

INVESTIGATION OF
THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY

HEARINGS

Before the President's Commission
on the Assassination
of President Kennedy

PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 11130, an Executive order creating a Commission to ascertain, evaluate, and report upon the facts relating to the assassination of the late President John F. Kennedy and the subsequent violent death of the man charged with the assassination and S. J. Res. 137, 88TH CONGRESS, a concurrent resolution conferring upon the Commission the power to administer oaths and affirmations, examine witnesses, receive evidence, and issue subpoenas

Volume

V

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

Contents

	Page
Preface.....	v
Testimony of—	1
Alan H. Belmont.....	33
Jack Revill.....	47
V. J. Brian.....	58, 165
Robert A. Frazier.....	74
Alfred Olivier.....	90
Arthur J. Dziemian.....	94
Frederick W. Light, Jr.....	97
J. Edgar Hoover.....	120
John A. McCone and Richard M. Helms.....	129, 175
Thomas J. Kelley.....	135
Leo J. Gauthier.....	138, 176
Lyndal L. Shaneyfelt.....	178
Mrs. John F. Kennedy.....	181
Jack Ruby.....	213
Henry Wade.....	254
Patrick T. Dean.....	258
Waggoner Carr.....	280
Richard Edward Snyder.....	286, 318
John A. McVickar.....	307, 327
Abram Chayes.....	346
Bernice Waterman.....	363
Hon. Dean Rusk.....	371
Frances G. Knight.....	387, 410
Mrs. Lee Harvey Oswald (resumed).....	408
Harris Coulter.....	420
Robert Alan Surrey.....	449
James J. Rowley.....	486
Bernard William Weissman, accompanied by Thomas A. Flannery, Esq.....	487
Robert G. Klaus.....	535
Mark Lane (resumed).....	546
President Lyndon B. Johnson.....	561
Mrs. Lyndon B. Johnson.....	564
Jewelllyn E. Thompson.....	567
G. Douglas Dillon.....	573

588

COMMISSION EXHIBITS INTRODUCED

Exhibit No.:	Page	Exhibit No.:	Page
1A	836	82	840
		0.1	69
			66

will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
Mr. Hoover. I do.
The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Rankin will carry on the examination, Mr. Director.
Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Chief Justice, do you want to tell him briefly what our purpose is?

The CHAIRMAN. Oh, yes; it is our practice to make a brief statement before the testimony of each witness, and I will do it now.

Mr. Hoover will be asked to testify in regard to whether Lee H. Oswald was ever an agent, directly or indirectly, or an informer or acting on behalf of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in any capacity at any time, and whether he knows of any credible evidence of any conspiracy, either domestic or foreign, involved in the assassination of President Kennedy.

What he has to say about an article in the National Enquirer, Commission Exhibit No. 887, and concerning the failure to include the name and information concerning special agent Hosty in the initial report of the Oswald address book and any suggestions and recommendations he may have concerning improvements or changes in provisions for the protection of the President of the United States. Now, Mr. Rankin, you may proceed.

Mr. RANKIN. Mr. Hoover, will you state for the record your name and position?

Mr. Hoover. J. Edgar Hoover, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice.

Mr. RANKIN. Where do you live, Mr. Hoover?

Mr. Hoover. I live at 4836 30th Place, Northwest, Washington, D.C.

Mr. RANKIN. And you have been Director of the Bureau for some 40 years according to the newspapers?

Mr. Hoover. That is correct; since 1924.

Mr. RANKIN. You have furnished us a considerable amount of information, acting for the Bureau or not Lee Harvey Oswald was ever an agent or Are those statements correct?

Mr. Hoover. They are correct. I can most emphatically say that at no time was he ever an employee of the Bureau in any capacity, either as an agent or as a special employee, or as an informant.

Mr. RANKIN. I call your particular attention to Exhibit 835, and suggest that you will find that that is your letter, together with your affidavit about this subject matter, and other matters that you furnished to us concerning Mr. Hoover. That is correct.

Mr. Hoover. Do you wish to add anything?

Mr. RANKIN. No; there is nothing that I desire to add to what appears in this letter and my affidavit which accompanied it to the Commission.

Mr. Hoover. You have provided many things to us in assisting the Commission in connection with this investigation and I assume, at least in a general way, you are familiar with the investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy, is that correct?

Mr. Hoover. That is correct. When President Johnson returned to Washington he communicated with me within the first 24 hours, and asked the Bureau to take up the investigation of the assassination because as you are aware, there is no Federal jurisdiction for such an investigation. (It is not a Federal crime to kill or attack the President or the Vice President or any of the continuity of the President or the Presidency.)

However, the President has a right to request the Bureau to make special investigations, and in this instance he asked that this investigation be made. I immediately assigned a special force (headed by the special agent in charge at Dallas, Tex.) to initiate the investigation, and to get all details and facts concerning it, which were obtained, and then prepared a report which was submitted to the Attorney General for transmission to the President.

Mr. RANKIN. From your study of this entire matter of the assassination and in connection with it, do you know of any credible evidence that has ever come to your attention that there was a conspiracy either foreign or domestic involved in the assassination?

