cotton and gum Arabic, to its only exit, Port Sudan. Passenger trains are on time only four per cent of the time; freight trains, only one per cent. It carried only 30,000 tons of produce out of 350,000 tons ready to go in the first half of March.

Corruption is also a fact of Sudanese life with which the government should deal, according to the vigorous and uninhibited local press.

Finally, foreign policy is more important here than in many developing countries because of Sudan's Arab Orientation despite its African location.

The lineup dealing—or not dealing—with these problems look like this:

The Democratic Unionist Party (DUP), which won more seats than any other party, was formed in December by two groups that had split apart at the time of independence in 1956.

The leader of one wing is Ismail Azhari, chairman of the five-man Supreme Council of State. He likes to identify himself as "the man who put up the flag" at independence. He is thought to prefer a strong presidency but to want to be president, strong or weak.

The other wing is led by Sheikh Ali Abdul Rahman, Sudan's leading supporter of Egypt. He favors parliamentary rather than presidential government. His power base is the Khatmia sect of north-

INSIGHT On The News, June 15, 1968

ern and eastern Sudan. If he determines Sudanese policy the tone will be shrill even in domestic affairs and in the words of his chief lieutenant, who could not mediate as Prime Minister Mohammed Mahjoub did in the Yemen civil war or other Arab disputes.

The Umma Party was once Sudan's biggest. Its base is the Ansar sect from western Sudan. Its leaders are descendants of the Mahdi, the religious leader who defeated the British in 1885, and whose followers caused the death of Gen. Charles Gordon.

(Laurence Olivier defeating Charlton Heston, if you know Sudan only from the movies.)

The Umma is now split in two. One faction is led by the Imam al-Hadi al-Mahdi, the conservative inheritor of the Mahdi's religious functions. He favors a strong presidency with himself as president. His political ally is Prime Minister Mahjoub, a gradualist about economic change who favors a parliamentary system and close ties to the Arab World.

The other faction is led by the Imam's nephew, Sadiq al-Mahdi Sadiq, 32, Oxford educated, says he wants to link Sudan's traditional and modern forces to break through the development barrier. He was the only politician to publish a western-style campaign platform.

Sadiq favors a strong presidency. He is the only northerner with some chance of real support in the south. He is against Israeli "aggression" like every Arab leader, but says he would have been more practical than Mahjoub in the June war. He wants five-year development plan.

Sadiq, like most observers here, figured he would not win this election and was right. He said that his chance would come when the people realize how bad things are and that vacuum must be filled.

Some observers demur, pointing out that Sadiq showed little ability as a parliamentary tactician when premier in 1966-1967, and is mistrusted even by some modernizers.

Also waiting in the wings to fill the expected vacuum is the Sudanese Communist Party, perhaps the most vigorous in Africa. It won only two seats compared to 11 in the 1965 elections; the special "graduates" seats that it swept have been abolished.

INSIGHT On The News, June 15, 1968

Algerian Regime Confiscates 13 foreign oil, gas firms

Algeria's headlong march toward the creation of a full-fledged Communist regime goes almost unnoticed and un-commented upon by the big U.S. "Liberal" news media monopolies.

One of the latest examples of how Algeria's Marxist dictator Houari Boumedienne is consolidating Communism in his impoverished and tyrannized nation was the recent confiscation of 13 foreign oil and gas companies engaged in marketing operation in that North African country.

Representatives of the Algerian State Oil and Gas Concern Sonatrach moved into the offices of the nationalized companies.

A State monopoly was imposed on the distribution, transport and storage of Algeria's oil and gas needs. Sonatrach, which already controlled 40 per cent of the market, will exclusively handle these operations.

The nationalization announcement by Prime Minister Houari Boumedienne made no mention of compensation. Total claims asked for by the 11 French and two Anglo-Dutch companies amounted to 250,300,000 francs (21.5 million sterling), according to figures published in the Algerian official press.

This was the first nationalization of French oil and gas interests in Algeria.

A party of the right-wing Muslim Brotherhood and a handful of southern parties complete the picture. They, the Communists, the shadow of Egypt's President Nasser, the growing trade with the east, Sadiq's attempt at an ideological approach show how the modern world is catching up with Sudan.

More significant, however, may be the fact that there were 23 Mahdis running for the assembly, and three Khalifas—descendants of the original Mahdi's successor who ruled Sudan for 13 years until Kitchener came down from Cairo in 1898 with Winston Churchill in his train.

Boumedienne, Tuesday night, described the nationalizations as an important and new step toward the "recuperation" of Algeria's wealth and resources from foreign-owned concerns.

The American-owned Esso and Mobil Oil were the first oil companies to be nationalized in Algeria last August.

Talks have taken place between the two companies and the Algerian government since then, but no agreement on compensation has been reached so far, according to foreign oil sources here.

The Algerian oil market amounts to about a million metric tons a year.

The decision affected these companies: Total Algerie, in which the French government owns 35 per cent of the stock; Shel Algerie, Beryl Algerie, Compagnie Africaine des Raffineries de Berre, a distributor; Algerienne des Petroles Mory, Societe Mediterranenne des Combustibles, Algeronaphte, Butagaz, Prima-gaz and Raffigaz, a distributor of liquified natural gas and petroleum.

Executives of the firms complained to newsmen that they were not warned of the nationalization move. One said he heard it on the radio.

It was unclear whether Algeria's only refinery would be affected.

Shell Algerie owns 24 per cent of the refinery, and Total Algerie owns 12 per cent.

