

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE JUL 21 1982 BY SP2 TAD/jaw

March 20, 1964

Mr. Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Belmont	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Mohr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Casper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Callahan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Conrad	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. DeLoach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Evans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Gale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Sullivan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Tavel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Trotter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Miss Holmes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Miss Gandy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

MR. MOHR:

LETTER FROM SAC RICHARD G. HELD  
MINNEAPOLIS DIVISION  
REGARDING [REDACTED] (b)(7)(c)

While clearing the Copley Press questions and answers (proposed future article) with [REDACTED] last night, 3-19-64, at the conclusion of our conversation he asked me if the FBI had received any further knowledge as to the manner in which the President became cognizant of comments by [REDACTED] Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Director will recall that our Special Agent in Charge sent in a two-page letter indicating that [REDACTED] had reported to him that various Assistants to the Attorney General at a swearing-in ceremony had made a number of remarks indicating a plan to embarrass the President by "blowing up" the Bobby Baker case. The same letter reported that [REDACTED] had overheard Assistants of the Attorney General making snide remarks concerning the Director. (b)(7)(c)

Instead of answering [REDACTED] questions, I asked him what the source of his information had been. He told me he did not know the source. I told him if he had no better facts than this it seemed useless to carry on this matter any further. [REDACTED] then told me that if allegations had been made against him he would certainly confirm or deny the allegations. I told [REDACTED] that one doesn't make allegations without having some facts and it appeared that he had none at all. I told him when and if he did uncover a source we could discuss the matter further. (b)(7)(c)

[REDACTED] then stated he wanted me to know that his friendship for the Bureau and for me continued as strong as ever. I told him I saw no need of letting childish allegations of the above nature have any affect on our relationship. This is the first time that [REDACTED] has mentioned this matter since our luncheon approximately two weeks ago. (b)(7)(c)

Mr. Evans, additionally, has been "needled" a couple of times by Deputy Attorney General Katzenbach. This was on the occasion of chance meetings in the corridor of the Justice Building. Katzenbach's remarks as to possible disclosure to the White House of information indicating a possible dispute between the Attorney General and the President were of a "fishing" nature and these were dismissed when Evans indicated no knowledge as to what he was talking about.

- 1 - Mr. Tolson
  - 1 - Mr. Evans
  - 1 - Mr. Jones
- CDD:saj  
(5)

COPY SENT TO MR. TOLSON

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

#32

Informal DeLoach to Mohr 3-20-64  
Re: Letter from SAC Richard G. Held  
Minneapolis Division, Re U. S. A. Miles Lord

In the second of these chance meetings on Thursday, Katzenbach said that he had telephoned [redacted] and that [redacted] had told him this was an exaggerated version of what he had initially said. It was [redacted] story to Katzenbach that this was just idle political talk and no mention was made of any meeting held at the Justice Department wherein the plan of blowing up the Baker case was discussed. Katzenbach was again told that Evans had no knowledge as to what he was talking about and had never heard of any such meeting. (b)(7)(c)

It would appear that these probing contacts, both by Katzenbach and [redacted] must be predicated upon some inquiry from the Attorney General himself. Obviously neither [redacted] Katzenbach or the Attorney General desire to reveal [redacted] as their source of information at the White House. They know that to confirm our suspicion in this regard would mean that the President would have proof that [redacted] has not been loyal to him; consequently, it is not believed that this matter will be carried to any great length in the future. (b)(7)(c)

It is understood that [redacted] has submitted his resignation, not because of the above-mentioned matter, but because of his differences with the Kennedy group. It is believed that [redacted] plans to campaign for Senator Humphrey. This, of course, would bring him directly in the path of the Attorney General who also desires the vice presidential nomination. (b)(7)(c)

RESPECTFULLY,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
C. D. DE LOACH

1. *[Handwritten note:]* If [redacted] Katzenbach or anyone else brings us up again they should be told I have instructed that if they seek any information to take it up with me. I am fed up on the malicious lies & columns they have circulated. (b)(7)(c)

\* Robert F. Kennedy