

Exhibit 7
and James Siebert (sic) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, missing the autopsy performed by members of the US Navy Medical Corps, and the embalming services done by the staff of Joseph Gaws, Funeral Directors, Washington, D. C."

The internal evidence of their reports shows the FBI agents present through the autopsy examination and after its completion, when Kellerman, on receiving the X-rays and photographs, said they would be available to the FBI. The written report also refers to the agents' observations "during the latter stages of the autopsy." In any event, their assignment seems to have been Kellerman, the President's corpse, and they stayed with him.

The fifth page of the joint report of these FBI agents, dated several days later, after discussing the revelations of the "latter stages of the autopsy", is so specific that there can be no interpretation of the retelling of idle gossip. It says that after the view of the finding of a whole bullet and after receiving and examining a piece of the President's skull, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's back and had worked its way out of the body during external cardiac massage and that a second high velocity bullet had entered the rear of the skull and had fragmented prior to exit through the top of the skull ...". No mention of the wound in the front of the neck and during "the latter stages" of the autopsy.

Had there been a comma after "second" in this passage, making it read, "a second, high-velocity bullet", its meaning might be clearer.

Dr. Humes, in his testimony, acknowledges he knew that a tracheotomy had been performed in Dallas by saying his phone call there were two, not one) to Dr. Perry was "redundant". The FBI agents say he knew this the night of the autopsy examination, not the next morning, after phoning Dr. Perry, which is what one gathers from his testimony. The third page of their report, after the agents mention their own participation in the moving of the President's body, says it "was removed from the casket in which it had been transported and was placed on the autopsy table, at which time the complete body was wrapped in a sheet and the head area contained an additional wrapping which was saturated with blood. Following the removal of the wrapping, it was ascertained that the President's clothing had been removed and it was also apparent that a tracheotomy had been performed ...".

This, of course, is knowledge the agents could not have imputed. Had they, it was the function of the Commission to correct the record, not to leave in its files documents that directly accuse the autopsy doctors of perjury. If it was apparent when the body was first unwrapped that "a tracheotomy had been performed", it was not merely "redundant" to wait until the next day to phone Dr. Perry in Dallas about it, and twice, not the once of the testimony.

This is dealt with at length in WHITEWASH (pp.180-1). Here Dr. Humes's testimony (2H361-2), that he "had the impression" that a tracheotomy had been performed, is quoted. He said that it was to ascertain that point, I called on the phone Dr. Malcolm Perry ...". Dr. Humes was asked, "Did you tell Dr. Perry anything about your observations and conclusions?" His reply was, "No, sir; I did not", immediately after which the transcript shows "(a short message was taken)" (2H371).

Again from WHITEWASH, the contrary testimony of Dr. Kemp is given: "Dr. Perry stated that he had talked to Bethesda Naval Hospital on two occasions that morning and that he knew what the autopsy findings had shown and he did not wish to be questioned in the press ...".

It was in connection with the Chief Justice, behind whose judicial robes and reputation Specter was hiding, that he made reference to "perjury" when previously quoted. The transcript of the examinations he conducted should have resolved the real question of perjury that it does not address. As Specter left the record, the inference is unmistakable, with the additional inference of conspiracy. Possibly the quotations cited above might have been susceptible of innocent resolution. That a competent lawyer would leave them unresolved does not seem innocent.

The FBI and the Secret Service are not innocent, either. These agents knew their business. When they do not do as their training and knowledge dictate, suspicions arise and questions must be asked. These FBI reports are models of incompleteness in other respects, where questions that should have been raised and answered are not, where times are not given, identifications not made, names omitted, generalities unnecessarily substituted for specific information, and items of apparent significance treated with casual indifference.

One of the latter relates to the bullet allegedly found on Gov. John B. Connally's stretcher. This bullet is the key to the fiction formalized into fact in the Report. According to the report of Siebert and O'Neill on their interrogation of Special Agent in Charge of the White House Detail Gerald A. Behn, it turned up in this haphazard fashion: Behn "stated that on learning of such a bullet being found at the Dallas Hospital he inquired of a group of his Agents who had returned from the Dallas trip (!) on the night of November 22, 1963, and Secret Service Agent Richard Johnson produced this bullet which had been handed to him by someone at the hospital who had stated that it was not known whether or not the President had been placed on the stretcher where the bullet was found."