It was believed that Sonatrach already owned 44 per cent of the refinery from its original participation and acquisitions, including the 10.40 per cent share held by British Petroleum, which it nationalized in Jan. 30, 1967. If so, the new nationalizations appear to raise its participation to 80 per cent.

The pattern followed by Boumedienne is a carbon copy of Fidel Castro's confiscation of all private property in Cuba. Even the expression "recuperation," invented by Castro as a substitute for outright "stealing" of national and foreign properties in Cuba, is being used by the Algerian government to describe its confiscation practices.

Black Brutality Against Whites in Nigeria



PUNISHMENT: Colonel Benjamin Adjunie (back to camera) moves in with the boot on one of the guards responsible for ill-treating the Britons after knocking him down. "You've got to maintain strict discipline," he says. "Nothing sloppy can be allowed." While white and black Leftist demagogues in the U.S. prattle about "police brutality," brutal blacks savagely beat up both whites and blacks in Nigeria where more than 100,000 persons have been killed during the last year.



BEATEN UP: Arms freed, but still under guard, John Downing (left) and William Blakely await questioning before being sent on to Lagos. Their faces show cruel whip lashes.

Two Britons were recently bound and beaten by Nigerian troops reported *Daily Express* writer Rene MacCall and multiaward winning photographer Terry Fincher.

The Britons, their faces welted by whip lashes, their wrists tied tightly behind their backs, were pulled out of a car at the Nigerian Federal Army's advanced tactical headquarters outside Port Harcourt. Tractor company representative John Downing, 45, of Sussex, and marine manager William Blakely, 50, of Edinburgh had been captured outside the town.

Commanding the headquarters was strong man Colonel Benjamin Adekunle. He took one look at the way the prisoners had been treated . . . then . . . furious, he grabbed the guard responsible. He ripped off the man's shirt, hit him, knocked him to the ground, and jumped on him. The two Britons were freed and given medical aid.



Col. Adekunle

The colonel, 30, is a fantastic man... flamboyant, full of panache, a born actor. With his cap covered in "scrambled egg" (gilt edging) and surrounded by a rich red band, he is greatly feared by his men. He has a unique view on discipline: "You've got to maintain strict discipline—nothing sloppy allowed. If a man is sloppy he gets 4 days in the guardroom with his hands in the air all the time."

Colonel Adekunle is a short man with blazing eyes, moustache, and side whiskers.

The two Britons, released and resting in a British High Commission flat, told of their ordeal. Bill Blakely said: "There were 70 of us in a hotel in Port Harcourt, mostly Indians and Lebanese. John and I set out by car to try to get kerosene for the refrigerator. Some Federal troops came along the road. At first they were friendly, then things happened. . . they were sure we were Chinese. They bound us and took us to a nearby barracks. We were hit on the face with everything—whips, boots, fists, rifle butts."

INSIGHT On The News, June 15, 1968

**WAR
AGAINST
ISRAEL
CALLED
BY
PLO**



COMMANDOS—"Palestinian" commandos, following the agreement reached in the Tri-Continental Conference held in Havana, Cuba, in January 1966, initiate a "liberation movement" and leave their base to engage in armed action in Israeli territory. The commandos pictured above went into action to celebrate the 20th anniversary of the end of the British mandate in Palestine.

**PRO-SOVIET
PALESTINIANS
INCREASING
SUPPORT
OF
COMMANDOS**

*T*hree pro-Soviet and pro-Castro Palestinian organizations have called on the "Palestine people" to increase support for commando operations to "liberate their country."

These Palestinian organizations are carrying out the guidelines set by the Soviet and Cuban Communist-led Tri-Continental Organization.

The call was made in statements issued by the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine and the Arab Higher Committee on Palestine on the occasion of the 20th anniversary of the end of the British mandate in Palestine and the establishment of the State of Israel.

The PLO called for "increased steadfastness in the face of the criminal Israeli blows" and warned against the "break up of forces."

It affirmed that it was doing all it could to "escalate armed struggle and raise it to the level of a total revolution of liberation. . ." and unify commando

operations under one leadership "until decisive victory is achieved over Zionist-imperialist enemy forces represented in what is called the State of Israel.

In its statement, the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine—a commando organization—called for "opening a wide front against imperialism, Zionism and their aligned forces in Arab territory. . ."

It said that victory over the "imperialist and Zionist scientific and technological supremacy will only be achieved by mobilizing Palestinian and Arab masses politically and militarily..."

It would also be achieved through their participation "in the core of the struggle against Zionism and imperialism. . . by means of the popular liberation war to protect our homeland and liquidate the Zionist occupation."



ASIAN VIEWPOINTS

What's Happening on the Chinese Mainland?

The Paris "peace" talks may serve to placate the fears and passions of that segment of the American population which demands a definite solution to the Vietnam conflict even at the cost of completely selling-out our South Vietnamese allies, but, as far as world opinion is concerned, the submissive and permissive attitude assumed by Mr. Harriman and his collaborators is fooling very few persons.

Outside of the United States, it is the consensus of opinion that Ho Chi Minh definitely has the upper hand in the negotiations and is committed to making the American delegation sing to the Communists' tune. After all, it is Ho who demands, and it is the U.S. which repeatedly accedes to these demands, no matter how humiliating or preposterous they may be. Translated into understandable language, this is interpreted abroad as signifying that even now Ho is the victor and that the U.S. and its ignored South Vietnamese allies are the vanquished.

