'CIA' Ship **Poses Tax** Problems

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

Despite claims of government ownership, sworn papers required under federal law state that billionaire Howard Hughe's Summa Corp. is the sole owner of the Glomar Ex-plorer, reportedly financed by the Central Intelligence Agency. gency.

The tax assessor of Los Angeles County said he agreed to forget about a potential \$9 million tax bill for the vessel when CIA representatives secretly assured him it was government property.

But the ship's license, filed under oath with the U.S. Coast Guard, says it belongs to the Hughes empire.

conflicting The reports could pose a dilemma for the Hughes organization. If the Summa Corp. owns the ship, it may have to pay substantial federal, state and local taxes.

If the government owns the vessel, its license to ply the waters when it recovered part of a sunken Soviet submarine last summer would seem to have been invalid. Under U.S. shipping laws, "no marine document shall be considered to be in force longer than the vessel to which it is granted is owned as stated in the document. . ."

The 618-foot ship, the Hughes Glomar Explorer, salvaged portions of a nucleararmed Soviet submarine from the Pacific Ocean floor at a depth of 16,000 feet. Normally, it would have been almost impossible to find, but the sub happened to have gone down seven years ago within the range of the government's Missile Impact Location Sys-

See CIA, A4, Col. 1

CIA, From A1

much more accurate than the Oct. 2, 11th District Coast Navy's sub-detection system, Guard officials there gave the which amounts to micro-ship's captain, James M. Miles, phones planted on the ocean floor.

Built at the CIA'S behest license" for the vessel. and reportedly financed by the government after the sub an earlier certificate obtained had been located, the Glomar in Delware and said the Glo-Explorer was publicly pro-claimed as a deep-sea mining voted to "miscellaneous" servship with a generally acknowl- ice in domestic commerce. edged capability for harvesting metal nodules from the subscribed the oath required ocean floor.

to confine their efforts to a owner of the ship and that it much smaller area than the would not be used "in any sub-detection system could trade or business whereby the have targeted. The sub was finally pinpointed with the may be defrauded." help of a specially equipped underwater sled.

the Sun Shipyards at Chester, Pa., it arrived in Long Beach, tem (MILS). Its devices are Calif., in the fall of 1973. On temporary, a one-year "certificate of enrollment and

Miles, in turn, surrendered

After "having taken and by law," he also affirmed that MILS enabled search parties the Summa Corp. was the sole

Miles is now working for Esso off the Ivory Coast and After a maiden voyage from could not be reached for comment. But his daughter indicated that his service as master of the Glomar Explorer didn't last much past the day he had it registered and licensed in California.

> "He brought it from Philadelphia to Long Beach and that was it," she said.

> The new captain who replaced him was a long-time employe of Global Marine, Inc., the marine exploration and drilling firm that de-signed and operated the ship for Summa Corp. Under federal law, the owner of a vessel of that size must report a change in masters on the Coast Guard certificate "or such vessel shall not be deemed" an enrolled and licensed vessel.

The Glomar Explorer's certificate shows no other captain but Miles. The man who replaced him could not be reached for comment, but his wife said she was as surprised as anyone else when she read about the sub-raising expedition.

"It was a shock to me," she said. "All he told me was that it was a mining ship."

The Los Angeles County tax assessor, Philip E. Watson, said his office has been keeping notes on the Glomar Explorer since November, 1973. and has been pressing Summa officials about it for more than a year.

He said he agreed not to put

it on the tax rolls in 1974 because some construction work was still under way on the March 1, 1974, lien date. But he says he made clear to Summa officials that he planned to come back at them the next year, both about the Glomar Explorer and the HMB-1, a submersible barge, or submarine tractor, that was built to go with it.

Finally, on Jan. 31, 1975, Watson said, an FBI agent escorted four men to his office and told him they were "from the CIA." The assessor said the CIA delegation wanted to keep the vessel's real mission secret and asked that he keep it off the tax rolls, the grounds that the government really owned it.

Watson said he understands that the Glomar Explorer's real value might be as much as \$300 million. At one point, he said, the CIA representatives asked him to assess the ship at only 1 per cent of market value instead of the standard 25 per cent. The 1 per cent assessment is allowed for ships used exclusively for fishing or oceanographic research, but not for commercial mining ships.

As a result, Watson said, the ship should be taxed on a 25 per cent assessment level if it belongs to Summa but not at all if it belongs to the government. He said he asked for a letter, which he promised to keep secret, attesting to U.S. ownship, but the CIA representatives refused to give him one. He said they simply reassured him verbally 'that Hughes "had no investment in either the boat or the barge." The assessor said he finally

agreed to "assume it [the Glomar Explorer] was the property of the United States" and keep it off the tax rolls where its real value would have to be publicly recorded, no matter what the assessment rate.