This is the allegedly magic bullet that must have remained almost perfect after inflicting seven non-fatal injuries on the President and the Governor, smashing bones and leaving fragments through three parts of the Governor's body, the incredible bullet of Specter's "single-bullet theory" (WHITEWASH 156-7,160-3,165-6,171-4,176,185,189,193-5). This is the bullet the Report does not trace back from the autopsy examination, as conformity with the law requires. Is this one reason why?

That the Commission did not include these reports of the FBI agents in its Report is understandable. That the questions remain unanswered so long after WHITEWASH raised the first of them, accompanied by letters to the proper officials asking additional questions, is not understandable if the government has nothing to hide.

Of the autopsy two things now may, above all others, be said with fairness and responsibility: It was a disgrace, and nothing that can now be done with or about it is worthy of the slightest credence.

Everything about the autopsy is, at the very best, questionable. The flippant indifference to the minimum requirements indeed would not be visited upon a poor unfortunate from skid row by an apprentice coroner. Comparisons of the President's autopsy with that of his alleged murderer and the policeman Tippit reveal the imprecision which cannot be regarded as accidental. The purging of the archive is beyond explanation. To it can be attributed only the most sinister motives. That file exists in duplicate and the same documents are missing from both files. Brazenly - not by accident, not by sloppiness, but only by intent - the official exhibit was censored and the quintessential notes suppressed from

..., and James Siebert (sic) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, witnessing the autopsy performed by members of the US Navy Medical Corps, and the embalming services done by the staff of Joseph Gawlers, Funeral Directors, Washington, D. C."

The internal evidence of their reports shows the FBI agents were present through the autopsy examination and after its completion, when Kellerman, on receiving the X-rays and photographs, said they would be available to the FBI. The written report also refers to the agents' observations "during the latter stages of the autopsy". In any event, their assignment seems to have been Kellerman, not the President's corpse, and they stayed with him.

The fifth page of the joint report of these FBI agents, dated three days later, after discussing the revelations of the "latter stages of the autopsy", is so specific that there can be no interpretation of the retelling of idle gossip. It says that after he knew of the finding of a whole bullet and after receiving and examining a piece of the President's skull, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's back and had worked its way out of the body during external cardiac massage and that a second high velocity bullet had entered the rear of the skull and had fragmented prior to exit through the top of the skull ...". No mention of the wound in the front of the neck when during "the latter stages" of the autopsy.

Had there been a comma after "second" in this passage, making it read, "a second, high-velocity bullet", its meaning might be clearer.

Dr. Humes, in his testimony, acknowledges he knew that a tracheotomy had been performed in Dallas by saying his phone call (where there were two, not one) to Dr. Perry was "redundant". The FBI agents say he knew this the night of the autopsy examination, not the next morning, after phoning Dr. Perry, which is what one gathers from his testimony. The third page of their report, after the agents mention their own participation in the moving of the President's body, says it "was removed from the casket in which it had been transported and was placed on the autopsy table, at which time the complete body was wrapped in a sheet and the head area contained an additional wrapping which was saturated with blood. Following the removal of the wrapping, it was ascertained that the President's clothing had been removed and it was also apparent that tracheotomy had been performed ...".

This, of course, is knowledge the agents could not have intended. Had they, it was the function of the Commission to correct the record, not to leave in its files documents that directly accuse the autopsy doctors of perjury. If it was apparent when the body was first unwrapped that "a tracheotomy had been performed", it was not merely "redundant" to wait until the next day to phone Dr. Perry in Dallas about it, and twice, not the once of the testimony.

This is dealt with at length in WHITEWASH (pp.180-1). Here Dr. Humes's testimony (2H361-2), that he "had the impression" that tracheotomy had been performed, is quoted. He said that it was to ascertain that point, I called on the phone Dr. Malcolm Perry ...". Dr. Humes was asked, "Did you tell Dr. Perry anything about your observations and conclusions?" His reply was, "No, sir; I did not", immediately after which the transcript shows "(a short message was taken)" (2H371).