The Asians and people everywhere else are shocked over the fact that the United States has been willing to sit down with Ho's representatives without even permitting the South Vietnamese to express their viewpoints on what should be done in their own country. Meanwhile, the international Communists apparatus continues to press the point that the significance of Vietnam lies in the fact that it proves to all and sundry that the U.S. is an impotent giant unable to defeat an underdeveloped, underfed, Asian mini-nation, and that the lesson to be learned is that it is more than obvious that a larger "socialist" power can, at will, make mincemeat out of the "Yankee imperialists." The Paris "peace" talks are serving to prove this point!

How some powerful Asian opinion-moulders feel about U.S. appeasement of its avowed enemies is lucidly expressed in the two following editorials:

The USIA Invitation

The USIA director referred to the Peiping regime as China, openly ignoring the legitimacy of the government of the Republic of China and the anti-Communist aspirations of hundreds of millions of Chinese.

The American official promised Communist newsmen prime time on the Voice of America to send their report back to Peiping uncensored. Maybe he hoped that the Peiping regime would reciprocate with a similar invitation to American newsmen and give them similar treatment. This is wishful thinking. The Communists always take advantage of the freedom of press to sabotage democratic governments, but have never permitted Western newsmen the freedom to gather news and report on the realities of their domain. (Continues)



If the Communist newsmen are allowed to use the Voice of America facilities, they will taunt the United States and engage in anti-American propaganda at the U.S. taxpayers' expense. How can this be?

Once the Communist newsmen are in the United States, how can they be kept from engaging in subversion? If they are placed under the watchful eye of the FBI, they will use this as an additional excuse to attack the United States.

The New VC Offensive

Communists are beginning "negotiations" in South Vietnam rather than Paris.

That is the meaning of the new offensive that was launched against hundreds of targets last week-end. Viet Cong and their northern accomplices are flexing their muscles and telling the United States what will happen in the absence of a peace satisfactory to Communism.

There is no denying that the Communists retain the strength to kill wantonly, destroy indiscriminately, terrorize and interfere with the normal operation of society.

But none of this is decisive, and the Communist capacity for trouble-making is much more now than, at the time of the Tet offensive.

The Tet attacks were a shock to the American people—so much so that President Johnson de-escalated the war, asked for peace negotiations and took himself out of this year's presidential race. Militarily, the setback was not that serious. America was defeated psychologically, not on the battlefield.

"What Tet really proved was that the Communists could not win the war. There was at least an implication that the South Vietnam allies could undertake an offensive of their own and emerge as the victors.

The United States has now embarked upon a no-win policy. Peace is to be sought at the conference table. However, the kind of peace has not yet been decided. That is the stake in the continuing conflict.

Vietnam has been called a "dirty war." That is the picture the Viet Cong want to impress upon the American mind—of a conflict so brutal and so hopeless that Washington will order its negotiators to get out at any price.

But the Communists are compelled to fall back on rockets, mortars and senseless nonmilitary murders. They no longer have the strength to mount the massive but costly ground attacks that marked the Tet offensive.

If the United States stands firm both in South Vietnam and at the conference table, there may still be hope of peace without handing another country over to the Communists.

Hanoi is in direct control of the Viet Cong. The first U.S. demand at Paris should be for termination of Communist attacks in the south. American bombings were begun as a reprisal for Communist attacks in the Gulf of Tonkin. Further attacks on Saigon should be answered with all-out bombing of Hanoi and Haiphong.

To reiterate that Communism understands only the language of strength may seem tiresome—yet the point is crucially important. The Communists themselves are emphasizing exactly this with their new attacks. Unless the response is in kind, the enemy is bound to reap the advantage from the acts of violence that he is putting in the hands of his negotiators.

CANTON CLAMP-DOWN

Chinese Communist authorities have ordered a military clamp-down in Canton, with troops instructed to "shoot on sight" anyone caught putting up anti-Mao posters.

Armored cars and armed patrols have been combing the city day and night to round up suspected anti-Maoists, according to intelligence sources in Taipei.

"The entire city is in a state of great confusion, with soldiers guarding all public buildings, schools and plants," reports reaching Taipei intelligence sources said.

The military clamp-down obviously was an effort to quell the unrest touched off by recent Red Guard demonstrations against the promotion of Huang Yung-sheng to chief of general staff of the "People's Liberation Army." Huang had been commander of the Canton Military District Command.

In another measure to effect military control in that southern city, garrison troops were ordered to check on all vessels sailing the Pearl River and on all land vehicles.

ARMY "INFILTRATED"

A newspaper in Peiping warned recently that "fence-sitting" counter-revolutionaries have "penetrated the heart of the People's Liberation Army."

The warning came in an article published by the Maoist-controlled *Kuang Ming Daily*.

The article pointed out that the counter-revolutionaries included dissidents of the Chinese Communist Party, agents of the Kuomintang, and persons "taking the capitalist road."

All of them belong to the revisionist group led by the purged defense minister, Peng Teh-huai, and the former chief of the general staff, Lo Jui-Ching, the article added.

Disguising themselves as faithful supporters of Mao's "three red banner" policy, these reactionaries actually were working for the Kuomintang and for the revival of capitalism, the *Kuang Ming Daily* charged.

(The "Three Red Banners" refer to the people's communes, the "Great Leap Forward," and the "politics take general command" party line.)



FLORIDA SUPPLEMENT

SCHOOL DAZE

For more than a decade, a group of Left-liberal University of Miami professors, administrators, clergymen and outsiders with academic, social or cultural umbilical ties to the University have attempted to get students "involved," "active," "concerned" or "in the mainstream of things"—to use the lexicon of the radical Left.

