## 4/9/71

Dear Jim,
When I am away, mail sometines accumates to whore it becoues a problem. I do have to 80 into the post office in the momines it is 9 poino our tire, and I'm assuring you you aro not boing to phone. I rensinod home either aill or almoat all of hursday in the ovazt you phoncl thon. I vas gone for no more than an hour yestarday , hits tekes care of the periods of tinn you said you might cell. In you aro broice, I realizie any call can be a burdon to you. I con rarely afloard lonc distance calls, much as I should moke them.

To the degree a ran of stronglymeld fielinge can, I try to witirhold noral judgo ments on peopitc. I say, vith passion, ovaluate what thuy iay or nay not havo dome, but excast aith Iascists ubosa fascism is relevant, I genorally oschev this line. when you toll me hoose hated Kemedy, I ailc you if that is worse than the zeally deep hatred LBJ and Hoover boxe him. There is a difference in "oorn's favore If it is not oxculpatory, for example, he could quit and sound off were he principled and brave enough, it does, very nuch, mitigate. And I'm not about to equate what he did and what Hoover atd. I know things that more did that you do not, and that he has no was of knowing I know. I don't think he ${ }^{\text {d }}$ l be proud. But he is the one who takes orierg, not gives theme He did what he was told to do. Eiven if he deaired doine what he did, the major fault lies whin thoso who ordeined the ofejicial fiction. Tins does not make Mooro innocont. But it leads me to the feeline that he has \& differont and lesser lind of suilit.

Your Iettor of $4 / 29$, as you know, is in sone ways inconsostent with what you had witten and satd, and it could be interpoted as casting $C$ in a different role. i have no objections to bis fecling we out or feeling out what ${ }^{\perp}$ lnow. Tho former. I represented as it is. The latter, what I. said, I satid truthfully. Howoyer, by no neans completaly. The seno as I said of "onse goes for Hosty. Dorhaps C didn't inow it, but Hosty remutediy was the bxidge-playing coupanion of one of the more virulent racists in Dralas, a dioreputable nan tho, il momory is accurate, had a long cximinal record and was a business associate of General. Walker. Now, thic is anything but the kind of man I'd chose for a friend. But so are some of the eminonces. I can think of nothing Hosty did (besides Pail) that he was not told to do. I am certain he porjuxed hingelf before the Warren Comisnsion, and I doubt he" have done that without instructionseso, whervin, despite his nasty beliefs, is Hosty vorse than others, for excuaple, aside from his immeduate superiors, some of the liberal lawyera on the Comission, whose transgressions againgt decency, society and the traditions and obligations of thoir calling are great. Are they good people and Hosty bad? As one accumulates years, Jim, one tends to sce more gray through older ayes.

If you've read ry work, you know what I say of some of the agents and some of the things they did and said. But I do not play God.

What you and $C$ do is a docision each or you has to make. I do believe that if he is for real, he can he in jeopardy, can be daraged in other ways, and much as I might want any useful mosrledge ho micht have, not at that cost. What Sprague has done camot be undone. But if, as you say, while terrifled et the mention of the CIIA C thon told you to approach Sprogue, I am baffled. It makes only linited sense, and that I prefer not to belfeve, not of the man you descrihes $C$ as being. Hie did not need ny caution about Smague if he had read anything from him or the CiIA, not a man with his background, training and experience.

Whother or not Spraguo responded to your letter, it nade no difference. He wrote what he did almost the minute he got back from out there. I saw him after I got your letter, dre: Hin natde, enplafned how ho had been irresponsible, otce, how ignorant he is of the basic fact, how much damage ho had done and could do, and he thanked iue and promised to
be silont and circomapact. That lasted overnight. ill those muts are eaten by ego. His whs back in tho sadule in the morning, and the last I neard he was planning something acaingt re to the entire CIIS membership. That bothers me Iittie, for there are none among thom seve a fow who ane my frionds of whose opinion I am at all concemod, and he'll tuon hinself with them. In any event, I do what I thinik rimut, ragariless, as you shoyd d bo able to see for yourself, and I tisy not to be solfish in thest dociasions. To the degree a man can, at least to the degnee I can, I do what i.s might as I see it, and if it donies ne what I want, I an not troubled by it.

That you have kasted the cnergy of an agung man who sucidenly feels the years with his work undone is the least of what you have done. But I need not repeat that. I do hope you loarn by it. You are still young.

I do Iobl: Comard to hearing from C. I hope he sees this is the rifht way for him, the way that in tho iuture, if any decision is now painful, is the one that will trouble him zeast. If Hosty bragued, thon $C$ lenows more and in difierent areas than he has indicatod, and of different oities than Dallas. Of the $\mathrm{King}_{\mathrm{in}}$ assassination, I do not lenow how sharp he is. If he is real shamp, he may read some of my ellipsis. I hope he doos and I hope he reacts. But thore is nothing I can do about that save give hin the evivice of a raia who hes lived longer, had more experlence with lipe, and is bettor able to prediat what mey in later years haunt a younger man. Especially one of a winority who carnot be blind to what in today heppening to all ainoritiec, especially the one from which he comen, and ought be intelligent enough to ask hinsclit whether this can fllow from the assassinetions. Should he later coue to realize this is the case and that he has done legs then he midht, if he has e. conscience, it will nag hime

If I had a choice, I wonld not exercisa it. I hava to leave it the way the two of you do. I thenk Itc have Iittle trouble learaine $C^{\prime} s$ roal identity, but I'll not aven try. I know asonts, past and presont, and I'li net mention tiris to thom. I can consillt the list of nombere of the association of former agents, and arain I will nota It will be CBs way, and I hope we both ogree that is the right nay, now and for later.

I vish you both woIl.