Again from WHITEWASH, the contrary testimony of Dr. Kemp is recorded: "Dr. Perry stated that he had talked to Bethesda Naval Hospital on two occasions that morning and that he knew what the autopsy findings had shown and he did not wish to be questioned the press ...".

Exhibit 7
It was in connection with the Chief Justice, behind whose judicial robes and reputation Specter was hiding, that he made reference to "perjury" when previously quoted. The transcript of the examinations he conducted should have resolved the real question of perjury that it does not address. As Specter left the record, the inference is unmistakable, with the additional inference of conspiracy. Possibly the quotations cited above might have been susceptible of innocent resolution. That a competent lawyer would leave them unresolved does not seem innocent.

The FBI and the Secret Service are not innocent, either. These agents knew their business. When they do not do as their training and knowledge dictate, suspicions arise and questions must be asked. These FBI reports are models of incompleteness in other respects, where questions that should have been raised and answered are not, where times are not given, identifications not made, names omitted, generalities unnecessarily substituted for specific information, and items of apparent significance treated with casual indifference.

One of the latter relates to the bullet allegedly found on Gov. John B. Connally's stretcher. This bullet is the key to the fiction formalized into fact in the Report. According to the report of Siebert and O'Neill on their interrogation of Special Agent in Charge of the White House Detail Gerald A. Behn, it turned up in this haphazard fashion: Behn "stated that on learning of such a bullet being found at the Dallas Hospital he inquired of a group of his Agents who had returned from the Dallas trip (!) on the night of November 22, 1963, and Secret Service Agent Richard Johnson produced this bullet which had been handed to him by someone at the hospital who had stated that it was not known whether or not the President had been placed on the stretcher where the bullet was found."

This is the allegedly magic bullet that must have remained almost perfect after inflicting seven non-fatal injuries on the President and the Governor, smashing bones and leaving fragments through three parts of the Governor's body, the incredible bullet of Specter's "single-bullet theory" (WHITEWASH 156-7,160-3,165-6,171-4,176,185,189,193-5). This is the bullet the Report does not trace back from the autopsy examination, as conformity with the law requires. Is this one reason why?

That the Commission did not include these reports of the FBI agents in its Report is understandable. That the questions remain unanswered so long after WHITEWASH raised the first of them, accompanied by letters to the proper officials asking additional questions, is not understandable if the government has nothing to hide.

Of the autopsy two things now may, above all others, be said with fairness and responsibility: It was a disgrace, and nothing that can now be done with or about it is worthy of the slightest credence.

Everything about the autopsy is, at the very best, questionable. The flippant indifference to the minimum requirements indeed would not be visited upon a poor unfortunate from skid row by any apprentice coroner. Comparisons of the President's autopsy with that of his alleged murderer and the policeman Tippit reveal the imprecision which cannot be regarded as accidental. The purging of the archive is beyond explanation. To it can be attributed only the most sinister motives. That file exists in duplicate and the same documents are missing from both files. Brazenly - not by accident, not by sloppiness, but only by intent - the official exhibit was censored and the quintessential notes suppressed from

Exhibit 9

., and James Siebert (sic) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, witnessing the autopsy performed by members of the US Navy Medical Corps, and the embalming services done by the staff of Joseph Gawrns, Funeral Directors, Washington, D. C."

The internal evidence of their reports shows the FBI agents were present through the autopsy examination and after its completion, when Kellerman, on receiving the X-rays and photographs, said they would be available to the FBI. The written report also refers to the agents' observations "during the latter stages of the autopsy". In any event, their assignment seems to have been Kellerman, at the President's corpse, and they stayed with him.

The fifth page of the joint report of these FBI agents, dated three days later, after discussing the revelations of the "latter stages of the autopsy", is so specific that there can be no interpretation of the retailing of idle gossip. It says that after he knew of the finding of a whole bullet and after receiving and examining a piece of the President's skull, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's back and had worked its way out of the body during external cardiac massage and that a second high velocity bullet had entered the rear of the skull and had fragmented prior to exit through the top of the skull ...". No mention of the wound in the front of the neck during "the latter stages" of the autopsy.