Watson said he changed his mind after the sub-raising expedition, about 750 miles northwest of the Hawaiian island of Oahu, became public last month.

Whatever the ship's other uses, he said, "I'm satisfied this boat was built as a mining vessel. It's not beyond the realm of , possibility that Hughes is a joint venturer in this thing." The assessor said he will put the ship on the tax rolls unless Summa can document government ownership when it files its annual property declaration next month.

The CIA's concern over the Los Angeles tax rolls is somewhat puzzling in light of the fact that the submersible HMB-1, which is currently based up the coast at Redwood City has been on the San Mateo County tax rolls—as private property—for the past two years.

two years. There, the Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., the designer and ostensible owner of the barge, has been paying

See CIA, A5, Col. 1

CIA, From A4

taxes on both the HMB-1 and related equipment, machinery and other fixtures—for a total of \$376,439 in 1973 and 1974.

San Mateo tax assessor Jack Estes said his records indicate the barge is worth \$7.5 million, but he assessed it at only 1 per cent of that amount in the name of oceanographic research. He said a Lockheed official told him by affidavit that this was its exclusive function. But this year, Estes said, he's inclined to agree with Watson and raise the rate to 25 per cent.

"I don't think it's in oceanographic research now." he said. He said the equipment, unlike the barge, has always carried a 25 per cent assessment, since Lockhed never made an issue about that.

Oscar A. Shick, Lockheed's project manager, refused to say whether the Summa Corp. Dr. anyone else reimburses Lockheed for the taxes it is paying. The HMB-1's Coast Guard certificate states that Lockheed is "the sole owner" of that vessel, but Shick delined comment when asked if Lockheed was the barge's real owner.

Similarly, when asked about

the Glomar Explorer, a pokesman for the Summa Dorp, would say only that "it was built for Summa and opstated by Global Marine, Who iwns it? We decline comnent."

A Coast Guard spokesman ulso had no comment about he certificates for either the alomar Explorer or the HMB-

In addition to the barge, Jockheed had related equipment worth \$20.6 million at the Redwood City site in 1973. The next year, the barge was till there, but the equipment pad dropped down to \$1.5 milion.

"We have no way of knowng where the machinery vent," said assessor Estes. "But it wasn't here on the March 1, 1974) lien date, and he barge was."

"That's a hell of a depreciation, isn't it?" Schick said of the disappearing equipment. Then asked if it went to the Homar Explorer. And he reused to say when asked what the Lockheed does in Redvood City.

The California assessments re not the only tax issue involved. According to a report nothe Los Angeles Times, a summa Corp. security guard, wike Davis, said he saw a seret memo about the CIA's subraising plan last year, apparently left behind by burglars who overpowered him at he Hughes company's commuication center in Hollywood.

Davis was quoted as saying that the memo stated that President Nixon knew about t and that the IRS would look he other way on how the noney was being put in."

According to the Los Angees Times, Davis, who refused o take a lie detector test after he burglary, said he held onto he memo, then tore it up and lushed it down a toilet about a month ago "when all the publicity began to break on he submarine business."

In Washington, IRS officials fenied any agreement "to look the other way" on the financing for the Glomar Explorer. Burke W. Willsey, a top assistant to IRS Commissioner Donild C. Alexander, said their only agreement with the CIA nvolves the assignment of IRS agents with top-secret learances to any tax audit hat seems likely to uncover a LiA undertaking.

The way it works, Willsey taid, is that when IRS agents, n Los Angeles for example, ire examining the books of a company that works with the GIA, company officials "will call the CIA and say, 'the IRS s getting close. Do somehing.'

"Then the CIA calls the assistant IRS commissioner in tharge of compliance and says, 'Your people in Los Anreles are about to uncover a overt operation.' We have exretienced people here with the necessary clearances. They go out to look at the transacfons involved and make the appropriate tax determinafons."

Willsey estimated that this pappens "10 to 15 times a year at most." He declined to comment on the Glomar Explorer nuestion, but indicated that in the normal course of activities, IRS agents will be poring over Summa's books sometime this year.

CIA payments to any company, Willsey added, "must be reported as ordinary income. It's fully taxable. Of course, if the government just reimsursed a company for its expenses, there wouldn't be any 'axation. But if a company made a profit on the transaction, they'd have to pay taxes."