

found that the fatal bullet that struck President Kennedy was fired by Lee Harvey Oswald. That's what the Warren Commission found, as I wrote in my March 7, 1992 *New York Times* op-ed article.

Now let me turn to some matters of common sense. I knew Governor Connally. If Governor Connally ever thought that there was any person, other than Lee Harvey Oswald, who nearly killed him, he would have left no stone unturned to find that individual. Similarly, if Robert Kennedy had thought that there was any person, other than Lee Harvey Oswald, who killed his brother, he would have left no stone unturned to find that killer. But, although you talk about Robert Kennedy and Governor Connally, you never discuss these matters of common sense.

On page three of your letter you refer to the three doctors who treated Governor Connally -- Dr. Shires, Dr. Shaw, and Dr. Gregory -- with regard to whether or not one bullet caused all of Governor Connally's wounds. Obviously, what Governor Connally's physicians initially thought was part of the evidence, but ultimately one had to look at the record as a whole, including testimony from ballistic experts, to determine what actually happened. As both the Warren Commission and the HSCA found, one bullet did cause all of Governor Connally's wounds. But I think it is indicative of the narrowness of your focus that in your letter you attack me by citing a question and answer at 4 Hearings 114 in the testimony of Dr. Shaw where he purportedly responded to a question by Allen Dulles who you say "asked if there had been two bullets" and the answer was "Yes; or three." In the first place, the correct citation is not on page 114 but rather on page 109. And in the second place, you have deliberately taken those few words out of context, and in order to set the record straight, I am enclosing a photocopy of pages 109-110 which includes the following questions and answers:

"Mr. Specter. Then, do you have sufficient information at your disposal in total, based on your observations and what you know now to give any meaningful opinion as to which was the wound of entrance and which the wound of exit to the Governor's wrist?

Dr. Shaw. I would prefer to have Dr. Gregory testify about that, because he has examined it more carefully than I have.

Mr. Specter. Fine.

Mr. Dulles. Could you tell at all how the arm was held from that mark or that hole in the sleeve?

Dr. Shaw. Mr. Dulles, I thought I knew just how the Governor was wounded until I saw the pictures today, and it becomes a little bit harder to explain.

I felt that the wound had been caused by the same bullet that came out through the chest with the Governor's arm held in approximately this position.

Mr. Specter. Indicating the right hand held close to the body?

Dr. Shaw. Yes, and this is still a possibility. But I don't feel that it is the only possibility.

Senator Cooper. Why do you say you don't think it is the only possibility? What cause you now to say that it is the location----

Dr. Shaw. This is again the testimony that I believe Dr. Gregory will be giving, too. It is a matter of whether the wrist wound could be caused by the same bullet, and we felt that it could but we had not seen the bullets until today, and we still do not know which bullet actually inflicted the wound on Governor Connally.