With few exceptions, these efforts brought little collective reward. True, some students participated in various programs of agitation, but the nature of the institution and its student body did not lend itself at the time to the mass demonstrations every radical's bosom heaves for with consuming passion.

This is not to say that the University of Miami did not turn out in varying degrees its fair share of Left-oriented students. The Dade County schools, its legislative halls, its courts, its news media, its churches are living testimony to the fact that an institution founded on the rock of free enterprise is just as vulnerable, if not more so, than a state-controlled institution.

But somehow, a man, or combination of men, did not come along to make genuine revolution. Perhaps the University's purge of Communists in the late 1940s had an inhibiting effect on those who were left untouched and by those who followed.

A handful did contribute valuably in and out of the classroom.

Notably, the Christiansen twins, Peter and William, created a bit of a stir while also working on their degrees—Peter active in the so-called Peace Center and by writing a long article in the student newspaper, *The Hurricane*,

advocating selective killing of segregationists; William by taking part in integration demonstrations in which he was arrested and charged with cudgeling a local drive-in movie operator with a placard.

Generally, the student body could also be depended upon to provide the Peace Center with a few warm bodies whenever any of its periodic demonstrations were planned—sometimes to provoke local anti-Communist Cuban revolutionary groups into retaliatory acts.

While efforts by Dr. James Tedeschi, psychology professor, and Jim Gahagan, now a graduate student, to organize a chapter of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS)—a Marxist activist group—met with failure at the University, Tedeschi's revolutionary zeal remained undaunted.

Another individual worthy of note in recording the short history of revolutionary activity at the University is the campaign organized by John Bethea, a humanities professor, to unseat the incumbents of Florida City (of all places). Bethea, a recent unsuccessful candidate for the Metro Commission seat held by Earl Starnes, organized his students and, under the cover of a class project, boldly muscled into the Florida City municipal election.

Although the pioneer venture into interference into the local affairs of a community proved a failure, it provided the Leftist activists with valuable experience.

Perhaps one thing Bethea and his mentors had not counted on was exposure of the plot in the *Coral Gables Times* and *The Guide*—a frequent thorn

in the Leftist side. Ironically, the same papers supported Bethea editorially in his recent bid for the Metro Commission.

The complicity of the University administration was shown when Dr. H. Franklin Williams, long-time binding force among all Leftist organizations in Miami, not only refused to condemn Bethea, but wished him well, as did Dr. Thomas Wood, chairman of the Government Department later.

University of Miami's dramatic opening to the Left came shortly after the unceremonial dumping of the late Dr. Jay F.W. Pearson by kicking him upstairs to chancellor and into limbo, and the advent of Dr. Henry King Stanford, a Liberal and civil libertarian.

Wondrous things have been happening for the Left since Dr. Stanford made his famous pronouncement about "stretching the students' brains—at which time he neglected to tell which direction.

Shortly afterward, he defiantly told the trustees and the world that he would not be hamstrung by any pressure or threats from any militant anti-Communists.

Not surprisingly, the ultra-Left coterie, which had been waiting patiently in the academic wings for decades, took their cue and Left-oriented programs and speaking engagements began to flood the campus.

When Dr. Herbert Aptheker, theoretician for the Communist Party, USA was invited to speak last year, Leftist students provided a cheering section of a hundred or more.

Their enthusiastic applause from the front rows of the student cafeteria

punctuated his statements about the Communist role in the Negro revolution.

This led Dr. Wood to gush:

"It must make your heart feel good to hear all this applause."

While the press did not record this rather revealing statement, it did give Aptheker a good play and generally chastized editorially those who had the temerity to oppose his appearance.

The following week, when **George Schuyler**, a militant Conservative, Negro anti-Communist, appeared to rebut Aptheker (Aptheker had refused to appear on the same platform to debate), his appearance was played down and his comments confirming Aptheker's claim of Communist leadership of the Negro revolution went unreported with the exception of the *Coral Gables Times* and *The Guide*.

Inadvertently, perhaps, *The Herald* reported recently that it was after a meeting of Black Militants with **Dr. Stanford**, in which he reportedly advised them to fight for their rights, that the United Black Students were formed and struck directly at Stanford in what could be described as a textbook application of the Marxist "thesis-anti-thesis-synthesis."

Paralleling these developments was the appointment of **Larry Mans** as editor of *The Hurricane*. To say that Mans has taken the student newspaper down to the revolutionary road would be a vast understatement. So fast and so far has the talented activist-journalist taken *The Hurricane* that even the Administration has become alarmed.

In his short tenure at the helm, the hippy editor has converted the former weekly into a propaganda tool for the radical Left that has run the full gauntlet of projects, while at the same time serving his personal ambitions and providing an avenue for individual axe-grinding. The latter, rather than the former, explains his downfall.

While all these events provided impetus to the ever-leftward swing of politics at the University, it was perhaps the arrival in January of **Saul Alinsky**, the old and quiet revolutionary, who planted the delayed-action bomb that went off recently while Alinsky stood

back in Chicago to watch the predictable fallout.

To *The Miami Herald's* **Nancy Beth Jackson**, Alinsky is simply a "community organizer." Miss Jackson, a former *Miami News* writer was almost right. The simple addition of the prefix "dis" before organizer would have helped her copy, and Lord knows, she may have written it that way.

According to the *Herald* account, Alinsky was invited to the University by the "University Study Project, a group sponsored by the Coordinated Ministry."

Such a group certainly would not be offended by what Miss Jackson reported: "Alinsky slid in quick wit and humor with his message, mixing literary references with a selection of descriptive four-letter words."

