Dear Moo.

Attached are pages 469 and 470 of Co 7, desline with one Joseph Ralond Hummel. The marks in the margin are those I added to my copy before making this copy for you.

I wrate to ask what you k ow about him (1 do engaged in fittilities, slways in the hope same may not be futile) for several reasons.

This rather nicely coincides with the Polton Ford bit and the Common case, of peop,e who couldn't have been uswald at that period presenting themselves in F.o. as oswald.

I find if interesting that the place this guy claims he saw Osweld when it was not possible is to Casa, where, we know, the Subans of interest did hang out, as well as others of interest.

The first report does not say what conditions caused it: did they look Hummel up, did he look them up, phone them, etc., but the second says he "appeared at" the Dallas FET office (whether or not by invitation is open).

He claims "in inherited him from Dowling, if so, somebody ought to remember him. And, after your case was in the papers, he should have been in touch. How about it?

I now have other indications from Dellas that an "Dawnld" there was a "Trade". And how nicely the Dellas date, September 1983, when it seems unlikely it could have been the McCoy Gawald, but when there was the Odio IMO. Now on 12/2/63 this incident was anknown, for it was more than two weeks later that Connell told the FMI about Sylvia. The report is dated 12/18. This guy makes it up with remerkable advance knowledge on dates if he is making it up.

Took him up and then tell me he lived near too right area on Pagezine. I have to ask you because the Feebess were careful not to give his N.O. address.

But don't you like the way buby "avoided" this great "embarrassment" that was possible if he didn't kill 180?

Best,

harold leisberg