hite House Had Role in Hughes

fn a continuing effort by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute to get a multimillion-dol-"far annual tax break, Treasury Department documents disclose.

A packet of correspondence Teleased by the department in- Medical Institute to reap a cludes a "Dear John" letter to John W. Dean III calling the of \$72 million to \$108 million. attention of the then-White House counsel to "a situation ten in July, 1971, by Robert F. with which I think you should Bennett, president of he pub-"become familiar."

The letter disclosed an at tempt by billionaire recluse Howard R. Hughes a main of the billionaire funneled some "Howard R. Hughes, a major of his contributions to the of the Treasury, to draft a rein familie

Nixon campaign contributor President's re-election drive to go outside normal official through Bennett the White House had a role channels for favorable action

Although Treasury has not taken the action requested in the letter, it has by sustained inaction allowed the Hughes

The letter to Dean was writ-

Ť

Bennett wanted the institute exempted from proposed an area supposedly insulated from political influences. medical research organization must pay out 4 per cent of the fair market value of its assets annually for medical research.

In the institute's case, the proposed regulations would minimum estimated tax saving require an estimated yearly payout of \$24 million to \$40 million, compared the current annual contribution of about \$1 million.

Dean asked Charls TC. Walker, then Under Secretary sponse to Bennett's letter.

Case

Walker's draft termed the proposed regulations "entirely reasonable."

Walkered noted that had the institute been classified as a private foundation under the Tax Reform Act of 1969, it would have had to pay out 6 per cent of the fair market value of its assets-an estimated \$36 million to \$60 million a year-and eventually divest itself of control of Hughes Aircraft Co.

ABut "the Hughes group ... hef." Walker told Dean. This was an implied reference to a obbying campaign, led by former Sen, George Smathers (D-Fla.), that resulted first in the Senate Finance Committee nd then Congress exempting nedical research organizations from the foundation rovisions.

Walker told Dean that the Hughes group "chose to take the risk" that their institute later would be covered by Treasury regulations for medical research organizations.

However, none of this "they asked for it" tone was in the "Dear Bob" note Walker drafted for Dean to send to Bennett. Instead, the note said that the proposed regulations 'are being reconsidered in the light of this particular situation"-the arguments made to Walker by Hughes Aircraft and institute representatives.

Rep: Wright Patman (D-Tex.), chairman of the House Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, first asked Treasury Secretary George P. Shultz for the correspondence June 1, but did not get it until last week. Patman has distributed copies to members of his subcommittee.

The institute disclosed to the subcommittee last April that it has been trying for almost three years to be classified as a public charity. As such it could pay out as little as it wants to for charity and be free of provisions in the 1969 law such as those prohibiting self-dealing.

Howard Hughes totally controls the institute as its sole trustee. At the same time, he is president of Hughes Aircraft. Its stock is 100 per cent owned by the institute.

During the three years the institute's application has been pending, it has been getting an annual tax break of at least \$24 million to \$36 million, depending upon the final determination of its status Treasury ultimately may make.

During approximately the same period, Howard Hughes has made substantial campaign contributions, including \$150,000 recorded by the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President.

In 1971, when Hughes representative Bennett wrote Dean, Treasury Secretary John B.

Connally was leading the suc-imedical research organization cessful administration effort required to pay out 4 per cent a loan of \$250 million to Lockheed Aircraft Corp.

Bennett told Dean to was Bennett "incongruous for the Treasury "Grandfather" on an effort to aid the Lock mitted to Treasury by inst-heed Corp., a company with tute counsel. cash flow problems, while at the same time embarking on a ered by Treasury also shows bly "a crisis" for another de-fense contractor, Hughes Air-man Wilbur Mills (D-Ark.) and craft.

His point here was that if member of the Senate Finance the institut should be ruled a Committee.

to get legislation guaranteeing of its assets, the company might have to pay the institute more than it earns.

urged clause to ex-Department to be spending so empt the institute, noting that mich of its time and expertise a draft of one had been sub-

The correspondence delivcourse . . . which will create that the proposed regulation similar if not more serious opposed by Hughes was opcash flow problems" and possi- posed, as well, by House Ways posed, as well, by House Ways man Wilbur Mills (D-Ark.) and Sen. Paul Fannin (R-Ariz.), a

By Morton Mintz Washington Post Staff Writer