HARRIET VAN HORNE

## Doubis abour Dallas

Mr.

10 Chat do

NEW YORK WORLD-TELEGR

M. H. Jones to Deles ....

and THE SUN

May 26, 1964

Page 23

The state of the s

BEC 10 69. 1: 91 10 . 900 -27-64. JAINING

. 11

What do we know about This I an Itome Character?"

New York, New York

Trotte Tele, Room Miss Holmes

Miss Gandy

T HAS always second to be that the conspiracy theory of history makes its strongest appeal to the weak-minded. I refuse to believe that some plumed and belted early wrote Shakespeare's plays. I decline to accept that gypsy crone, who calls herself Anastasia. as the daughter of the Czar.

Such notions are, of course, revealed truth to people who know there are hordes of Communists in the State Department and armadas of flying saucers Out There. I sit in the skeptic's corner. That's why I am asionl-hed to find myself somewhat troubled by the secent spate of writings, here and abroad, suggesting that Lee Harvey Oswald may not have been the assassin of President Kenned

These writings, let me say quickly, are not conclusive in any sense. But they do cast certain doubts on the official tase against Os vald.

"i orainatic place of evidence-again, incoachisive-was the photograph reproduced in the weekend papers. Taken at the very history the President furched forward in his car, the photo showed a divity familiar figure in the doorway of the Texas Schoolbook Depository. Enlarged several times, the figure bears a marked resemblance to Oswald. Was it he? It so, how could be have been, at the same moment, six flights above the street firing a lifle?

If we are to believe the FBI, the man in the doorway was another employee of the depository, a man who rejoices In the name Eilly Loveledy,

From the day of the assassination, a considerable body of opinion in Europe has inclined toward the con-piracy theory. One of the most talked about books in England is "Who hilled Kennedy?" by an Aireilean expatriate, Thomas Buchanan.

Buchanan's main theses are not new. But they remind one again of how very touchy the FBI has been in its brief. almost noncommittal replies to the inevitable questions.

Because there were five bullets (Buchanan Insists) and Eccause one apparently entered Kennedy's throat from the front, he feels there must have been two assassing.

Buchanan's conclusion: Right-wing, oil rich Dallas hired left-wing, dirt-poor Oswald to do the awful deed, then supplied a second killer in case Oswald weakened or missed the

Lic

7

A more scholarly case has been marshalled by a Canadian, a former Rhodes scholar now traching sociology at Hersé ley. Prof. Mordeciah Brienberg says in The Spectator (a slim but influential British weekly), that District Attorney Wade changed his statements on half a dozen crucial points. He takes the official version of O-wald's movements after leaving the warehouse and demolishes it, step by step.

Brienberg also finds it odd that the doctors who worked so valiantly on Kennedy have no been forbidden to discuss the case, particularly since, he says, their report cannot be reconclied with police reports.

In his meticulous way, the professor finds it significant that Oswald had in his ressersion the private phone number of the FBI official in charge of "subversives" in Dallas. "This information is not obtainable from the Dallas phone directory," he writes. "Moreover, the agent had contacted Oswald several times before the assassination." Could Oswald, he seems to be asking, have worked as an informer for the FBI?

Whatever the meilts of these writings, the question of who killed Kennedy will doubtless be argued by historians still unborn. As clitzens, the aspects of the case we should find most troubling are the ineptitudes of the Dallas police the carelessness of the Secret Service and the arrogant above the fray attitude of the FBL. In a democracy there is to place for "secret police," however hely their reputations