or robbook in his

1/3/02

The third batch of mark's liesty, etc. records came today and because I am not up to current smuff, instead of cotminuing on what - was doing re on banc petition I just sat and akinged them. I'll be writing further about them. This botch has doc. nos. through 81.

I do 't shot if I did too such yesterday or could not take my brief exposure to today's cold but my walking theraphy was the poorest I can recall and by the tise I was home from the post office I was weak, weary and a little fuzzy in the head. So, I may have missed things, particularly because of the boring nature of some and the difficulty of reading some of the copies.

Several things beer on my allegations of Lying to the field offices case. For example, my attestation that there is a PCI file on Ruby in the DL office. Harrett confirmed this.

You are getting copies of non-record copies, probably from a special tickler of considerable size. The first batch did have serial numbes, but that does not mean that they came from the socialized, record copies. They can still have come from a dickler set. As I now recall, there was, as I attested, a Hosty 67 file in Dallas. This is in an earlier batch and I think idl has already made a separate copy for me and about which I'll write later. (I'm hoping that this will wear off a d that I can resume what I should be doing. Otherwise I'll make an appointment with the doctor because the first signs of eachymosis were visible last night. I've a chest full of junk that is coming up slowly, with much hard coughing at night, thus the signs of rupture of a all blo d vessels on the abdomen.)

There is a reference to ar the Joe B. Phares. By recollection in that at the time of the assassination he was an investigative clerk. So, if true, like some we are familiar with, he same his spurs and became an Sh. I do not recall seeing in the very large Bosty flap files I've gone over this statement, of Mio as an informer, but I could have forgot en. I did make a duplicate file for subject filing and it would be in there if disclosed to me. This reminds me of consthing from the past of which I then imformed you, with a king assassination overlap. But first a comment on the internal investigation. They didn't even bother to hide its shallowness and perfunctory nature, and this also is clear in my subject file. I am certain I annotated as I read and if I did I sent you a copy. The Fat's ids did all they could to discover as little as possible and make the result as uncertain as possible, thus eliminating the proposects of any criminal charges. My recollection that work ordered the destruction appears to be confirmed, and one of the earlier-batch records states unequived by that FEHA did know about the whole thing at the time, something the IGs tried to leave fuzzy.

There was a treage may I've a ver net and who therefiter move, around much who wrote me from I think Seattle, and after some time he told me that his landlerd and a former Sh named S, later identified as carter, that he had been in the mC office with Hosty, was black, and that Hosty had talked to him. He once told me that Hosty had left a note for Cawald, which made me wonder, but I did some checking and Carter had been an Sa, listed in the organization of Sa's book. The import on his heaty story is that bHO had been an informant, quit, and heaty was haseling him to get him back. I have no reason to believe it, much as this gay said that was straight.

One of the earlier batches has a record indicating that belower precised bosty for his WC testimony. This tird batch has xeroxes of it as printed containing Bosty's conjury, the same pages I used in appeals and 0322. I used more. Bosty states that 180 gave no indication of any tendency toward violence.

wentinds me, on Pearce, the internal record states that the WC h a investigated

as found in not to be true. The "invertigation" on sisted of no-ver's to formationial and sharee was not a consission vitness.

In connection with withholding the names of rol supleyees: three links in this batch alone.

What may be of interest to Garys Shaw and Mack re the pix of a man with walthers on the groupy strip south of like, picking something up: while he does not give a time, Barrett states he was there early and another Sa was, name slipped my mind.

Also, I do not recall any earlier account of the FoI seeking footage than Barrett's, of being sent 11/25 to MAD-W.

or do I recall that the schedular subcondition reported that it was told by Dallan SAs that they were instructed to avoid a real investigation and not to investigate any conspiracy possibilities, in questioning of parrett.

Here you redeed any question about the withholding as outside scope, which I take it "scope" means, if the info is not in itself exempt? Why withhold without made which dealys are instronger conts and requires subjective judgments? The AG did order maximum possible disclosure. Why not try to get them to stop such withholdings on that basis, that they are supposed to by the AG's order disclose all they can?

It would be good, in correspondence and in the feature, if a societ of records identified by document numbers only, with duplications of the numbers, had some other identification with them. Don't you get one?

after I close, up that I want to do with the patition and feel better I'll write you further about some of the records in these batches.

Describe.