from which all of this is totally suppressed!

No innocence here, either.

None anywhere. From the very first moment the AEC knew the score. In Seaborg's response to the letter written for Warren on January 2, he actually said that the NAA results would be "transmitted to Mr. Rankin by the Federal Bureau of Investigation." (The stamped late is illegible. If so many of these documents were not too unclear, more would be reproduced in facsimile. One of the predominating characteristics of the available copies of these precious files is their lilegibility. They are often made from very poor carbon copies or have been repeatedly xeroxed to make them indistinct.)

Nobedy - nobody at all - opposed Hoover. Not the commissioners, not their staff, not the head of the AEC, not anyone who worked on any test or knew any of the results, not even an uncowardly clerk. He had the "results" but gave nothing to the Commission. In all the rears since there has been not one peep. No leak in a government virtually run by leaks and a press cultivating them.

Had any one member of the Commission staff, any of these lawgers well into outstanding careers, former prosecutors and judges-tobe, done his job these secrets would not have remained for a writer
and unpaid lawyer to seek through courts that also want suppression.
Fudge John A. Danaher had said it all in the first suit, that the law
for "freedom of information" as he saw it "forfends against appelsant's proposed further inquiry into the assessination of President
Gennedy." Unawere of or not cering about the incongruity, he concluded in capital letters, "REQUIESCAT IN PACE." (Decision No.71-1026)

It appears that all those who failed deliberately do rest in seace. It also appears that the ghost of Hoover has come back in celley's body because Kelley has and suppresses to the degree he can all this proof so entirely opposite the FBI's and all official accounts of the assessination of President Kennedy and its turning the borld around.

"Highly dangerous" to the FBI, its total ruin is what the FBI wore in the first suit would be the result of letting me have the results and final reports of these scientific tests. Other than filliams and the government's lawyers intended, this is true. Or hould be.

As this danger relates to these test papers, those I obtained, hose missing or not performed and those still suppressed, the undercoring added to Aebersold's letter states the hazards: from the AA's "tremendous sensitivity;" its capability of proving "identical omposition;" and the reemphasized importance of "trace-element measurements." The indispensability of measurement of those elements resent in most minute proportion is also underscored by all the scintific literature.

We have seen that in the measurements of the lead alloy that ere made - not in a single instance including all the chemical elements - rather than yielding "identical" measurements, the NAAs show ide variations between samples of evidence that must have common or identical" origin for there to have been any basis for the predetermined conclusion of no conspiracy and Oswald as the lone assassing

This was, as the quoted scientific and forensic literature lso indicate, perhaps more important for the jacket material. The est papers refer to it as copper. It actually is a copper alloy.

Yet in no single sheet provided by the FBI is there any measrement or the composition of the copper alloy in Q1, Q2 or Q3, decribed in the initial FBI leb records as "a portion of the base
ection of a copper alloy rifle bullet ... from which the lead core
s missing."\* (CD5:163) Q2 and Q3 were found the day after the asassination in the front seat of the President's car. Between them
hey weigh 65.6 grains (CD5:163) or if from one bullet were more than

\$40 percent of it.

Exhibit 48

This same initial report says "it could not be determined whether specimens Q2 and Q3 are portions of the same bullet or are portions of two separate bullets."

By means of neutron activation analysis, this determination is possible.

In solving the crime it was essential. If there was more than one bullet from which both these two fragments and the three of lead only identified as Q14 from the rear-seat floor and Q4 and Q5, lead fragments from JFK's head (those called "a missile" by the FBI) came, then on this basis alone there was a conspiracy and still unsolved is the assassination of the President. All these fragments plus the Q15 sweepings about which there was the perjury have to have come from the one bullet that exploded in the President's head in all official versions of the killing.

There is a long discussion of this in the last two chapters of WHITEWASH. The situation is identical with Bullet 399, which has to have caused all seven nonfatal injuries. The FBI and the Commission were aware of this. In one form it came out when Dr. Robert Shaw testified that there was no proof of what hit Connally "and we still do not know which bullet actually inflicted the [sic] wound on Governor Connally." When Dulles asked, "Or two bullets" as the cause of Connally's wounds only, Shaw's response was, "Yes; or three." (4H109)

With this the actuality, the indispensability of the evidence, and with the FBI's swearing that there had been no NAA on the wind-shield when in fact it and ERDA both supplied proof that there had been, how account for there being this omission in what the FBI supplied?

In all that ERDA supplied on the NAAs, this essential information on Q3 is entirely missing. It is a glaring omission in Kelley's April 10 letter. Does anyone believe that either the head of the FBI or the AEC's experts about whom Aebersold boasted were unaware?

No official account permits anything other than Q2 and Q3 to have caused the damage to the windshield. Both were perts of the jacket - again copper alloy. And now there is no Q15 testing claimed under eath? It is omitted in what the head of the FBI represents in response to a lawsuit as all of this testing?

Even all this is an understatement because in one of those few pages of supposed spectrographic results Q2 and Q3 were both listed under the symbol for copper. There was no numerical representation of whatever that test may have shown. There are symbols, plus signs. They are not the same for Q2 and Q3.

JA #	3	4)	<u>//</u> 0		40	م سعد	مرا	( Os.	. K	رمد وب ه	١
<u>F</u>	SI	as	Sm	PL	Ri	an Ma	2	Su	F.	a	<u>.</u>
2-188 Coffee frels									七	<u></u>	ly
21			4			++	1:	4	_)'_	1	٢
١٠ ١٠			5			<del>11</del> 7.		15			1
23 "		1.	1-	<u>;</u> '		++		14	V	1	(
accompany.	$\pm$		15		1	*		4	تر لجد	15	1,

This table dated December 12, 1963, is the only reference to copper in Q1, Q2 and Q3 in what the FBI swore are the full spectographic analyses. Hoover's November 23 report to the Dallas

See p. 604.