Dear Charlos,
Youtorday I had still another of the fairly numerous requests I Mexder Recelver Rd, have gotten for what was odited out, really gutted from Case Open. It leads to this explination because you have one of the two prinoutouts of the retyping of the supposed full rough draft. Jerry was to have determined when he had duplicate disks made when at page 680 or 798 it ends becausc it is not complete as printed out. He forgot so I do not know and camot take the time now to learn. What is not oh the disk I have in routh routh draft, each chapter in an individual file folder and insertions either with the chapter in which it was to have been inserted or filed separately as adsuit adds.

Lee Stern had-agreed to do the retyping. But firt her son had surgery, fifth which sho nursod him, and then th: Jewish holidays, came. "eanwhile, Richard Gallen, who had beon a fitiend, expressei anl interost in the book, so I sont him the xeroxes I had of what Loo had so I could answer any questions she might ask by phone.As you can see, when I must keep my logs elevated my typinc is terrible,as in my writing when $I$ have, with the legs up, to hold it on a clipboard while I correct with it all in the air.

Gallon then phoned and said he would like to do the book, copublishing with Carroll \& Graf, whose counsel he is, along with many other small publishers and their distributor, Publishers West. I said fine, I'd have it retyped. He said they'd have it rotyped there and with Lee's delay and their expressed interest in rushing the book I agreed. I wen't here go into the whole story, but he put a woman who had never touched a computer to work retyping it on pant-time, What emerged was incredible. I read and corrected what little she sent me, returned $i t$, La and then got no more.

As part of my acreeing for them to do the retyping in New York $I_{\text {, asked for three } c}$ copies, one for me, one for you and one for Dave Wrone, at Stevens roint, Wisconsin.

What was published as Case Open is about $46-26 \%$ of what I wrote。 I had the ms. completed in about a month and then picked up what'I did not have time to check when ruwhin the writing and then made other additions at the request of the man who runs Publishers West. The corrections I made in the proofs I was sent were ignored, the book appeared without even a table of contents, with two different subtitles, with no index, and as a practical matter there was nothine I could do about it. / trleq!

I never san what was retyped until recently. When I asked for the copies I was to have been sent this same woman, who seems to be a fine person, Mrs. Raphaela Seroy, was intaruittently plut to work on it. As she sent me batches of it I kept them as I got them until I got what is the last of what you have. With it I got a letter from her saying she had $t$, leave Now York to caice for her ill father. She expreseed the hope that she had made the insertions where I wantod them. "hich may or may not be so.

And, of course, I hatre no idea how faitheul her retyping is to what I wrote. this is not by byy means criticlésm of her. It is because my typing and writing are
what thoy are. Cind becuse in readisy twrecting $D$ ionftral the.
I havo reason to bolieve there is more on the disks, the original of which I have, that she retyped because I had a letter fron her saying she had it all on the computer buthero was somethin!s she did not understand shobd have to await the return of someone fos 'to' ve able to procede. The/her father required her care .

Dave krone is much too busy with, in addition to his teaching, a special pofject for Indians in what for them is a major lawsuit (he is an expert in that field, too) for him to think of getting his disk printed for reading. I've also sent a disk tim a friend who teaches histry in a Hev Zealand college the name of which I've forgotten, in Auckland. If and lume he edets it printed I'll learn if there is more on the disks I have than you have printed. If so I can get a printout for you of what remains/ to mak the set you have complete.

I have no obection to it弓 boinc used now but that would have to be with the understanding that I have not read it and cannot be sure of the accuracy of the retyping or the insortions or if the inscritions were made where I intended. It was many months after the book was out before I had what you now have and I then could not take the time to do anythin;; with it. I've remained busy with offther work, as in wtime you'll see. I have, besides what for practical purposes Gallen and C\& G are suppressing, the long book N. VId ACAIIT! complted; Inside the JHK Assassination Industry, which Carol $\nu$ eck is retypin:: whon she can (and I've heard nothing from her in three weeks); and Waketh the Watchman, which Diane Fox is alnost finished retyping. And I'm working on more.
$Y_{\text {oun ney }}$ remmber thit some time ago I mentioned the problem I'm having with
 of 1993. The fow explanations I've had for not publishing it arc farcical and now I get no response. So, I've sent them nothing fore. Se, it now looks as though you'll be getting a large manuucript, Insdie the JFK Assassination Industry, to which I may make some additions, and Waleth the Watchman, which I regard as completed in wofh druft. I think the former is about 200,000 horus, the latter about a fourth of that. Jerry is in general faniliar with their content.

I'll bo getting/the disk from Diane when she finishes making the corrections the last of which await her. I'll then get it prinfed, meaning computer copy, for you and for me. Garol is about $25 \%$ through Inside the JFK Assassination Industry. The other book is one in which I'm onlarging on a lenethy article I wrote some time ago, Senator Russell Disgonts. Carol finishe? with that and I have it retyped. It is limitod to his refusal to agree with the sinkle-bullet theory, a rather significant fact, I think, for out history. SenatarCooper agreed with hin. 'his no longer/rests on Russell's word to me. I have confirmation from the files of both.

That was but one of Russell's problenswith the "deport he was conned, into signing. As ho told me, "I'm satisfied they have not told us all they known about Oswald," This is what I'fl addressing in what I'm writing now, what was known about Oswald that "they"/ never told him or the Commission about. Aside from what - have on this there is a considerable amount of information made available by three CIA under the compulsion of the 1992 law to which I have no access except in the copies ono person whose work on them has to end now sent me. It is not much. But the last report I had is that the now disclosed CLA Oswald Personality refile file gown as a 201 is almost 20 linear feet of paper!

Jolu Newman, who teaches at biaryland and recently retired from Army intelligence, has a book based on that file due in March.

I should have explained at the beginning what was gated from Case Open.
Iused Posner's shoddy but well presented prosecution case as the basis for writing a defonse brief. Unlike Posner, all I use is the official eVidence. I think, without question, it exculpates Oswald and mord-proves he could not have been the assassin and that officilidom knew it. I melose what Gallon, an experienced lawyer, wrote me about it: "I think you did a great job. You would have been a notable lawyer in the Clarence Darrow mold."

Can you think of better reason for eliminating the "Clarence Darrow" part of the book?

But that is life Insidethe JFK Assassination Industifry!
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