

Appendix

A1

largely Knowing when I began this book that I would be limited in my documentation ~~with~~ to the documentation in what I had printed earlier, in some cases thirty-five years earlier and mostly to what was publicly available in ~~F~~ 1974-5, I started making copies as I went. ~~and~~ Then, having ~~no~~ more filing room in my office, I started laying ~~out~~ what I copied in a stack on my desks. Atop the seven ~~four~~ ^{four} drawer file cabinets facing my desk are six cardboard boxes from a nearby supermarket. There then were also four boxes on my desk plus what is sold as a "desk organizer" but did not meet that defined role on my overcrowded desk. Which for years I have not been able to use as desks are ordinarily used. I cannot keep my feet in the ^aperature for them and have not been able to for twenty-five ~~the~~ years, since the diagnosis of my first thrombotic ~~epiphany~~ ^{sis}. In fact, I cannot sit facing the desk ^{order to be} because, ^{I may not keep my legs} in able to type, I am at right-angles to my desk. But in order to be able to keep my feet elevated I had to have a pedestal typewriter table, which did not appear to ^{be} available commercially. So, I type with both legs ~~and~~ feet ~~be~~ horizontal with the floor, with the typewriter on a ^{small} table ^{top} that is attached to a two-inch steel pipe that in turn is attached to a base that is eleven by fourteen inches and which rolls easily on industrial casters.

down and

I read and correct what I have written when it is in a clipboard and I ~~read~~ ^{read} it ~~in~~ ^{same} that ~~one~~ sitting position when I read and correct, ~~some~~ times using this Hermes 3000 "Cadillac of portable ^{to rest it on} typewriters", when I have more than a ^{or insert} word or so to correct.

By the time I started writing those copies ~~were~~ ^{did not} lost. If I ~~can~~ remember ~~at~~ ^{she} where I had last seen them, ~~and~~ my search through ~~the~~ ^{she started} jungle of (paper) on top of ~~filled~~ file cabinets was fruitless.

Then, after I had finished what will have to be the text of this book, they suddenly ^{surfaced} ~~appeared~~ when I was ~~looking~~ ^{to} for something else.

sometimes
 In that initial copying I included more than the part that was ~~immediately~~ directly related to what Specter had written first to be sure that all that was relevant was included, ~~then~~ *and* so that the record for history, which is what this series of ~~two~~ *the* books that have no promise of publication *being printed* is intended to be, would ~~clearly~~ *germane* leave nothing out of what is quoted.

Some of them are pretty long but they all relate to what Specter, ~~with~~ with his bare face hanging out, calls his passion for truth. ~~ick~~ *ick*
 All of what is quoted does address that, some including others who (disgraced themselves, their profession and their Country with a lack of honesty that is in Specter's league. ~~Most~~ *Lots* of that also does involve Specter, too.

Sometimes

~~The~~ Post Mortem, from which most comes, is a large book, much larger than ~~for its~~ ~~the~~ ~~650~~ ~~pages~~ 650 pages. When the typing was reduced *in size* (by the camera that is the beginning of offset printing. There are more lines per page than in most book printing. This smaller size than the usual type that most books are set in plus less space between those lines *make* for many more words per page than most books have.

In addition, Post Mortem had an exceptionally large appendix. It is of two hundred and thirty-three pages, all of the reproduction of official evidence, documents and pictures both and almost all not previously published. There is also facsimile reproduction of both Commission text and the pictures I obtained from it and from the FBI.

Not only ~~was~~ *is* most of this appendix not previously ~~published~~ ~~publicly~~ known. Some of it, as the text reports, was carefully ~~misfiled~~ *hidden - so* that the most diligent search would not ~~disclose~~ *disclose* it.

was called and

Admiral Burkley, *who was* ~~which~~ *she has* means the President's physician, approved, what *Century* may have been the crimes of the ~~autopsy~~ *autopsy records in* pathologists. Burkley also "verified" the truth of what those incredible records state. *This* ~~which~~ means that Specter is not truthful in his claim to fame in his knowingly false

self-glorification, that he first presented Humes's explanation for his
 birning of part of ^{our} ~~his~~ precisous history and what almost certainly ~~was~~
 ended, ^{ended} ~~ended~~ officially, Specter's fabrication of his single-bullet ^{fantasy} (abso-
 lute
~~may~~ impossibility ^{that} without which there could not have been the "solution"
 to "the crime of th century" that the Warren Report is ^{claimed to be}

When the work of other Commission counsels got near or into Specter's
 love, his bastard of ^{his} the single-bullet ^{impossibility} invention, Specter was also into it.