Had there been a comma after "second" in this passage, making it read, "a second, high-velocity bullet", its meaning might be clearer.

Dr. Humes, in his testimony, acknowledges he knew that a tracheotomy had been performed in Dallas by saying his phone call (where there were two, not one) to Dr. Perry was "redundant". The FBI agents say he knew this the night of the autopsy examination, not the next morning, after phoning Dr. Perry, which is what one gathers from his testimony. The third page of their report, after the agents mention their own participation in the moving of the President's body, says it "was removed from the casket in which it had been transported and was placed on the autopsy table, at which time the complete body was wrapped in a sheet and the head area contained an additional wrapping which was saturated with blood. Following the removal of the wrapping, it was ascertained that the President's clothing had been removed and it was also apparent that tracheotomy had been performed ...".

This, of course, is knowledge the agents could not have intended. Had they, it was the function of the Commission to correct the record, not to leave in its files documents that directly accuse the autopsy doctors of perjury. If it was apparent when the body was first unwrapped that "a tracheotomy had been performed", it was not merely "redundant" to wait until the next day to phone Dr. Perry in Dallas about it, and twice, not the once of the testimony.

This is dealt with at length in WHITEWASH (pp.180-1). Here Dr. Humes's testimony (2H361-2), that he "had the impression" that tracheotomy had been performed, is quoted. He said that it was to ascertain that point, I called on the phone Dr. Malcolm Perry ... Dr. Humes was asked, "Did you tell Dr. Perry anything about your observations and conclusions?" His reply was, "No, sir; I did not", immediately after which the transcript shows "(a short message was taken)" (2H371).

Again from WHITEWASH, the contrary testimony of Dr. Kemp is: "Dr. Perry stated that he had talked to Bethesda Naval Hospital on two occasions that morning and that he knew what the autopsy findings had shown and he did not wish to be questioned the press ...".

It was in connection with the Chief Justice, behind whose judicial robes and reputation Specter was hiding, that he made reference to "perjury" when previously quoted. The transcript of the examinations he conducted should have resolved the real question of perjury that it does not address. As Specter left the record, the inference is unmistakable, with the additional inference of conspiracy. Possibly the quotations cited above might have been susceptible of innocent resolution. That a competent lawyer would leave them unresolved does not seem innocent.

The FBI and the Secret Service are not innocent, either. These agents knew their business. When they do not do as their training and knowledge dictate, suspicions arise and questions must be asked. These FBI reports are models of incompleteness in other respects, where questions that should have been raised and answered are not, where times are not given, identifications not made, names omitted, generalities unnecessarily substituted for specific information, and items of apparent significance treated with casual indifference.

One of the latter relates to the bullet allegedly found on Gov. John B. Connally's stretcher. This bullet is the key to the fiction formalized into fact in the Report. According to the report of Siebert and O'Neill on their interrogation of Special Agent in Charge of the White House Detail Gerald A. Behn, it turned up in this haphazard fashion: Behn "stated that on learning of such a bullet being found at the Dallas Hospital he inquired of a group of his Agents who had returned from the Dallas trip (!) on the night of November 22, 1963, and Secret Service Agent Richard Johnson produced this bullet which had been handed to him by someone at the hospital who had stated that it was not known whether or not the President had been placed on the stretcher where the bullet was found."

This is the allegedly magic bullet that must have remained almost perfect after inflicting seven non-fatal injuries on the President and the Governor, smashing bones and leaving fragments through three parts of the Governor's body, the incredible bullet of Specter's "single-bullet theory" (WHITEWASH 156-7,160-3,165-6,171-4,176,185,189,193-5). This is the bullet the Report does not trace back from the autopsy examination, as conformity with the law requires. Is this one reason why?

