

Paul Hoch
1525 Acton St.,
Berkeley, CA 94702

2/25/95

Dear Paul,

When that La Fontaine fantasy appeared in Outlook I sat down and off the top of the head, with a few records in my office (I cannot use stairs any more) wrote a lengthy analysis for the record for history. I sent it to Outlook. The Jeff Morley, who sold the Post on it, phoned and asked if he could lend it to the La Fontaines. I encouraged him to, said I'd like a copy of any response and would respond to it. If any. There has been none. And the more that kind of swill makes the papers the less the slight possibility they will pay attention to anything legit. So why don't you ask them what is wrong with what I wrote. They seem to be a bit shy with me. Or maybe do not want to kill their own delayed book. Which will shame us all.

To begin with it makes no sense. Then there was the different version ^(Dennis' trial) Elrod gave on one of those supermarket tabloid "news" shows. Then the FBI's reports are not quite what they represent. And the real reason Elrod turned himself in is that he was afraid he was going to kill his wife.

And then there is their fantasy about the jail layout. It may be that when Oswald was first jailed there was another in the F block. But it was soon emptied and there was nobody else in those three cells. Which are ^{not} located as the La Fontaines say it was, with no corridor any other prisoner could walk down, either. And with extra heavy steel on all side other than the front. *No more through them. W/ guard sitting outside.*

Jim and Tami Leavelle have become friends. I asked Jim for the cell layout and he drew it for me. I gave it to Morley and he gave it to the La Fontaines. So they know and are still going ahead with the book they will adjust from the article to get around what they have written.

I'm sorry that the kid stuff is so attractive to so many of you. If the ARRB goes for most of what you recommend the meaningful information will be ignored.

Where did Epstein refer to more Oswald mail interception? I do not remember it.

I did not even read your Whittier Ruby link stuff Or Hasen or the anti-Castro Cuban stuff on gunrunning.

The Elrod ^{brother} "corroboration" is not possible. He must have been referring to all those cells as a block.

I am interested in your list of documents and I've forgotten if I have CD 1359.

It will be a wonder if the board is not turned off by all this childish conspiracy-theory-inventing.

I'm seeing what was done to NEVER AGAIN! for the first time in the page proofs I'm to rush going over. They put much and made many errors, as in Case Open. I hope that unlike Case Open they correct these. I've returned about 3/4 of them. The announced length is short. Over 500 pp. I hope they make their April target date. *marks and list*

Paul