

These major media are almost hysterical and totally universal in their support of the official findings. They regard any dissent, no matter how scholarly, legal and logical as the blatherings of kooks. That, my friends, is part of the coverup -- our controlled "free" press.

There is one item I'm not sure I ought to publicize. But, I do want this on the record -- now. I feel very strongly that a key witness, a man who was almost certainly a member of the actual assassination team, is about to be another "suicide" victim of the power group.

This man was recently incarcerated in the "mental wing" of a federal institution. Repeated attempts to get Committee investigators to rescue or least to interview this man seem to fall on deaf ears. Yet, it is almost certain this man was a part of the actual hit team.

This man's case is too deadly close to that of another dead key witness in the JFK conspiracy. George deMohrenschildt, the man who "babysat" Oswald for the CIA, was put in a mental ward for 56 days of brainwashing last year, then released. Three months later he was "suicided" by power group hit men.

The same thing is going to happen to this other witness.

THE PRESIDENT'S FATAL WOUND by Jeffrey P. Hoyle

[author's note: the following was suggested as a followup article to the March "Analysis..." of the head shot (GKG, Vol. 2 No. 1). Its purpose is to clarify as well as expand my position in that essay.]

Reconstruction

The President is leaning forward, head angled slightly downward, and turned left of center when the fatal bullet strikes. It enters high and to the right, an almost glancing blow to the right side of the turned head. As the bullet pushes through the skull, a forward force is exerted. Upon the exploding exit, the effects of the tangential blow can be seen by the leftward spin of the head in the forward-moving limousine.

The actual exploding of the skull cannot be ruled out as a contributing factor to the left, backward movement of the President's body. A high velocity bullet will produce shock waves and build a great pressure inside the skull. The results of this are obvious in the Zapruder film, as spray can be seen in all directions at Z-313, the force being so great that debris is thrown as much as 35 feet ahead of the limousine, and a large, gaping wound remains.

Newton's Laws

Sir Isaac Newton's three laws of motion can all be applied to this half-second span.

First Law: A body at rest remains at rest and a body in motion continues to move at a constant speed along a straight line unless, in either case, the body is acted upon by an outside force. Applied - With only non-fatal wounds

THE GRASSY KNOLL GAZETTE , JUNE 1978

inflicted prior to Z-313, the President's body would have continued in his reaction to those wounds until he slumped to the floor, or was pulled down in the seat by Mrs. Kennedy. That is, until acted upon by an outside force.

Second Law: An outside force acting on a body causes the body to accelerate in the direction of the line of force. Applied - The bullet pushing through the skull creates a forward motion.

The Third Law: For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction. Applied - The expenditure of force from the forward-moving bullet to the head, coupled with the spinning effect of the glancing blow, as well as the opposite force of the exiting matter, sends the President in a direction contrary to the original force.

The point seems to be clear that it is not as simple as some would have you believe. A body will not always continue in a direct line with the line of force; the way the force strikes has to be accounted for. Two examples are (1) a forward-moving baseball bat often sends the ball to the rear, opposite direction of the force, due to the off-center blow and the spin. (2) a billiard ball when struck off-center may move in a direction other than the forward-moving cue stick.

Other Arguments

In the March GKG, some of the circumstantial evidence used to support a frontal head shot was discussed. Here are some additional arguments.

Critics often point to the admission note of Parkland doctor Robert McClelland as proof of a left temple wound. This note states in part "...cause of death was due to massive head and brain injury from a gunshot wound of the left temple." (Emphasis added).

It should be noted that Harold Weisberg asked Dr. McClelland about this and was told it had been a mistake. How could such a mistake have been made? According to McClelland, it may have been a spot of splattered blood. The spot had not been wiped or examined by the doctor. If such a wound did exist, where was the source? Was someone firing from the other side of Dealey Plaza? And why hadn't this wound shown up in the x-rays and photographs of the skull taken during the autopsy?

It has also been reported that the photos do show a loose flap of skin on the left side of the back of the President's head. Some suggest this is a wound of exit from a shot fired from the right front. Dr. Cyril Wecht has examined this photograph and contends the wound is too small to have resulted from the exit of a whole bullet.

When the skull is struck by a bullet of high velocity, bone is fragmented, and these fragments become secondary missiles contributing to the damage. The size of this "small flap" suggests it was a fragment wound.

In 1968 the Clark Panel reported a gray-brown rectangular structure measuring approximately 13 X 20 mm located in the left superior portion of the brain. They could not determine its identity. Dr. Wecht (continued on page 10)

also observed this object, but the autopsy surgeons never mentioned it. What that object is, is open to question. Could it be a fragment? Remains of a hemorrhage? A tumor, possibly responsible for the President's rumored Addison's Disease?

Speculation on this point is endless, and fruitless. The matter can only be settled when the brain, preserved in the Archives, is examined and sectioned by a qualified forensic pathologist, something that has been denied to date.

Many critics continue to ask "are the photos and x-rays in the Archives forgeries?" This leads me to ask why the conspirators would leave evidence in their forgeries that would lead to questions of conspiracy. Wouldn't it have been just as easy to forge a clear-cut, no question, shot from the rear?

One way to clear up this matter has been suggested by Fred T. Newcomb and Perry Adams (Skeptic, Special Issue #9, p. 61): allow the Parkland doctors to examine the autopsy materials and comment publicly on their findings. Surely they would recall if the x-rays and photographs coincide with what they observed in Dallas on November 22, 1963.

Conclusion

Careful examination of the available evidence, with consideration given to Newton's three laws of motion, still leads this author to conclude that the fatal bullet was fired from above and behind the President. This conclusion does not mean a rejection of the conspiracy "theory". One shot from the rear caused the head wound, another the back wound. A third shot from the rear caused Governor Connally's injuries. A fourth wounded innocent bystander James Tague. Four shots from the rear, with the throat wound still left unaccounted for, means conspiracy.

* * * * *

DEALEY PLAZA BREAKDOWN #5: AN EXCERPT FROM A JACK RUBY JAIL INTERVIEW

RUBY: "...Everything pertaining to what's happening has never come to the surface. The world will never know the true facts of what occurred, my motives. Uh, in other words, I'm the only person in the background that knows the truth pertaining to everything relating to my testimony."

Q: "Do you think it will ever come out?"

RUBY: "No, because, unfortunately, the, uh, people who had so much to gain, and had such material motive for putting me in the position I'm in, will never let the true facts come aboveboard to the, to the world."

Q: "Are these people in very high positions?"

RUBY: "Yes."

* * * * *