That the Commission did not include these reports of the FBI agents in its Report is understandable. That the questions remain unanswered so long after WHITEWASH raised the first of them, accompanied by letters to the proper officials asking additional questions, is not understandable if the government has nothing to hide.

Of the autopsy two things now may, above all others, be said with fairness and responsibility: It was a disgrace, and nothing that can now be done with or about it is worthy of the slightest credence.

Everything about the autopsy is, at the very best, questionable. The flippant indifference to the minimum requirements indeed would not be visited upon a poor unfortunate from skid row by apprentice coroner. Comparisons of the President's autopsy with that of his alleged murderer and the policeman Tippit reveal the imprecision which cannot be regarded as accidental. The purging of the archive is beyond explanation. To it can be attributed only the most sinister motives. That file exists in duplicate and the same documents are missing from both files. Brazenly - not by accident, not by sloppiness, but only by intent - the official exhibit was censored and the quintessential notes suppressed from

Exhibit 17
., and James Siebert (sic) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, witnessing the autopsy performed by members of the US Navy Medical Corps, and the embalming services done by the staff of Joseph Gawralski, Funeral Directors, Washington, D. C."

The internal evidence of their reports shows the FBI agents were present through the autopsy examination and after its completion, when Kellerman, on receiving the X-rays and photographs, said they would be available to the FBI. The written report also refers to the agents' observations "during the latter stages of the autopsy". In any event, their assignment seems to have been Kellerman, to the President's corpse, and they stayed with him.

The fifth page of the joint report of these FBI agents, dated three days later, after discussing the revelations of the "latter stages of the autopsy", is so specific that there can be no interpretation of the retelling of idle gossip. It says that after the discovery of a whole bullet and after receiving and examining a piece of the President's skull, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's back and had worked its way out of the body during external cardiac massage and that a second high velocity bullet had entered the rear of the skull and had fragmented prior to exit through the top of the skull ...". No mention of the wound in the front of the neck during "the latter stages" of the autopsy.

Had there been a comma after "second" in this passage, making it read, "a second, high-velocity bullet", its meaning might be clearer.

Dr. Humes, in his testimony, acknowledges he knew that a tracheotomy had been performed in Dallas by saying his phone call (there were two, not one) to Dr. Perry was "redundant". The FBI agents say he knew this the night of the autopsy examination, not the next morning, after phoning Dr. Perry, which is what one gathers from his testimony. The third page of their report, after the agents mention their own participation in the moving of the President's body, says it "was removed from the casket in which it had been transported and was placed on the autopsy table, at which time the complete body was wrapped in a sheet and the head area contained an additional wrapping which was saturated with blood. Following the removal of the wrapping, it was ascertained that the President's clothing had been removed and it was also apparent that tracheotomy had been performed ...".

This, of course, is knowledge the agents could not have imputed. Had they, it was the function of the Commission to correct the record, not to leave in its files documents that directly accuse the autopsy doctors of perjury. If it was apparent when the body was first unwrapped that "a tracheotomy had been performed", it was not merely "redundant" to wait until the next day to phone Dr. Perry in Dallas about it, and twice, not the once of the testimony.

This is dealt with at length in WHITEWASH (pp.180-1). Here Dr. Humes's testimony (2H361-2), that he "had the impression" that tracheotomy had been performed, is quoted. He said that it was to ascertain that point, I called on the phone Dr. Malcolm Perry ... Dr. Humes was asked, "Did you tell Dr. Perry anything about your observations and conclusions?" His reply was, "No, sir; I did not", immediately after which the transcript shows "(a short message was taken)" (2H371).

Again from WHITEWASH, the contrary testimony of Dr. Kemp is given: "Dr. Perry stated that he had talked to Bethesda Naval Hospital on two occasions that morning and that he knew what the autopsy findings had shown and he did not wish to be questioned by the press ...".

It was in connection with the Chief Justice, behind whose judicial robes and reputation Specter was hiding, that he made reference to "perjury" when previously quoted. The transcript of the examinations he conducted should have resolved the real question of perjury that it does not address. As Specter left the record, the inference is unmistakable, with the additional inference of conspiracy. Possibly the quotations cited above might have been susceptible of innocent resolution. That a competent lawyer would leave them unresolved does not seem innocent.