Specter wanted to be certain that the ^{fabrication} ~~manufacture~~ was by his ^o ~~midel~~ as
 in all instances ^{a and details} it was.

^{about what it says about him:} Post Mortem appeared in 1975. That gave Specter twenty years in which
 to complain. Or, as I ^o ~~challenged~~ ^{repeatedly}, to sue me. But he was
 lawyer enough to know that if he sued he'd be clobbered, and that when it would
 get real attention. Besides which he'd ~~have~~ lost, as this book and its
 appendix appendix both ~~show~~ leave beyond any question.

It was ~~was~~ wise of him to suffer his hurt in silence.

His silence reduced the chance of his actual record, which is anything
 but a ^{of} search for the truth, whatever he meant by "passion," ^d helped reduce the
 very low possibility that the media, which ignored his actual record, ^{not} which
 began ~~not~~ later than when the Warren Report was published, would ~~not~~ get the
 attention it should have gotten.

If it had the Warren Report could not have survived it.

Which is ^a probably the reason neither ^{Wiles} Specter nor the other Specters, ~~some~~
^o ~~some~~ junior grade Specters, got the attention they ~~also~~ deserved.

If there had been a dictator to order the Sieg Heil [!] approach of the
 Commission, it could not have performed better than it did in sanctifying the
 government's failure to be honest, its official determination to be dis-
 honest, as is documented in the ^l ~~text~~, with that Katzenbach memorandum and what
 relates to ~~it~~.

So, whatever the government may have had in mind, whatever impelled the drafting of that Katzenbach memorandum, which ~~was~~ ^{begun} probably was not by Katzenbach, the effect, whether or not intended, was to ~~make~~ ^{impossible} the tracing and capture of the assassins. Which was never attempted in any event.

In the ~~land~~ ^{as that accepted mem state} of the free and the home of the brave!
But that Specter cannot take credit for.

^{To his} The credit, as we have seen, is ^{the} for foisting off on the nation the false official account of the assassination- which was a coup d'etat.

But that Specter did not boast of, ~~was~~ did not ~~display~~ his pride ⁱⁿ of.

These excerpts from The Commission's hearings are also samples of how ^{Specter} ~~he~~ did what he takes such pride in.

~~Unless otherwise indicated, all are from Post Mortem.~~

In addition to documenting what Specter is so proud of doing, his great accomplishment, many of these ~~except~~ ^{official records,} excerpts, ^{all are?} are exculpatory of Oswald-prove he was not the assassin - and that to ~~of~~ ^{off.} official knowledge.

Including Specter's.

o Specter had twenty five years ^{so complete} in the ~~see~~ - and he was silent.

All are from Post Mortem ~~excerpt~~ ^{except} the last, which is ~~from~~ Never Again.

The first is from the chapter, "Flatulent Finck and His Man-Court Spelling Bee", more detail than is ^{in the text} on Finck's confession that the autopsy was controlled ^{by the military} by a ~~the~~ navy admiral and that the control prohibited what is required in an autopsy.

Clay-I'd like these in facsimile but if you disagree, please add the page numbers. Probably it would be a good idea to eliminate the tabs as on this first one ^{but not if that takes much time}

was
Irving Dymond ~~is~~ of Clay Shaw's counsel.

Alvin ~~Q~~Oser was ^{a New Orleans} assistant district attorney, one of those
handling the Shaw prosecution,

5 follows

9 Δ follows

circled testimony

Specter also took Humes perjury about ^{only} having spoken to Dr. Perry ^{book} but once and that on Saturday. "Tok" ^{book} that testimony may underst^{ate} the actuality because Perry had ^{three} times ^{to} told the world that Kennedy was shot in the neck from the ~~the~~ front. That also meant that there had been a conspiracy, which the ~~the~~ government had decided it would not tell the people, ^{also} and it would have ruined Specter's special pride, the ^{single} pride of his own fabrication. Other sources report more than the two Humes calls to Perry. One is the book and the other is the testimony of the Navy radiologist who spent much time in the autopsy room. He swore to the HSCA medical panel that he was in the autopsy room when Humes phoned Perry from it the night of the assassination, before the autopsy examination was completed, at about 10:30.

bullet

single

10 follows

20.22
The Secret Service account of the film, X-ray and phot
photographis, to me by then assistant Director Tom
Kelley, refers to "the the Memorandum of "Transfer", which
was also disclosed to me and follows later.

