E.R. 11/26/76 postscript To Tem audinan

Regardless of the basic accuracy of this morning's Post Resler story, there is much wrong with it, to Kenler's prior knowledge wrong with it. He did not indicate it was this story but several night ago he did phone me on a JFK story, to ask if I knew a mame not in the story.

The reason for this postscript is that this is the second spock leak of what is covered by my long-standing and ignored FOIA requests. The first was the Post story of 11/13 alleging a secret "ocver memo. It is a non-story." ealer told me they are not proud of it now, a statement not supported by his today's story.

With the surveillance I have long had such as inclusive request with the CIA. So long it is past their claimed lag. They tried to lump it in with my inclusive request. I rejected this, saying I did not want to usit until whatever time in the distant future they would smit to having completed whatever they decided to review.

I knew of these intercepts. When a friend filed an immdequate request I backstopped with an adequate one although I had already included it. Because Schweiker also knew and didnothing I made a separate request. I said I wanted it faster.

Mine also included the interception of Camald's mail, certain when he was in the USSR.

While I have no way of knowing whether there was any such conversation I do know that the thing is atterly irrational.

The subject of the 11/13 leak is an FBI record.

Jim telegraphed Levi 11/13 and has had no response. Even acknowledgement.
Earlier im affidavitd and beginning 9/16 and for several days in U.A.75-1996
we went into this. We had supervisory FOIA agents on the stand and I testified. They
have reviewed these files three times as of them without responding to my requests that
go back to 4968. I testified to this without even pro forms cross edemination. By them
I had a list of these requests made.

I have written Kelley, "evi and Shen repeatedly about this without response.

This is for your POIA knowledge. In no case has the time of the claimed - and manufactured - backley not passed with both agencies and DJ.