The FBI and the Secret Service are not innocent, either. These agents knew their business. When they do not do as their training and knowledge dictate, suspicions arise and questions must be asked. These FBI reports are models of incompleteness in other respects, where questions that should have been raised and answered are not, where times are not given, identifications not made, names omitted, generalities unnecessarily substituted for specific information, and items of apparent significance treated with casual indifference.

One of the latter relates to the bullet allegedly found on Gov. John B. Connally's stretcher. This bullet is the key to the fiction formalized into fact in the Report. According to the report of Siebert and O'Neill on their interrogation of Special Agent in Charge of the White House Detail Gerald A. Behn, it turned up in this haphazard fashion: Behn "stated that on learning of such a bullet being found at the Dallas Hospital he inquired of a group of his Agents who had returned from the Dallas trip (!) on the night of November 22, 1963, and Secret Service Agent Richard Johnson produced this bullet which had been handed to him by someone at the hospital who had stated that it was not known whether or not the President had been placed on the stretcher where the bullet was found."

This is the allegedly magic bullet that must have remained almost perfect after inflicting seven non-fatal injuries on the President and the Governor, smashing bones and leaving fragments through three parts of the Governor's body, the incredible bullet of Specter's "single-bullet theory" (WHITEWASH 156-7,160-3,165-6,171-4,176,185,189,193-5). This is the bullet the Report does not trace back from the autopsy examination, as conformity with the law requires. Is this one reason why?

That the Commission did not include these reports of the FBI agents in its Report is understandable. That the questions remain unanswered so long after WHITEWASH raised the first of them, accompanied by letters to the proper officials asking additional questions, is not understandable if the government has nothing to hide.

Of the autopsy two things now may, above all others, be said with fairness and responsibility: It was a disgrace, and nothing that can now be done with or about it is worthy of the slightest credence.

Everything about the autopsy is, at the very best, questionable. The flippant indifference to the minimum requirements indeed would not be visited upon a poor unfortunate from skid row by an apprentice coroner. Comparisons of the President's autopsy with that of his alleged murderer and the policeman Tippit reveal the imprecision which cannot be regarded as accidental. The purging of the archive is beyond explanation. To it can be attributed only the most sinister motives. That file exists in duplicate and the same documents are missing from both files. Brazenly - not by accident, not by sloppiness, but only by intent - the official exhibit was censored and the quintessential notes suppressed from

and James Siebert (sic) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, concerning the autopsy performed by members of the US Navy Medical Corps, and the embalming services done by the staff of Joseph Gawronski, Funeral Directors, Washington, D. C."

The internal evidence of their reports shows the FBI agents were present through the autopsy examination and after its completion, when Kellerman, on receiving the X-rays and photographs, said they would be available to the FBI. The written report also refers to the agents' observations "during the latter stages of the autopsy". In any event, their assignment seems to have been Kellerman, to the President's corpse, and they stayed with him.

The fifth page of the joint report of these FBI agents, dated three days later, after discussing the revelations of the "latter stages of the autopsy", is so specific that there can be no interpretation of the retelling of idle gossip. It says that after he saw the finding of a whole bullet and after receiving and examining a piece of the President's skull, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's neck and had worked its way out of the body during external cardiac massage and that a second high velocity bullet had entered the rear of the skull and had fragmented prior to exit through the top of the skull ...". No mention of the wound in the front of the neck seen during "the latter stages" of the autopsy.

Had there been a comma after "second" in this passage, making it read, "a second, high-velocity bullet", its meaning might be clearer.

Dr. Humes, in his testimony, acknowledges he knew that a tracheotomy had been performed in Dallas by saying his phone call (where there were two, not one) to Dr. Perry was "redundant". The FBI reports say he knew this the night of the autopsy examination, not the next morning, after phoning Dr. Perry, which is what one gathers from his testimony. The third page of their report, after the agents mention their own participation in the moving of the President's body, says it "was removed from the casket in which it had been transported and was placed on the autopsy table, at which time the complete body was wrapped in a sheet and the head area contained an additional wrapping which was saturated with blood. Following the removal of the wrapping, it was ascertained that the President's clothing had been removed and it was also apparent that a tracheotomy had been performed ...".