Specter's associate, Melvin Eisenberg, also an assistant counsel, who knew very well that spectrographic analysis proved that no bullet had caused the slits in the President's shirt collar and tie, asked the FBI about neutron activation analysis. The last part of this quotation from his letter also says that he knew no bullet or part of any bullet had caused those damages.

This was proof that Specter's fabrication was, to his knowledge, an impossibility.

We have seen what did cause those damages, a scalpel in a nurse's hand and questioning them at the hearings was Specter's responsibility. As we also have seen, he was careful not to ask the questions he should have asked.

No innocence for any of them, not for Specter in particular. The same Specter who now claims he had that "passion" for truth.

No innocence for any of the Commission and its staff who saw the FBI report ordered by the new President, Lyndon Johnson, because This is because FBI Exhibit 60, part of that report, has a clear, very clear photograph of that shirt collar and a carefully staged picture of a faked knot of that tie, the part the Specter fabrication required that magical bullet to have gone through.

In faking this picture, the picture the sole purpose of which was to deceive, the FBI was also destroying evidence because the only evidence of that tie was its knot and the FBI undid the knot to pose its fake.

So, the Commission had this additional proof that Specter's single-bullet "solution" was impossible. But the

Commission, including its staff ~~and~~ ~~Specter~~ in particular of its staff, ignored this ~~FBI exhibit~~ and the unwelcome truth it bore. There is no mention of what proof the pictures that make up FBI exhibit 60 in what the counsels wrote for their Report.

However, I sought ⁱⁿ and obtained ~~with~~ the FBI exhibit of five picture it took and the ~~special~~ one of that shirt collar and the slits in it, clearly not bullet holes. It is much larger than the reduced size copy in FBI Exhibit 60

I got it ^{with} for once ~~with~~ ^{no} ~~little~~ difficulty at all. ~~But~~ But clearly no one on the Commission did that simple thing, ^{ask for it,} In those ten mission ^{work} pages of the twenty-six ^{volumes} pages of the Commission's appendix to its report, neither of these pictures was included.

The reason it was so unwelcome to the Commission, to ~~Specter~~ in particular, is that it alone is proof that what Specter made up and is so proud of, his single-bullet fabrication, was impossible.

It alone disproves the Commission's supposed "conclusions" and its entire ~~Report~~.

The Eisenberg memo ~~ref~~ indicates that he and the Commission did not know that the FBI had already had those NAA tests done. There is not a word of them in those twenty-six and that Report. And the D FBI response makes no mention of having done those NAA tests. But then when I refiled the cited lawsuit to obtain the NAA test results, the FBI ~~stonewall~~ ^{ed} as hard as I had known it to stonewall. But the Energy Research and Development (?) ~~ad~~ Administration, which I had included in the refiled suit, did deliver those test results and the accompanying photographs. When at the very end the FBI made a delivery ^{it} consisted of

xeroxes of a great length of adding-machine tape no two sheets
 of which were attached to each ~~another~~. Not one of those ~~sheet~~
 sheets bore any identification. No pictures were included, either.
 So much for the FBI's concern for ~~that~~ ^{the} country and the integrity
 of its institution. ^{S/ u} But I let them get away with this additional
 dirtiness and contempt for the laws it is supposed to support
 and protect.

The FBI was also ~~supposed~~ ^{to deliver} supposed ~~from~~ what it had withheld,
 its Lab's hairs and fibres examination of that shirt collar
 and tie. ^{Instead it} Rather gave me an entirely ~~different~~ different and irre-
 levant ^{report} picture. But I had what I needed so the truth would be
 known ^{more} so I wasted no time on making a stronger picture of the
~~the~~ FBI when its President was assassinated.

28 follows

One of my ^{le} challenges ^a to Specter to sue me if I was wrong in what I attributed to him. ^{follows.} This includes ignoring evidence of which he knew that was relevant and important and failing to ask witnesses who knew the proper ^{le} ~~various~~ ^{to} deliberate suppression.