Typically, Miss Jackson and the *Herald* would not be expected to know of Alinsky's proclivity for Marxism, Fascism, Socialism, anarchy, fanning the racial flames and contempt for religion, free enterprise and the American political processes. These are the things one learns from the authoritative sources that have been branded as "hate literature" by the guardians of academic freedom in an effort to neutralize the truth and create a wall around the facts.

Within a fortnight of Alinsky's fruitful campus visit, the student natives grew restless.

A favorite target of the Vietnik activists—armed forces recruiting and ROTC—provided the first cause celebre for the fledgling activists.

That encounter, while not particularly fruitful due to the small number of participants and the perhaps more than equal and opposite reaction, was nevertheless a wonderful little adventure into the never-never land of civil

disobedience and demonstrations.

Hardly discouraged were the intellectual arsonists in and around the University who, having poured fuel on the kindling for years, felt that this time, for sure, a fire would be lit that would enlighten the entire community.

Concomitantly, other fires had been started in many other centers of intellectual labor—West Berlin, Paris, Columbia, Tallahassee, to name a few.

While our more sophisticated European brothers spoke the unspeakable by laying the blame for student demonstrations and riots at the feet of the Communists, we looked hither and yon, but generally attributed the unrest to pacifism and idealism; the actions were neither generally pacifist nor idealistic in nature.

Negro students, calling themselves United Black Students, were egged on the Fabian coterie at the University, the Peace Center and the First Unitarian Church—a hotbed of radical Left activity in Miami for years. Unitarians reportedly donated \$2,000, carte blanche to the project.

President Henry King Stanford acted though, on the surface at least, and had some of the Black sit-in students and three non-students arrested and carted away ceremoniously.

While Dr. Stanford apparently acted determined enough to satisfy the Board of Trustees, the Black militants themselves felt satisfied with the limited victory they had achieved, not to speak of all the valuable on the job training in the mechanics of anarchy.

Those who believe that this chapter in University history is closed have not learned the vital lesson that the collective mentality knows no bounds, save the all-consuming satisfaction that comes with a complete takeover.

SORRY FOLKS, INFLATION HAS CAUGHT UP WITH INSIGHT!

PLEASE DON'T BLAME US—BUT WE ARE FORCED TO RAISE THE PRICE OF OUR SUBSCRIPTION BEGINNING WITH OUR NEXT ISSUE

Everywhere we turn, we are being charged more for the services required for the publication of **INSIGHT**. New subscription rate will be:

Nine dollars per year and five dollars for six months.

You may still renew your subscription at the old rate, if you do it during the current month of June.

U.S. "Sickness" At RFK Funeral



NICHOLAS KATZENBACH

Nothing could be more indicative of the strange "sickness" afflicting the U.S. than the sight afforded by ex-Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach and "literary" filth peddler Norman Mailer in St. Patrick's Cathedral, standing as "honor guards," face to face from each other across Robert F. Kennedy's flag draped coffin.

Katzenbach is currently one of the State Department's highest officials and formerly the nation's "top law enforcer." Mailer was formerly one of the members of a committee charged with "defending" the Negro revolutionist and pro-Peking Communist Robert F. Williams. He was also a member of Castro's first "front" outfit in the U.S., The Fair Play For Cuba Committee, of which Lee Harvey Oswald was the New Orleans chapter leader. Marxist Mailer was jailed for helping incense the mob which attempted to "storm the Pentagon" in Washington some months ago. He is famous for having written "literary gems," so packed with obscenity, that they are prized in Liberal college libraries across the land and are found on the "best on literature" newsstands of "respectable stores" throughout the country. It is no wonder Katzenbach's facial muscles twitched incessantly during the St. Patrick's Cathedral incredible scene. In truth, these events can only happen here!

Case of Draft

When Michael Fanwick Meiselman, 22-year-old Miami Beach Peacenick, failed to show up for induction in Coral Gables May 13, he was not the first to do so, but he must be ranked as one of the more interesting draft drop-outs.

Meiselman has been affiliated with the Miami Peace Center—exposed by the *Coral Gables Times* and *Guide* two years ago as a center for social agitation and pro-Communist propaganda—and involved in a series of demonstrations.

The Peace Center itself has flirted with prosecution over its solicitation of potential draft dodgers and hypocritically advocating non-payment of excise taxes to the Federal Government, while religiously paying its own.

Center activities are conducted under the auspices of the American Friends Service Committee—an organization that has erred continually in favor of Communism for more than 30 years.

How long Meiselman's delinquency from the draft will persist is problematical. At this writing, his appearance at the induction center was more than two weeks overdue. To an administration willing to prosecute its critics and even outright subversives, such a petulant act would not necessarily be cause for arrest and prosecution.

While Lt. Col. Lear A. Koch (pronounced Cook) had no reservations about discussing Meiselman's failure to report as ordered, representatives of the Selective Service Board, FBI and Justice Department were more reticent.

Under the law, Col. Koch explained, a draft resister cannot be prosecuted by him unless he reports and refuses to serve. Since he did not show, Koch said, it was the Selective Service's responsibility.

Although elsewhere celebrated cases have received much publicity in the press, Mrs. Betty Lambrechts, administrative clerk for the Draft Board of Dade County, alleged that such information was not in the public domain.

The FBI took note of Meiselman's reluctance, but indicated it could not act without Justice Department authorization.

While Jack Eskenazi, assistant U.S. Attorney in Miami, was cooperative about supplying information about procedures under Selective Service, he had a terse, "no comment" comment on the Meiselman case.