This, of course, is knowledge the agents could not have intended. Had they, it was the function of the Commission to correct the record, not to leave in its files documents that directly accuse the autopsy doctors of perjury. If it was apparent when the body was first unwrapped that "a tracheotomy had been performed", it was not merely "redundant" to wait until the next day to phone Dr. Perry in Dallas about it, and twice, not the once of the testimony.

This is dealt with at length in WHITEWASH (pp.180-1). Here Dr. Humes's testimony (2H361-2), that he "had the impression" that a tracheotomy had been performed, is quoted. He said that it was to ascertain that point, I called on the phone Dr. Malcolm Perry. Dr. Humes was asked, "Did you tell Dr. Perry anything about your observations and conclusions?" His reply was, "No, sir; I did not", immediately after which the transcript shows "(a short press was taken)" (2H371).

Again from WHITEWASH, the contrary testimony of Dr. Kemp Mark: "Dr. Perry stated that he had talked to Bethesda Naval Hospital on two occasions that morning and that he knew what the autopsy findings had shown and he did not wish to be questioned by the press ...".

It was in connection with the Chief Justice, behind whose judicial robes and reputation Specter was hiding, that he made reference to "perjury" when previously quoted. The transcript of the examinations he conducted should have resolved the real question of perjury that it does not address. As Specter left the record, the inference is unmistakable, with the additional inference of conspiracy. Possibly the quotations cited above might have been susceptible of innocent resolution. That a competent lawyer would leave them unresolved does not seem innocent.

The FBI and the Secret Service are not innocent, either. These agents knew their business. When they do not do as their training and knowledge dictate, suspicions arise and questions must be asked. These FBI reports are models of incompleteness in other respects, where questions that should have been raised and answered are not, where times are not given, identifications not made, names omitted, generalities unnecessarily substituted for specific information, and items of apparent significance treated with casual indifference.

One of the latter relates to the bullet allegedly found on Gov. John B. Connally's stretcher. This bullet is the key to the fiction formalized into fact in the Report. According to the report of Siebert and O'Neill on their interrogation of Special Agent in Charge of the White House Detail Gerald A. Behn, it turned up in this haphazard fashion: Behn "stated that on learning of such a bullet being found at the Dallas Hospital he inquired of a group of his Agents who had returned from the Dallas trip (!) on the night of November 22, 1963, and Secret Service Agent Richard Johnson produced this bullet which had been handed to him by someone at the hospital who had stated that it was not known whether or not the President had been placed on the stretcher where the bullet was found."

This is the allegedly magic bullet that must have remained almost perfect after inflicting seven non-fatal injuries on the President and the Governor, smashing bones and leaving fragments through three parts of the Governor's body, the incredible bullet of Specter's "single-bullet theory" (WHITEWASH 156-7,160-3,165-6,171-4,176,185,189,193-5). This is the bullet the Report does not trace back from the autopsy examination, as conformity with the law requires. Is this one reason why?

That the Commission did not include these reports of the FBI agents in its Report is understandable. That the questions remain unanswered so long after WHITEWASH raised the first of them, accompanied by letters to the proper officials asking additional questions, is not understandable if the government has nothing to hide.

Of the autopsy two things now may, above all others, be said with fairness and responsibility: It was a disgrace, and nothing that can now be done with or about it is worthy of the slightest credence.

Everything about the autopsy is, at the very best, questionable. The flippant indifference to the minimum requirements indeed would not be visited upon a poor unfortunate from skid row by an apprentice coroner. Comparisons of the President's autopsy with that of his alleged murderer and the policeman Tippit reveal the imprecision which cannot be regarded as accidental. The purging of the archive is beyond explanation. To it can be attributed only the most sinister motives. That file exists in duplicate and the same documents are missing from both files. Brazenly - not by accident, not by sloppiness, but only by intent - the official exhibit was censored and the quintessential notes suppressed from