The first of the witnesses in the next ⁺ selection from Post Mortem, ^{was} a nurse with ^{many} years of experience with gunshot ~~w~~ wounds, ^{she} gave testimony that was an additional destruction of that favorite fabrication of Specter's. What he did, instead of making a record of her observation, was to try to argue with her, to get her to say what he wanted her to say, not the truth as she knew it.

When he had as witnesses the two nurses who removed the President's clothing from him after he was pronounced dead,, Specter avoided any questions about the cutting-off of his garments, particularly ~~the~~ of the shirt and its collar.

Then there ~~are~~ details about the important evidence that seems not to exist any more, evidence in Specter's area of the work. I then asked a ^{question} ~~question~~ to which Specter made no response, why did Specter avoid all he deliberately avoided in what he was supposed to make part of the official record of the official evidence - why did he find it necessary to "avoid this in all of his ^Q ~~questioning~~ ^{questioning} of all the medical ~~witne~~ witnesses, including those who made the cuts" in the shirt and collar?

Then, back in 1975, there was ^{the} ~~anticipate~~ ⁱⁿ of Specter's title on his book:

"not, certainly, in pursuit of that baraggeed-of only client, 'truth'."

The ^{five} ~~four~~ pages that precede the following quotation from

the book ~~Post Mortem~~ recount the offer of a man born to great wealth *to pay for printing* ~~And also duplicate some of my work under the FOIA.~~ ~~if~~ he could edit and change it. He admitted to being on an anti-~~Ke~~ ~~neef~~ Kennedy kick. I rejected his offer and have not heard from his since. ¹ Those pages also refer to additional denials of access to the Kennedy-family materials ~~to me~~ while violating ¹ ~~the~~ that agreement ^{giving access} to those who did not meet the conditions of that agreement. ⁰ Then follows my account of one of my interviews with ~~Dr. Perry~~: Doctors Perry and Carrico. But still again, what I wrote was critical, very critical of the Aelen ~~Spec~~ ^a who remained silent about what was so critical of him.

These interviews produced first-person accounts. From Carrico ^{included} it ~~was~~ his ~~or~~ supervision of the nurses as they cut Kennedy's tie off at the knot and nicked the shirt collar, ^a what we have just seen ^{that Specter} he also did with those nurses when he questioned them ~~in~~ when they were Commission witnesses.

The ⁹ ~~questions~~ I asked of Perry and Carrico are ⁹ ~~questions~~ Specter should have asked them if he had pursued truth, but he did not ask them or others like them.

That failure ^p is another part of what Specter now refers to as his "Passion for Truth."

Mrs. Kennedy's testimony had been delayed and ^{then} kept secret.
Paul Hoch and I were trying to break it loose and we finally
succeeded. I was surprised at its brevity, as I was about the
Rankin unhidden attempt to make it mean what she did not
~~mean~~ mean.

45
The next selection of excerpts includes the end of my ~~expose~~ ^{Robert Kennedy} of a conference of Los Angeles officials who kept Sirhan Sirhan's lawyer out. In polite words they discussed how they would make the evidence inaccessible. In fact, some of that evidence was destroyed.

Sirhan was convicted of assassination of Robert Kennedy.

Ryan was an assistant United States attorney who handled the government's defense in ~~the~~ my lawsuit to obtain the results of all FBI ^{scientific} testing in the John Kennedy case.

The records referred to were provided by ERDA while the FBI continued its determined stonewalling. The results of the NAA testing of the paraffin ^Atets made by the Dallas police confirm that they proved ~~he~~ Oswald had not fired a rifle ^{that day.}

From this limited and unprofessional account of ~~the~~ ~~the~~ results of that NAA testing it is apparent that the FBI had to be determined to resist disclosure and ~~even~~ make a less than honest representation of them to the Commission.

They prove Oswald could not have been the assassin because he had not fired a rifle that day.

49B

Illustrative of the ignorance of the fact of the non-
investigation by those who consider themselves ^{critics} ~~erudites~~ and
whose criticisms come from profound ignorance of the
actual official evidence is their failure to wipe out false
claims in defense of the governments failures and untruths.

For example, blaming the Kennedy family for what the
government actually ordered the autopsy pathologists not to
do when it is required for a full autopsy, what we have
already seen in Finck's New Orleans testimony he swore
they were ordered not to do by the Navy.

But the truth is that before the autopsy began Robert
Kennedy signed the authorization for it.

