Exhibil39

ire of public offi-

nis panel in complete on suppressed all the the law, was not to inspiring an occasion:

... Self-governablic ... this irmetive cbligapublication and a transcendent 1 ...

L political gingerplus the official enment Printing Office prandum on the Public as Act.

ise" is a bad excuse, 1 thinks serves his 2eforth are illegal. Excuses for denying

coper one. Each has for suppression. Alfiles it defines as ". When, in one of official suppresbeing enforced, there aw" and the rubber-

iginetive a Department le to conjure up inced? Who need fear s? Or, as also hapilable to swear to swear at all were

il. John Sirica, leral District Court zion 2301-70, is for ted ballistics eviurther in its proper ; wanted it, never ; of this book.]

to them - there was government would be hort of spending o advertise them.

tional defense" is e assassination of

s, the law does have (Civil Action No. , I did establish final chapter of s of administrative ting. I began while

the National Archives r national heritage scholarly purposes was trusting - and foolish - enough to say so on coast-to-coast TV.

Following earlier verbal efforts, on May 23, 1966, when only HITEWASH and a little-known other book (Sylven Fox's <u>Unanswered Ques-</u> tions) had been published, I began a systematic written effort to gain access to all the "autopsy or medical papers of any kind or description." The file of subsequent correspondence is thicker by far than the manuscript of a large book.

And this is exclusive of court papers. In one suit, Civil Action No. 2569-70, the lower-court papers slone also are much longer than slong book.

Even letters do make a kind of record for history, as some officials came to realize. Court records can and do document, and in this case it is of government falsification of the most incredible kind.

At first I believed the policy of the National Archives was to be helpful, genuinely helpful, and that what its staff could not provide just did not exist. It was a short honeymoon.

There is no longer room for doubt about the intended dishonesty of the Commission's Report as well as of its record. The Report is internally inconsistent. It is in violent disagreement with the testimony upon which it is based. That testimony also contradicts itself, is it does its alleged documentary substantiation. And the most vital documentation, as I soon learned, was missing.

This may seem strong talk to the reader, even at this point, so I refresh his mind on the glaring and irreconcilable conflicts that hit mediately, as set forth in considerable detail in "The Doctors and The Autopsy", the last chapter of WHITEWASH:

The Report promises to pinpoint and describe the fatal headwound st various places but at no point does.

The Report says that all the doctors swore that its alleged single-bullet basis is possible, but in fact, all swore it was <u>impossi-</u> ble - every single doctor questioned did. Caged, ambitious Arlen Specter, about to make a new career for himself by abandoning Americans for Democratic Action and the Democrats to run (successfully) for Philedelphia District Attorney as a Republican, after adducing this monolithic refutation of his contrived "solution", pulled a pair of quick switches;

- a) he asked each doctor to ignore the reality "not this bullet, any bullet" - and then asked no more than could one bullet wound two men;
- b) he substituted this hypothesis and the meaningless testimony about it for the reality and then had the Report quote all the doctors as agreeing to his theory which all denied and refuted.

The chief prosector, Doctor Humes, swore in identifying the papers constituting Exhibit 397 that it included two pages of his own notes, some made during the examination of the President's body in the <u>autopsy room itself</u>, and they are not in that exhibit. In its printed version or any of the numerous others, each, later, with some care and effort, receptured from the official oblivion so Orwellian in character. Here (2H272-3) are the exact words:

Mr. Specter. Now, Doctor Humes, I hand you a group of documents which have been marked as Commission Exhibit No. 397 and ask you if you can identify what they are?

Commander Humes. Yes, sir; these are various notes in longhand, or copies rather, of various notes in long-hand made by myself, in part, during the performance of the examination of the late President, and in part after the examination when I was preparing to have a typewritten report made.

Mr. Specter. May the record show that the Exhibit No. 397 is the identical document which has been previously identified as Commission No. 371 for our internal purposes.

There was no legal authorization for the autopsy, the initial