Gary Mack KXAS-TV P.O. Box 1780 Fort Worth, TX 76101

Dear Gary,

The FBI's 8/17 and 10/4 letters appear to be normal. There is a questionable sentence but I doubt it has significance. his is the ststement that all the DL records are preprocessed and at FBIHQ. The possibility of significance is it the DL copy or copies of the Moorman pix were not sent to FBIHQ.

Mour letter to the former Mary Moorman is quite good. I look forward to the rest of what we discussed on this.

For my own purposes I'm separating the copies of the records the FBI sent you for filing by subject, with a copy of this, the Jean Hill stuff with Moorman. I read it some hours agao but recall nothing new in the Poorman records.

All are FBIHQ records. 105-82555-2028 refers to several items by their numbers in previous correspondence. When we spoke you thought they'd excised what relates to Item 13 because of the white space. I think not, that it would have been backed out if excised, and that they started on the next pages because they were close to the bottom of that page.

I find it interesting that DL did not in any of these records disclose to FBIHQ that it had made any copy, even after HQ raised the question. I do not believe that once the question was raised it kept any secrets from FBIHQ, so I prsume there are other records, even if the matter was handled by phone.

After going over these records I see no explanation for the selection of irrelevant records included in what was sent you. I presume it was some kind of accident, perhaps parts of several other requests.

When you told me about the 1965 Philip Geraci records I wondered about the FBI's interest as of the but the records are self-explanatory and also accurate. Albeit incomplete. An explanation is in order.

That Geraci and the other boys planned those right-wing extremist operations is correct and they were properly reported to the FBI by Jeff Parish and to others by the FBI. I conducted a fairly complete investigation of Geraci for other reasons, interviewed his father, his mother twice and him the second time I spoke to the mother, in the presence (indeed, home) of the family lawyer at my arranging. That the FBI carried its work no farthur, or its interest, appears to be a little unusual and I'm inclined to believe that it did, whether or not those additional records are omitted by accident. There was another young person involved, a girl who was both a source-informer for me and a narcfink. She is not mentioned. It is she who met Geraci when he returned to N.O., and then something out of the ordinary happened. He went to see Bringuier, who instead of informing his parents or the police, arrainged for him to stay at a dive known as The Silver Dollar, and there he was the victim of a gangbang. (I think the boy was gay and that he was honest with me.) This and another Bringuier factor may account for the incompleteness of what was sent you. The other factor is that Bringuier was, as I told you, a liar to have an alibi for something else. Bringuier placed the date of Oswald's visit to him at 8/5/63 (also in other records 8/2) and thus, because of the raid on Ricardo Davis' so-called camp on the other side of Lake Pontchartrain Bringuier was suspicious. The initial FBI reports quote win Garaci as placing the date as perhaps in July. (The raid was 7/31.) They also quote Geraci as saying this was his first visit to Bringuier. His first visit was much earlier. I have copies of receipts Bringuier gave him that are, as I recall, in June, provided by father and mother from a shoe-box of such stuff.

Philip was in Vietnam when I saw the parents, and both were cooperative. I taped the interview and played the tape back to them for any comment. None.

The mother's recollection was quite clear. Philip and Vance Blalock were both in the CAP and wanted things for their uniform, perhaps overseas caps. The mother had a dental appointment not far from or in the French Quarter, it was right after the end of the school term, and she drove the boys to the Quarter for them to get what they wanted. That was the first time Philip was there. (He was to see Bringuier fairly often afterward.) So the actual time was not long after LHO got to NO and coincided roughly with his getting his literature and picketing the Wasp.

with regard to the older gay "Mario," the father told me more, where he picked boys up, of complaining to school authorities, and the other descriptions, from him, the girl and I'm not now sure, Philip, it may have been Mario Bermudez, a Shaw crony. I emphasize may because it also may not have been and there is no certainty.

NO put two different file numbers on its copies of the first two records, 105-2467 on the 5/5/65 teletype and 100-2467 on the 5/6/65 airtel. 105 = Foreign Counterintelligence and 100= Subversive Matter. The airtel also is on a printed form, with boxes to be checked. "Bombing Matters" is checked, "Racial Matters" isn't. But "Bombing Matters" is not ordinarily filed in 100 or 105. Ordinarily 174, and the original at FBIHQ was filed in 174-1-133?7. (Somehow the 2467 seems familiar but I cannot place it.)

What appears to be unusual and I think indicates an omitted record or records is not correcting the contradiction in N.O. file numbers. Either N.O., FBIHQ or both would have done this.

I have a copy of the Jeff. Parihs juvenile report and as I recall it the name of the sergeant is spelled "Bourne." I think it is very unlikely that he did not provide his later info, which involved Pringuier and the gangbang (as I recall given as a single rather than a gang) and the girl's role and what then happened to Philip (mental hospitalization) to the FBI. Not included in what you got.

Also odd is the fact that one of the 1965 records bears a visible FBIHQ file #. It is both Not Recorded and serialized on the TT of 5/5, not normal, and only the serial numbers appear on the others. Most likely Oswald, 106-82555, but could be JFK, 62-109060.

Idewise odd is the fact that the Lowell Brandle records which follow also follow in alphabetical serialization of 5414, Geraci ending with and Brandle beginning with C. (This might be from later insertion into a file or from a purpose other than filing serialization.) A new FBIHQ file # is given these records. Two of the FBIHQ #s at the end of F are knwon to me, 104401 has John Birch Society records and 107261 Minutemen records. 62 Miscellaneous, non-subversive. This story, of the reporter trying to get a riffe when he used the name "Hidell," is well known. (I can't make out the San Antonio record, also F, and I'm placing this in my Klein's file. ** (Auch 3)

The remaining records relate to "corman and Hill, from 105-82555. In a sense a little provocative but not inconsistent is Hill's statement that she "saw the hair on the back of" JFK's head "fly "FR." Not the top of the head. That a piece of scalp went more or less up is not new. Not FBI followup on this indicated here. (Serial 2677.) On p.3 Hill says that a federal agent asked her how she felt when a bullet hit near here feet. This suggests that if you can locate her you show her the picture I suggested you ask Schott to look at, the one with an agent with Buddy Walthers, picking something up not far from where Hill had been. 105-82555-3464, that no exhibit numbers were assigned by DL to the four 'olaroids of Tip it's wounds because DL did not know if HQ would be giving them to the Commission reflects the general field office knowledge that the FBI was not giving everything to the

Commission and if unusual in that the FBI required its own identification numbers for its own purposes and investigation. It knew the Tippit killing was included in the Commission's mandate, too.

But in not giving these to the Commission, if in the end it didn't, the FBI was exercising a kind of control over the Commission. (My file, FBI Commission relations. Also Tippit killing.)

Please excuse my haste in this. I've been away for two days, which is very unusual and as tiring, and I'm trying to catch up. I you have any questions, please ask.

Haroll

Good luck on the rest of the project,

94= "Research Matters" but is actually used for propaganda, lobbying, the media and publishing and FBI contacts, etc. They would be wrong I.