The Kennedy authorization is for a full autopsy. Nothing
not done or withheld.

The copy disclosed to me was unclear and it is also un-
clear on publication ^{but} ~~but~~ what is relevant can be made out. ~~It~~
It is above the large blank space that is above Robert Kennedy's
signature. Above that blank space is language that ^{calls} ~~vea;~~ ~~is~~
for ~~many~~ exemptions from a ^{to be stated} full autopsy. ^{that} In ~~that~~ space Robert
Kennedy entered nothing ^{at all} ~~at all~~ - not a single ^o ~~word~~ ^{He and the} ~~word~~ ~~ph,~~ ~~je~~ a
and ~~by~~ ^{family} ~~the~~ ~~government~~ did none of the things attributed to them
by ^{the} ~~the~~ government, by ~~Speyer~~ Specter and by defenders of ~~the~~
the official assassination mythology

As we have seen, Specter boasted of being the first to ^{out} get the truth about what ^{Humes} ~~James~~ did to the autopsy report. Specter also ~~previously~~ claimed that there had been no changes in the original autopsy. He knew all he ~~also~~ claimed was not true. He ^{ew} knew more than any other that all this was false and deliberately false to ~~protect~~ protect his many fabrications. Most of all his single-^{bullet} ~~bullet~~ fantasy and the government's preconception that there had been no conspiracy, the basis of the Warren Report.

As we have seen, when ^{e/} Humes and Specter said that what Humes destroyed was his autopsy notes they both knew this was a very significant lie. We have seen the string of receipts I published in 1974. Those receipts cover all those who had those notes from the time Specter burned the original ~~photograph of the original autopsy report~~ in the fireplace in his rec room (as soon as he knew Oswald was dead). It was well after the time of his burning that Humes turned his notes and his revised ^{d/} autopsy report, what Specter now ~~denies~~ says does not ^{exist} exist. We have seen a string of receipts, ^{for} as what Specter now says ~~we~~ never existed, as they passed from hand ^{to} hand.

I ^{held} ~~sent~~ the original of this ^{substituted} ~~substituted~~ autopsy report in my ^{hand} ~~hand~~ at the National Archives. I made a ^{copy} ~~photographic~~ to preserve the little ^{things} ~~things~~, like the fact that Humes wrote it on a tablet ~~that~~ that had thin blue lines that would not ~~at~~ show on offset photographing. The xerox, what I reproduced under Post Mortem, is the Archives' copy of the original for me.

This substitute autopsy protocol alone makes Specter a

liar who lied to ~~proceed~~ protect the actual assassins, to hide the fact that there had been a ~~con~~ conspiracy to assassinate the President, to keep from the people ^o the proven facts that prove the ~~an~~ assassination was a coup d'etat, to change the ~~leadership~~ and the policy of the government.

One of Humes' changes of the many of them in his substitute protocol after he burned the original one is on ~~page~~ ^{page} 7 of his substitute.

In ~~is~~ its original language in his substitute ~~pro~~ protocol, under "(d)", in Specter's location of the head wound, ~~the~~ what he actually wrote can be read through his lining it through. What he wrote before he got back to the office of ~~the~~ ^{the} admiral ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ charge is "a puncture wound tangential to the surface of scalp." But as he testified, and that testimony was ~~to Specter~~ ^{as}, so ~~Spee~~ ^{was} Specter was well aware ^{of it}, he ordered, which Specter also knew, to make the ~~many~~ changes he made. Humes had a distinctive ^{ive} handwriting. In his ~~dis~~ ⁺ ~~inc~~ ¹⁰² ~~l~~ ⁺ hand he eliminated all ~~used~~ ^{quoted} above and replaced those ~~mean~~ meaningful words with the single word that does not include any of those eliminated words that are meaningful. That one word is "lacerated." *The difference is enormous.*

This and much ~~more~~, very much more like it, are part of the proof ^{of} ~~by~~ ~~the~~ Specter's knowledge, when he proclaimed that there were no changes in the original autopsy ~~Spee~~ Specter knew what he said was a lie and a very significant lie ^o

later

A year and a half ^{later} what was called the Memorandum of Transfer from Admiral ^a Burkley was delivered to Evelyn Lincoln. That was on ^{April} April 26, 1965. Lincoln was then in the National Archives, of which ⁱ she was ~~Not~~ an employee. She was there in connection with the Kennedy Library, which, as all Presidential libraries are, ^{is} part of the National Archives. This list includes a number of items some of the critics on both sides say are missing or altered.