It remained to be seen if local Justice Department officials would act. Two years ago, a group of Miami housewives found out that the Justice Department has a "selective service" on its own law enforcement.

Whereas a local "Minuteman" received quick justice on an alleged threat to the life of *Miami News* Editor Bill Baggs, the same Justice Department officials refused to prosecute a local department store chain accused of removing import labels showing that their goods had originated in Communist countries. The same officials became so indignant when the housewives went to a local newspaper with their proof exposing the refusal to prosecute that they defiantly refused to act and denied the ladies the right to be heard by a Federal Grand Jury.

Meanwhile, Meiselman, a Brooklyn-born agitator, was free to continue his campaign against the U.S. which has included:

His arrest in Gainesville on Oct. 2, 1967 for lying in front of an inductee bus bound for Jacksonville;

Being Miami chapter leader of the Southern Student Organization Committee (defunct), a white counterpart of Snick and fraternal counterpart of Students for a Democratic Society.

His arrest at a recent Young Democrats Convention in Fort Lauderdale for unauthorized activity in setting up a booth.

Meiselman and Alan Levin were featured in an article in the *Miami Herald's* "Tropic Magazine" recently. Levin has been to Castro's Cuba and has also refused to be inducted.

When Miami Police Chief Walter Headley instituted the use of dogs, Meiselman appeared before the Miami City Commission bearing a target on his back to protest the action.

Recent Dade County elections demonstrate once again that a small percentage of the eligible voters control the fate of more than 1.2 million residents—a political fact fraught with mischief and danger.

The appalling, small turnout for the May 7 primary—41.44% of the 423,123 who bothered to register fails to tell the full story of the voting fiasco which has overtaken Dade.

First, Martin Braterman, supervisor of elections for the county, saved a worse showing by dropping a total of 53,000—the population of a good sized city—from the rolls immediately before the election.

But the real story of the sorry situation confronting the largest county in the State of Florida—one that rivals the State of Florida itself—is that few voters bothered to vote in the vital elections for both the Metro Commission and the School Board.

While *The Miami Herald* and *The Miami News*, co-sponsors of Dade's Metro form of government, railed at the poor turnout in the School Board race, they were strangely silent on a far worse showing in the Metro Commission races.

An analysis of the voting statistics reveals that only 14.8% of the registered voters cast a ballot in the District 6 election in which incumbent **Arthur Patten** was opposed. And the best showing in a contested election was 17% in District 2 in which three newcomers vied for a vacated post.

Looking at the statistics another way, a little over 5% of the population decided the fate of the remaining 95% at least insofar as some important local government races are concerned.

The School Board races, partisan in nature, provided a slightly better showing, but one in which the beleaguered Republicans—outnumbered better than 6-1 in Dade—demonstrated a poorer showing than their Democratic count-

erparts.

Only 14,419 Republicans cast a vote in the School Board race—25.3% of their total registration. Democrats fared a bit better with 29.4% or 106,651 registered.

Obviously, many voters simply went to the polls to one-shot their ballot, voting in the national or state races, while ignoring the equally important grass-roots campaigns.

Braterman, beleaguered in one election after another by either the paper-write-in ballots jamming, charges of rigged machines or a registration roll loaded with deadwood, agreed with those who blamed the size of the ballot (for Democrats) and said he would push for annual elections to reduce the voter work load.

The Dade voting supervisor hinted

that the recent change which produced a campaign two weeks shorter than heretofore also contributed to the poor civic showing.

Others mumbled about the poor weather in the morning and others complained that the candidates had not been exposed to public view enough.

The cure for the latter, some political pundits insisted, was adoption of district, rather than county-wide, elections for Metro Commission, and others were divided on whether partisan elections would create more interest due to the lopsided Democratic registration.

In the results may be found the answer to the anemic leadership which has plagued populous Dade County in the past and the key to its future.

WATER, WATER EVERYWHERE

In what may turn out to be one of the greatest twists of irony, the \$400 million project to protect a vast 18-county area of Florida from flooding may reverse its role and save the State of Florida from disastrous droughts.

With Lake Okeechobee reaching a record low during recent weeks, both **Gov. Claude Kirk** and **Robert L. Searle**, a member of the Central and Southern Florida Flood Control District, expressed alarm at the dramatic turn in events.

While conservationists and the news media have been shedding crocodile tears over the loss of wild life in the Everglades, the greater danger has been to the fresh water supply for an area three times the size of the State of Connecticut and from salt intrusion along the Gold Coast.

Unless the fountainhead of the St. John's River, located northeast of Lake Okeechobee, is tapped soon, the lake itself is in serious danger of drying up. The "dome" provides an unbelievable supply of water—most of which is lost in a rare northward flow up the St. John's. A tap could provide abundant water to the lake.

One of the FCD's shortcomings, despite its thousands of miles of canals, huge conservation areas and massive pumping operations, is that it is only half complete and does only a half-job. Once the network is finished, enormous reversible pumps will enable engineers to alter the course of flow anywhere in the system to meet local conditions in any area—more water where needed—draining when necessary.

A DIRECTORY

OF COMPETENT, TRUSTWORTHY, OUTSTANDING BUSINESS PEOPLE AND ENTERPRISES

HIGHLAND 8-9300

LeMan Studio, Inc.
267 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA
NORB GARIETY, PHOTOGRAPHER
WAKE UP AMERICA
STAMP OUT COMMUNISM

ARE YOU THINKING OF: Life Insurance - Health Insurance
Annuities - Group Insurance - Group Pensions?

