Mr. Harold Weisberg January 31, 1995 Page 3

Mr. Dulles. Or whether it was one or two wounds?

Dr. Shaw. Yes.

Mr. Dulles. Or two bullets?

Dr. Shaw. Yes; or three.

Mr. Dulles. Why do you say three?

Dr. Shaw. He has three separate wounds. He has a wound in the chest, a wound of the wrist, a wound of the thigh.

Mr. Dulles. Oh, yes; we haven't come to the wound of the thigh yet, have we?

Mr. McCloy. You have no firm opinion that all these three wounds were caused by one bullet?

Dr. Shaw. I have no firm opinion.

Mr. McCloy. That is right.

Dr. Shaw. Asking me this now if it was true. If you had asked me a month ago I would have.

Mr. Dulles. Could they have been caused by one bullet, in your opinion? Dr. Shaw. They could.

Mr. McCloy. I gather that what the witness is saying is that it is possible that they might have been caused by one bullet. But that he has no firm opinion now that they were.

Mr. Dulles. As I understand it too. Is our understanding correct?

Dr. Shaw. That is correct.

Senator Cooper. When you say all three are you referring to the wounds you have just described to the chest, the wound in the wrist, and also the wound in the thigh?

Dr. Shaw. Yes.

Senator Cooper. It was possible?

Dr. Shaw. Our original assumption, Senator Cooper, was that the Governor was approximately in this attitude at the time he was----

Senator Cooper. What attitude is that now?

Dr. Shaw. This is an attitude sitting in a jump seat as we know he was, upright, with his right forearm held across the lower portion of the chest. In this position, the trajectory of the bullet could have caused the wound of entrance, the wound of exit, struck his wrist and proceeded on into the left thigh. But although this is a possibility, I can't give a firm opinion that this is the actual way in which it occurred."

What you have done, Mr. Weisberg, is to take out of context the phrase "Yes; or three." You have omitted the prior answer two questions earlier where Dr. Shaw said that "It is a matter of whether the wrist wound could be caused by the same bullet, and we felt that it could but we had not seen the bullets until today ..." and you have also omitted the subsequent testimony of Dr. Shaw where he agrees with the commentary of Mr. McCloy, saying that "I have no firm opinion" whether the three wounds to Governor Connally were caused by one bullet. You also left out the affirmative response of Dr. Shaw to the question from Mr. Dulles where Dr. Shaw said with reference to the three wounds that "They could" have been caused by one bullet. And you left out the last sentence in the quoted material where Dr. Shaw says that the wounds "could" have been caused by one bullet, but added "I can't give a firm opinion that this is the actual way in which it occurred."

Moreover, you omitted references to the testimony of Dr. Gregory, who treated Governor Connally's wrist wound, who in substance said that if the missile that struck Governor