There is no proof that what is alleged to be missing is really missing. They are items the Kennedys wanted not to get out and be used in improper commercializations, as happened when copies of the autopsy photographs were ~~stolen~~ and sold to a supermarket tabloid.

There are ninety-six more pages I had copied as I read Specter's book but I believe they now are not necessary for a proper examination of the book that is, really, as we have seen in more than adequate detail, that is very far from all that is official and available and that, from the effoeez official records, is really Specter versus Specter.

As we also have seen, again ~~and~~, very much less than is available, what we have seen of ~~the~~ ^eSpwcter's "passion," it is not-it cannot possible have been as he claims in his book and on its cover, "for truth~~ful~~,"

It is not unreasonable to wonder if Specter gives a damn for what is true.

For ^bwhat is true about what the Warren ^{Commission} ~~Comm~~ ~~Comm~~ did and did not do and report to the nation about the assassination.

For what the people have been told, especially when ~~the~~ ^{Specker} was the one doing the telling.

For ~~the~~ ^{suppressing} why what was hidden and kept secret ~~is~~ was hidden and kept secret in ^{what} ~~ways~~ brags about being an open government in ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ an open society.

For telling the ^{people} ~~people~~ that Oswald was a lone assassin when all the actual official ~~proof~~ is that he was not an asszssin and that the assassination was the end product of a conspiracy

For not ~~letting~~ it ~~be~~ known that there was an official decision not to investigate the crime itself and to declare that Oswald did what he could not have done, from the actual official evidence

And why Specter made up a phony and an impossible frae-^{m u}ip

of Oswald that was essential if his decision not to investigate the crime itself and to attribute it to Oswald alone ^{is} that hopefully unique horror in any free society ~~is~~ was to succeed.

Why those who saw that Katzenbach memo did not tell anyone. ~~especially~~ especially the people of the country that they had had a coup d'etat - really ~~that~~ that they were protecting that ~~coup d'etat~~ ^{coup} d'etat, only they can say. None has. And several, here Specter, ^{wrote} had books in which they could have been truthful.

If they cared more ~~for~~ for the ^{country} country and its system of self government.

The case of Specter versus Specter, which, unintendedly, this book ~~is~~, is as ugly as anything in our history ^{let us hope it} and it is to be unique, ^{as I hope it is.}

It is an anti-American book because it defends-tries to hide- what was a coup d'etat and because they, in this book Specter, hide that fact from the people and never told them the truth about ~~any~~ anything at all.

Unless it was the spelling of names.

If I, first ^v an aging and then an old man, could and did ~~do~~ what these excerpts ^{and partly handwritten} ~~as excerpts of~~ what I learned and then to the degree ~~of~~ possible for me took all I could of ^{member} what I learned to the ~~pe~~ people, it is obvious than any member of the Warren Commission staff could have done ever so much more with the knowledge from the inside that ^{they} ~~they~~ alone had access to,

^{publisher} even becoming a publisher to do that when faced with a monolithic boycott

my what they could have done for the ~~reputation~~ and for their own integrity - if they ^{cares} cared more for the country and less

for what they would have suffered if they had been real rather than pretended patriots.

Keeping silent when it was time for all men who cared to speak out was anti-American.

But giving an entirely false account of it for his own and undeclared purposes, which is what Specter did, is much much worse.

What can his purpose have been ^{on} when this book is enough to ~~ruin~~ ruin him if opposed by anyone who can learn the truth, ^{as can} ~~so can~~ be done easily by a ~~simple~~ ^{available} check of the records. ^{So} ~~So~~ it does not seem to be a book written for his own ^c political purposes.

A friend who discussed this with me believes what seem to be a reasonable explanation: ^{wrote} Specter ~~wrote~~ this book in a campaign to be appointed to the Supreme Court.

Where he would be together with the entirely unsuited justice who owes that seat to ~~Specter~~, Specter, Clarence Thomas.

Hardly the man to replace Thurgood Marshall.

Whatever may have been his purpose, the Specter who wrote this, one of the most deliberately dishonest of books, that this book disqualifies him from any office because any office requires trust, ^{dependability} and Specter v. Sp Specter leaves no question at all about it, Specter cannot be trusted at all.