For a Conservative, Constructive Appraisal of your Personal Needs,

CONSULT: **Gene Bass**

8451 S.W. 16 Terr., Miami, Fla. 33155
Tel: 221-5112

THE PRUDENTIAL
INSURANCE CO. OF AMERICA



Coral Park Apparel Shop
Dresses and Sportswear
Exclusive, but not Expensive

2300 RED ROAD
MIAMI, FLORIDA

MOHAWK 1-6513

**GABRIEL
COMMUNICATIONS CORP.**

1329 N. E. 4th Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33304

Tel. 524-8686



NATIONAL

OFFICE SUPPLY CO., INC.

Typewriter & Adding Machine Repairs
Wedding Invitations
Quality Printing * Rubber Stamps
Westchester Shopping Plaza — Miami, Fla.

Phone 223-1231

Terra Towers

HIGH-RISE CONDOMINIUMS

1515 N.E. 125 TERRACE, N. MIAMI, FLA.

PL 4-1551

Arthur's Hair Styles

Telephone: 665-2021
ARTHUR BLOOM

5746 Bird Road
South Miami, Florida 33155
The finest in professional beauty care

441 MOTEL

1001 S.W. 40th. Ave., Fort Lauderdale

Phone LUdlew 3-5712
H. W. Andersen, Owner-Manager
Apartments - Efficiencies - Hotel Rooms
Swimming Pool - Air Conditioning - Heated

COLONEL BILL FISHER
"THE OLD RELIABLE"

**HIALEAH
FLORIST**

Florida TRIMARANS

MARK ANDREWS

Box 9
CAPE CORAL, FLA. 33904
(813) 542-4530

WHAT CONSERVATIVES HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR . . .

Personalized Bumper Stickers

WRITE YOUR OWN COPY - WE PRINT IT!

\$1 Each. *BOB'S ART SERVICE*
1110½ N. Brand Blvd., Glendale, Calif. 91202 **6 FOR \$5**

WHY NOT?



PHONE IN YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

DIAL: 221-8981

FOR CONSERVATIVE, EXPERIENCED, INVESTMENT

BROKERAGE SERVICE

Call, write — **William S. Baren**

Hayden, Stone, Inc.

MEMBERS N.Y. STOCK EXCHANGE

295 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE, CORAL GABLES, FLA. 33134

TEL. 444-7651

From this moment onward, the honeymoon between RFK, the Communist Party, U.S.A. and its cohorts was unabashedly evident in most Leftist pronouncements and publications. This was the situation at the time the country's most radical Leftists gathered in Chicago, from August 27 to September 4, for what was called the "New Politics Convention," where Dr. Martin Luther King and Dr. Benjamin Spock were the "key-note speakers" and William F. Pepper, a blatant Castro-Communist, was the "National Coordinator."

When RFK endorsed LBJ's Presidential aspirations for 1968, the Communist and pro-Communist camp, feeling "betrayed," began looking around for a different and more "reliable" Presidential standard-bearer. Very soon they decided to rally around a usable pawn, Senator Eugene McCarthy. *The Worker*, which invariably orients extreme Leftist opinions and actions in the U.S., joyously proclaimed its switch to McCarthy in an April 7, 1968, front-page headline which read: "WISCONSIN SHOWS PEACE AVALANCHE OUSTED LBJ." A cursory reading of subsequent editions of the leading newspaper of the Communist Party, U.S.A. proves that McCarthy was, and is, "their boy." This may also well explain why Senator McCarthy's position on key issues, such as Vietnam, Communist Cuba, "the American presence in Asia," the "peace" talks in Paris, and even the *Pueblo* incident are virtually indistinguishable from the public statements made by the Communist Party, U.S.A. on these same matters. Even when Senator McCarthy stood up to say a few words "in memoriam" of Robert Kennedy, he did not miss the opportunity to use a Communist cliché by exclaiming: "The United States has visited violence on the world." McCarthy does not denounce Communist violence and atrocities wherever it is in power. Countless articles in *The Worker* attest its rather recent, vitriolic anti-Kennedy position and its frankly pro-McCarthy attitude.

Proving that Castro-Communist elements are fully identified with the McCarthy candidacy, *The Worker* wrote on January 7, "The Political Alliance For Peace and Human Rights, a confederation of 15 peace and civil rights organizations, is planning to support a primary campaign for Senator Eugene McCarthy ... David Frost, a chairman of the Alliance and a member of the National

Conference On New Politics, doesn't see any immediate difficulty in either effort." Yet, speaking about Kennedy, *The Worker*—on March 24—said: "While Kennedy's candidacy jolted the President and his supporters, its force was at least initially blunted by the **naked opportunism with which it was projected... It has cost him dear, particularly among the college youth on whom he counts so heavily.**"

In its February 4, 1968 edition, *The Worker* highlighted and front-paged a big rally held on January 29 at the Manhattan Center, in New York City. The leaders of this assembly included such notorious Reds as Dr. Benjamin Spock, William Sloane Coffin, Michael Ferber, Mitchell Goodman, Ossie Davis and Marcus Raskin. One of the most hysterical speakers was Arthur Kinoy who, with his partner William Kunstler, are considered the top legal brains of U.S. Communism.