Mr. Hoover. I know of no substantial evidence of any type that would support any contention of that character. I have read all of the requests that have come to the Bureau from this Commission, and I have read and signed all the reports that have come to the Commission.

In addition, I have read many of the reports that our agents have made and I have been unable to find any scintilla of evidence showing any foreign conspiracy or any domestic conspiracy that culminated in the assassination of President Kennedy.

Representative Fomm. May I ask this, Mr. Hoover. As I understand your testimony, it is based on the evidence that has been accumulated thus far? Mr. Hoover. That is correct, sir.

Representative Fomm. Is the Federal Bureau of Investigation continuing its investigation of all possible ramifications of this assassination?

Mr. Hoover. That is correct. We are receiving and we, I expect, will continue to receive for days or weeks to come, letters from individuals that normally would probably be in the category of what we would call crank letters in which various weird allegations are made or in which people have reported psychic vibrations. We are still running out letters of that character and in making a report to this Commission upon it, notwithstanding the fact that on the face of it the allegation is without any foundation. Individuals who could not have known any of the facts have made some very strange statements. There have been publications and books written, the contents of which have been absurd and without a scintilla of foundation of fact. I feel, from my experience in the Bureau, where we are in constant receipt over the years of these so-called crank letters, that such allegations will be going on possibly for some years to come.

I, personally, feel that any finding of the Commission will not be accepted by everybody, because there are bound to be some extremists who have very pronounced views, without any foundation for them, who will disagree violently with whatever findings the Commission makes. But I think it is essential that the FBI investigate the allegations that are received in the future, so it can't be said that we had ignored them or that the case is closed and forgotten.

Representative Fomm. Could you give us some idea of how many agents are currently working to one degree or another on any aspects of this case?

Mr. Hoover. I would estimate, Congressman Ford, that there are at the present time at least 50 or 60 men giving their entire time to various aspects of the investigation, because while Dallas is the office of origin, investigation is required in auxiliary offices such as Los Angeles or San Francisco, and even in some foreign countries like Mexico. We have representatives in Mexico City.

At the outset of the investigation, following the assassination, it was the desire of the President to have this report completed by the Bureau just as quickly as possible, and as thoroughly as possible, and I would say we had about 150 men at that time working on the report in the field, and at Washington, D.C. Now, all the reports that come in from the field are, of course, reviewed at Washington by the supervisor in charge of the case, and then in turn by the assistant director of the division, and then in turn by Mr. Belmont, who is the director.

Reports in which there is a controversial issue or where statements have been made of the existence of some particular thing that we have never heard of before, I myself go over these to see that we haven't missed anything or haven't had any gap in the investigation, so it can be tied down.

Recently the National Enquirer had a fantastic article in it as to the existence of a letter that had been written or a request that had been made by the Department of Justice to Chief Curry of the Dallas Police Department, to withhold arresting Rubinstein, or Ruby, and Oswald after the Oswald attempt on General Walker's life.

First, I had the agent in charge at Dallas interview Chief Curry and I have sent to the Commission a letter as to what Chief Curry had to say. He branded it as an entire lie—that he had never received any request of that kind. Our files searched to be certain we had not.

2/28/87

Senator Paul Simon
U.S. Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Congressman Barney Frank
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sirs,

This morning's Post reports your interest in and concern over the role of Attorney General Meese in covering up the ghastly Iran/Nicaragua mess.

When Mr. Meese held his press conference at the White House to make formal acknowledgement of the existence of this fiasco he told a big lie and was able to get away with it, I believe, because it was lost in the drama and detail of what he did admit.

While we do not know and perhaps will never know just what or how much information was destroyed, what destruction there was would have been impossible without his lie - and he said, without contradiction, that FBI Director Webster agreed with him.

The FBI had not been and then was not involved in any investigation of this mess because, he said, there was no evidence of federal law violation and because in the absence of any such violation any FBI investigation would be wrong. Picture of Ed Meese as a civil libertarian!

The actuality - and it could not have been unknown to either Meese or Judge Webster - is that the FBI does make precisely the kind of investigation then required for president. My authority is J. Edgar Hoover, under oath. In his testimony before the Warren Commission Hoover attested that "the President has a right to request the Bureau to make special investigations." I enclose this page from Volume 5.

Moreover, and I'm surprised that the question seems never to have been asked, since when is conviction required for an investigation to begin? From what Meese himself stated was known there was abundant reason to at least suspect violation of several federal laws.

Particularly since enactment of the Freedom of Information Act I've had considerable experience with the Department and the FBI. (Congress amended the investigatory files exemption over one of my cases in which both were very dishonest.) It is my experience that under the present administration both have in their open and unabashed dishonesties grown so daring and excessive that when charged in court with perjury, fraud and misrepresentation they do not bother even to make pro forma denial. This is not mere opinion. It is my own litigation now before the appeals court, the charges are overwhelmingly established by the FBI's own records, which it had withheld in that litigation, the Department's lawyers are directly involved, and both the FBI and the Department have not addressed these allegations in any way.

I suggest that because they have been immune in such serious offenses they are less reluctant to repeat them, as Meese personally did had he been under oath, when the matter was of the magnitude of this outrage against decency, law and our national honor.

Sincerely,

HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVER RD.
FREDERICK, MD 21701

Harold Weisberg