```
Dear Helen,
```

${ }^{Y}$ our card dated $12 / E$ ith th clippinge on the motersycliats, sketches end several typed shaus did reach the in dew orleone, but - uid not have ti te to respond from tise:e.

On the buck of tha card i: this note: "Jack Semn cell to ivew orleans


Thare zere acine jtranz coings. Pirst a men luntify'nc hinself as Horsey callad me at hona about Homley and himelf, byenning by sayine he had just clojtere. an on TV ani perhups shoule not havo. Ha exlled tie savarel more tines in $\mathbb{N} .0 .0$ ol soneone dia, the last time lesvinç a mesagege. When I celled back tha monaz tho newerel the phenel seid he'c moved, loe vine no forverding adiress, about three doye earlior - before thic cell mas placod. Neanwhile, he or someone alse bed phoned tity home, foun wheve I wsatayine, ond phoned there. The one who phoned there wes impolite, identified ki:acelf as Thomloy, on? declorad the alleged attention of "getting to the bottom of this". Now the men With whom I steyed in N. . knowe onwothinc; of the atory end acked for e number to mbich I coul? return the call. The allar rofusoa to give it. Trom several sources I'va haard that Thoralay rente to tolk to mo. He has not tried. Horsey says he has valuable information to dive. The two aeci not ba releted. Anymey, since then, silence.

If Thornlay Whate to talk to ne, he has no problem. All he ne do is thone oni, if I vm not hemp, leave his number. It onle sam, howevar, that if he raslly wante to know anythini 1 micht keow, the least likoly woy of leouning it is not by throtenins frivolous luxsuits and not by not seoling it. Fronkly, I hope he dose filo whetever suit he has in mind. It will provide mechanism for th diecovery op truth not nvailable in a criminal proceojing. He has no such intention, or has no reputable lawyer who would engege in mych e frivolity. His purposes gre prepeconde sn! can be nothinc else.

I got his adtrage wheh I was in New Orleans. Peshaps I'll eet his number and phone him hen + get a few minutes, enत put an end to that nonsense. So you will kno\%, my purpoees in going to his neent, Clint bolton, were not unfrienaly. I told Bolton thet bsse on that $I$ new flons, Carrison's interast ves inevitrble and I believe: T would be wise to offer conperation rathar then awoit Porce. I mlso told Bolton it senmed posatble thet $T$ had morladge the significance of whith might not be cleer to him. I further ofiered thet if this were the case and by tislcine with me he could learn the aimificance of any such fact, it moula be his literary property. Would the be ben more open, r iair? i had nothine to do with Gemricon calline him, arresting him, chorpine him, or the preag release, learning in each nase from public sources, not Garrison. He hardly knows Garison is he evon dreams that anvone writes a pross release for him.

Onathe indictment, which + hnve never seen, I cennot sit in judgement. I know only that in writing about it, Thas akirted arount it, which tends to encourage auspicion. It is other thinge in which $\perp$ have interost, other things sbout $T$ and what he may or may not have done. I have never mentioned these at all. Nor will I $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ lunteer tham th him. They are as a consenuence of my own wark, having nothine to do with Garrison, ond they require explanation. KT is a fonl if he has anythine to say and donsn't gav it without first readine about it or hearing fron others. I cen tell you this: his public statemonts beve not been truthful. His enfocturee prosented as fect about ma are false. His public representation of himself is deceptive, and he is tading advantege of people of good intent.

Late Saturday night I was sitting in a Dourbon St. cafe when I ky aceident met a friend of his. Naturally, we talked about Kerry. " ${ }_{\theta}$ told me this
story: when the girl who was livin with him left him, he got his revang by phoninis her mother and telling the mother she had been livin with him. I know of bether casey where he hue beaton romen. I huve a low opinion oi mou ho de such things. Eut these thinge have nothing to do with my inverest in hin, which would be unchonged nere he innocent of the "o. Urleens chres. In ay opinion, that chsrge lous not rest on Durbure heid alone, as he says. She ia not the only one who told me of soelng I an! © together. Nor is this the only way in which $\mathbb{K}$ could hove perjure himself in seyine he lid not know LIt it wo. More I will not say, but I do hove reason Lor sejime thiis, not ecnjocture, ond the rovon is not from ons nerson, fron repultiole people, anl on tape.

On Barbere and their libol that che is a proctitioner of witcheroft: she is a Cotholic. He did leve a vodoo oltar in hor haw. Rorry heled hor eract it - for e TV show. h his, I think it ia clear that while veepinj to some wonen for help he is defamin; overs const to cosst, without their having recourse. "ere they ti sua him, what could they got? hat ho hos just ione to me Fith Roun is another exanple. 1t 18 a careiul istortion, bovinely false. To nol bother to get the clipoings. I now have them. Roum is p journolistia whore. I'll enclose my lettor to hiseditor if I gat it done in time. Whet I did tended to exculpate, not ineriminate $I$, na a caroful reading of the memo, saperated from his propegnida sbout it, shows there mas no intent to deceive and no possibility of it, for the pictures were printed in peirs, in esch case one not retouched In any moy. Garrison maw nothing atout it ani there wes no roven to presume he did, certsinly none for "conflminc", the word Poum used, sttribution to Ievine.

Dia Korry toll ycu that be and Dave Lifton got tozether and, in effect, framoe in tnocent mun, ohn Reno lieindell, ifth terivin; Cerrison a false affldavit? Garrison, whdther he is richt or wrong, is not a vincictive men. He mate no charge bgaines kory or this, perhape preaming the falcohood wes in goodt fuith. When snother man he hes similorly undor irdictment actuelly plunged e Imife deep into tho eut of another and was cherged mith attempted murder by the police, Ggrison $r$ sused to prosecute on the grount it coult rasiongble have been in whet this mon, Leyten llartens, might have sonsldered self defense becsuse they had bean ongaged in on ergument. There are anme things on thich 1 disagree Viti Jin. Derhaps in I hed veed Z's grand-jury testimong ond the indictment I might not agree with him on that. But on the things 1 have learned on my own, $T$ hea much to explein, and it 1 s related to the etory of the assassination of JFK.

By themselves, without explanstion, the enclosed sketches mean nothing to me. I see the resamblonce to LHf in the indistinct Xeroz of a picture of a man of whon Ho"sey asid ke is is friend of TTT's, noommete, a member of the "W" club. I de not know who Swan 1s. I have other regon to believe ancireva had a different reletinnshin ith Gewala then coms out betore the Jo.

San yon explein Inrsey to me? This strenge behavior's ho is he: hat dees he do? How di: he eet in clil this, mest vou, etc: Ditto Senn: It lonke strange.

If you have any interest in $i t$, why not arrange for Kerry to phone me with you on en extension end hear his complaint to me, see if it hes ony velidity? Iben you should heve your nuestions enswered. I do not thing he will heve any serious face-to-face canplaint. 1 spoke to his colleague Lifton almost two months ago. Lifton has been silent aince. e has nnt, in fact, sent me what he said he would, end he hes sent out a rether extensive errata on his and ercy's writings about, me and Garison. Wlease excuse the haste, for I'll not have time to resd and correct this. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ one you can understand the typos. Thenks for the Amas card, end hav: a and holiday and a fine now year.

## Sincerely,

©

Harold:
We know thi is a fricinta no Xessyis 8 also a meanderif the "w'Club". Lav your edmetify ordv duet popen mexrer any thini. See undyel im vure Counal, Senaw. endreves unce alo enourn ky eouk gtaw? Ealtovery