Wrote *The Worker*:

"Attorney Kinoy spoke excitedly of government repression. 'The Boston 5 are not alone' he said, citing the upcoming hearings of the Subversive Activities Control Board against the DuBois Clubs,"

Referring to what Dr. Spock had to say at this rally, *The Worker* said that Spock "stated that the United States is one of the most scorned nations ever, second only to Hitler's Germany" and then, the 'Baby doctor' added, "Democrats should be working for Gene McCarthy." *The Worker* also revealed: "When the applause died down, Spock said that even though McCarthy was not perfect, he at least has the courage to stand up." Other speakers at the Red get-together were Jarvis Tyner, top leader of the DuBois Clubs and James Forman of SNCC, whose executive members spent three weeks in Communist Cuba several months ago, receiving orders and guidelines from Fidel Castro himself.

Indicating how the Manhattan Center rally crowd and its leaders felt about Senator Robert Kennedy, *The Worker* very pointedly emphasized, "The name of Sen. Robert Kennedy (Dem-N.Y.) was booed and hissed unanimously by the throng."

It should be more than significant, after reading this, that Sirhan Bishara Sirhan shot Robert F. Kennedy a few minutes after his victory over Senator Eugene McCarthy in California. Sirhan has been described as having the same ideology animating Dr. Spock, Yale

Chaplain Sloane Coffin, actor Ossie Davis (a founder of Castro's front organization, The Fair Play for Cuba Committee), Communism's attorney Kinoy, DuBois Club's leader Tyner, SNCC's boss Foreman and the rest of the gathering at Manhattan Center, which so "unanimously" booed and hissed the name of Senator Robert F. Kennedy, thus indicating the scorn and hatred they felt for the New York Senator, whom they considered a "turncoat."

Some of our wisest "Liberal" pundits using their monopolistic hold on national television told millions of Americans that RFK's victory in California and South Dakota signified a devastating defeat for "Clean Gene" McCarthy. Proof of the anguish this caused in McCarthy headquarters in California and elsewhere was evident in the sobs and cries of McCarthyites projected on the nation's TV screens. To McCarthy's Red followers, a Kennedy victory was a goading insult and a priceless loss for "the cause." That an emotional, pro-Marxist Arab should have decided to avenge this affront, is within the realm of sane logic.

Now, it remains to be seen if the Kennedy delegates and followers will join forces with the McCarthy element, which booed, hissed and so decidedly hated the assassinated Senator.

**WAS KING'S DEATH
"SENSELESS?"
WHO BACKS McCARTHY?
GET THE ANSWERS IN AN
ELECTRIFYING SPEECH
GIVEN BY:
DR. FERNANDO PENABAZ
TO THE RIVIERA REPUBLICAN
WOMEN'S CLUB
IT IS A MUST FOR CANDIDATES
AND FOR OTHER PERSONS
DEMANDING INSIGHT ON OUR
KEY ISSUES
SEND FOR YOUR TAPE NOW!
Price: \$5.00
Recorded at 3 1/2 ips**

Do you want this?

Jack Waddell

16830 S. DIXIE H'WAY
PERRINE, FLA. 33157 235-4624

• AUTO • FIRE • LIFE

Thank You!
FOR ALLOWING ME TO BE OF SERVICE TO YOU
PLEASE SEND ME OTHERS LIKE YOU.


P.O. Box 1228
So. Miami, Fla. 33143

THINKING OF BUILDING IN SOUTH FLORIDA?
BUY OR BUILD WITH

Phone (305) 661-1729
271-1334



FRITZ B. WAGOR
CONSTRUCTOR AND BUILDING CONSULTANT



CUSTOM BUILT ▲ QUALITY HOMES

ECONOMIC NEWS (Continuation)

work, and not in make-believe government-sponsored poverty program projects. If, on an average, it requires \$20,000 capital investment to create a real job, then one billion dollars less taxes will provide 50,000 jobs at good pay in private industry where real Americans have always found employment.

Do you, Congressmen, want to put 1,000,000 jobless Americans to work? Then cut your spending by \$20 billion, call a meeting of the best minds in American business and enlist their cooperation, stop making a whipping boy out of the taxpayer, and you've got it made. Besides, you will automatically reduce the cost of housing, and everything else, by reducing the interest rate on the money the government now pays out in interest from about 6% on short

term borrowing to around 4%, where it belongs. This will reduce interest rate costs to all business, encourage business expansion, and create confidence throughout the world in the United States dollar.

This simple formula will turn our nation toward progress and prosperity. It will create one of the most promising periods of material and spiritual growth that our young people now coming into adulthood have ever known. Stop trying

to solve the people's problems in Washington. Give the problems to the people. Let them solve them: And then go back to work doing what you can do best—providing a strong national defense. Mind your own business for a change!

We have only made poverty worse by government interference in areas which are none of the government's business. Look at the record. Why not try to save instead of spend? Then watch America go.

QUANTITIES OF "INSIGHT" ARE AVAILABLE AT THE FOLLOWING BULK RATES:

1 - 4 copies	\$.35 each	30 - 59 copies	\$.24 each
5 - 14 "	.30 "	60 - 124 "	.22 "
15 - 29 "	.27 "	125 - 1000 "	.20 "

Please enter my subscription to INSIGHT On The News:		INSIGHT On The News P.O. Box 591 CORAL GABLES, FLA. 33134 Phone: (305) 221-8981	BULK RATE U.S. POSTAGE 3.6¢ PAID Coral Gables, Fla. Permit No.1841
NAME _____			
ADDRESS _____			
CITY _____	STATE _____	ZIP CODE _____	
Payment enclosed	Bill me	\$6.00 yearly	\$4.00 6 mos.
Send gift subscription to:			
NAME _____			
ADDRESS _____			
CITY _____	STATE _____	ZIP CODE _____	
Payment enclosed	Bill me	\$6.00 yearly	\$4.00 6 mos.